

[Array]

⇒ Array → DS → similar elements

max size of array → main - 10^6
→ global - 10^7

(1) Largest element in an array :-

arr[] = [3, 2, 1, 5, 2]

n=5

↓ Sort

1 2 2 3 5
 ↑ Largest

print(arr[n-1])

Brute ~

↓

Better x

↓

optimal ~

TC → $O(N \log N)$

SC → $O(1)$

⇒ optimal soln :-

arr[] = [3, 2, 1, 5, 2]

lar = arr[0]

for (i=0; i<n; i++)

if (arr[i] > lar) {

lar = arr[i];

}

print(lar);

TC → $O(N)$

(N) Second largest element in array :-

→ Brute force :-

arr[] = [1 2 4 7 7 5]

↓ (N log N)
Sort

[1 2 4 5 7 7]

↑
Second largest

for (i = n-2; i >= 0; i--) {

if (arr[i] != largest) {

second = arr[i];

break;

}

}

Second largest = -1 (if 2L doesn't exist)

O(N) (if arr is

[1 7 7 7 7 7])

TC :- $N \log N + N$

→ Better :-

First Pass

largest = arr[0]

for (i = 0; i < n; i++)

{ if (arr[i] > largest)

largest = arr[i];

}

Second Pass

second = -1

for (i = 0; i < n; i++)

{ if (arr[i] > largest &&

arr[i] != largest) {

second = arr[i];

}

}

TC :- $O(N + N) = O(2N)$

→ optimal :-

arr = [1 2 4 7 7 5]

low = arr[0] s_low = ~~low~~ - 1

for (int i=0 ; i<n ; i++) {

if (arr[i] > low) {

 s_low = low ;

 low = arr[i] ;

}

else if (arr[i] < low && arr[i] > s_low) {

 s_low = arr[i] ;

}

}

return s_low ;

}

TC :- $O(N)$

3) check if the array is sorted :-

int isSorted (int n, vector<int> a) {

for (int i=1 ; i<n ; i++) {

 if (a[i] >= a[i-1]) {

 }

 else {

 return false ;

 }

}

return True ;

}

4) Remove duplicates in-place from sorted array :-

→ Brute :-

arr = [1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3]

1	2	3
0	1	2

3 4 5 6

↑ ↑ ↑ ↑

index index index index

3
2
1

set

first pass

set <int> st;

for (i=0; i<n; i++)

st.insert(arr[i]);

↑

$N \log N$

index = 0

for (auto it: st) {

arr[index] = it;

index++;

}

TC :- $O(N \log N + N)$

SC :- $O(N)$

→ Optimal :-

arr = [1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3]

1	2	3
0	1	2

↑ ↑

i

i = 0

for (j=1; j<n; j++) {

if (arr[j] != arr[i]) {

arr[i+1] = arr[j];

i++;

}

}

return i+1;

TC :- $O(N)$

SC :- $O(1)$



Left rotate array by one place :-

arr = [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5]

[2, 3, 4, 5, 1]

(i-1) (i-1) (i-1) (i-1) temp

temp = arr[0]

for (i=1; i<n; i++) {

arr[i-1] = arr[i] }

arr[n-1] = temp;

TC :- $O(N)$

SC :- $O(1)$

Left rotate array by D place :-

→ Brute :-

temp = [D places] like (1, 2, 3) for d=3

Shifting: for (i=d; i<n; i++) → $O(n-d)$

arr[i-d] = arr[i]

Put back temp: for (i=n-d; i<n; i++)

$d = d \% n$

arr[i] = temp[i-(n-d)]; → $O(d)$

ex-1: for (i=0; i<d; i++)

temp.push_back(arr[i]); → $O(d)$

TC :- $O(d) + O(n-d) + O(d) = O(n+d)$

SC :- $O(d)$

→ optimal :-

$$\text{arr}[] = \begin{matrix} 3, 2, 1 & 7, 6, 5, 4 \\ \boxed{[1, 2, 3]} & \boxed{[4, 5, 6, 7]} \end{matrix} \quad d=3$$
$$[4, 5, 6, 7, 1, 2, 3]$$

→ reverse(a, a+d) → $O(d)$

TC :- $O(2n)$

→ reverse(a+d, a+n) → $O(n-d)$

SC :- $O(1)$

→ reverse(a, a+n) → $O(n)$

7) Move all zeroes to the end of the array :-

→ Brute force :-

$$\text{arr}[] = \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \{x, 0, x, x, x, 0, 0, x, x, x\} \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{temp}[] \rightarrow \{x, x, x, x, x, 5, x\}$$

Step 1:- temp → []
for(i=0 → n) → $O(n)$

Sol:- for(i=0; i < temp size(); i++)

if(arr[i] != 0)

arr[i] = temp[i] → [

temp.add(arr[i])

non zero no. → temp size

Step 3:- for(i=temp size() to i < arr size)

arr[i] = 0

→ $O(n-x)$

⇒ TC :- $O(n) + O(x) + O(n-x)$

→ $O(2N)$

SC → $O(n) \rightarrow O(N)$

Worst :- no zeroes in entire array

> optimal:-

14

arr[] = [1, 0, 2, 3, 2, 0, 0, 4, 5, 1]

Diagram showing indices: x points to index 2, i points to index 3, and $n-x$ spans from index 3 to index 8.

step-1: $j = -1$

for($i=0$; $i < n$; $i++$) {

if(arr[i] == 0) { $\rightarrow O(x)$

$j = i$;

break;

}

step-2:-

for($j=i+1$; $j < n$; $j++$) {

if(arr[j] != 0) {

Swap(arr[i], arr[j]);

$j++$;

} $\rightarrow O(n-x)$

TC:- $O(x) + O(n-x)$

$\approx O(n)$

SC:- $O(1)$

1) Union of two Sorted arrays:-

arr1[] = {1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5}

arr2[] = {2, 3, 4, 4, 5}

→ Brute force Approach:-

Merge + sort + Remove Duplicates

Time:- $O(m+n) \log(m+n)$

↳ Sorted ka fayda nhi uthaya

↳ Simple but inefficient for large data

→ Better Approach:-

using Set

Time: $O(m+n)$ but insertion in set = $O(\log N)$
↳ Sorted o/p milta hai but through set, not log
↳ Sorted & clean

→ Optimal Approach:-

Two pointer Technique

Time: $O(m+n)$ SC: $O(m+n)$

↳ Sorted ka pura fayda
↳ No extra space (excluding o/p)
↳ Best for already sorted arrays

9) find missing number in array:-

→ Brute Approach:-

arr[] = {1, 2, 4, 5} N=5

for (i=1; i<=N; i++) {

 flag=0;

 for (int j=0; j<n-1; j++) {

 if (arr[j] == i) {

 flag=1;

 break;

 }

 }

}

if (flag == 0)

 return i;

}

TC: $O(N \times N)$

SC: $O(1)$



→ Better Approach:-

$arr[] = \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$ $N = 5$ (Hashing)

Hash

0	1	2	0	1	1
0	1	2	3	4	5

↑ ↑

```
XOR1 = 0
for(i=1 → N)
```

```
    XOR1 = XOR1 ^ i
XOR2 = 0
for(i=0 to N-1)
```

```
    XOR2 = XOR2 ^ arr[i]
XOR1 ^ XOR2
```

TC :- $O(2N)$
SC :- $O(1)$

Replace that loop by
 $XOR1 = XOR1 \wedge (i+1)$
 and also after loop add
 $XOR1 = XOR1 \wedge N$

TC:
SC:

⇒ benefit of XOR —

Let say the size is 10^5

then in sum — $\frac{10^5 \times (10^5 + 1)}{2} \Rightarrow \boxed{10^{10} > 10^5}$

but in XOR — it remains 10^5 slightly better
in terms of data

10) Intersection of two sorted arrays :-

Brute force ^{Approach} :-

1) Nested loops → TC: $O(n^2)$ SC: $O(1)$

2) visited array —

```
for(i=0 → n1)
```

```
    for(j=0 → n2)
```

```
        if((a[i] == b[j] && vis[j] == 0) {
```

```
            ans.add(a[i]);
```

```
            vis[j] = 1;
```

```
        } break;
```

arr1 = [1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 5]

arr2 = [2, 3, 3, 5, 6, 7]

vis = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
 1 1 1 1

TC: $O(n_1 \times n_2)$

SC: $O(n_2)$



→ Better :- (hashing)

```
int hash[1001] = {0};
for (int i = 0 to n1)
    hash[arr1[i]] += 1;
```

```
sort for (auto it : arr2) {
    if (hash[it] > 0) {
        inter.push_back(it);
        hash[it] = 0;
    }
}
```

TC :- $O(n1 + n2)$

SC :- $O(n)$ → for solving
but for return the array it is $O(n+x)$

→ Optimal :- (Two-pointer approach)

↓

arr1 = [1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 5]

arr2 = [2, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6]

↑

```
while (i < n1 && j < n2) {
```

```
    if (arr1[i] == arr2[j]) {
```

then push

i++, j++;

}

```
    else if (arr1[i] < arr2[j]) j++;
```

```
    else i++;
```

∴ TC :- $O(n1 + n2)$

SC :- $O(1)$ → for solving

for return the array is $O(x)$

11) Maximum Consecutive ones :-

arr[] = { 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0 } Ans = 3

Approach :- 1) array maintain
2) simple variable

→ Brute :-

```
cnt = 0
vector ones;
for (i = 0 to n) {
    if (arr[i] == 1)
        cnt += 1
    else {
        ones.add(cnt);
        cnt = 0;
    }
}
ones.add(cnt);
```

TC :- $O(n)$

SC :- $O(n)$

→ Optimal :-

let, var = 0 and assign maximum cnt in var
return var;

TC :- $O(n)$

SC :- $O(1)$

1) find the no. that appears once, & others no's twice:

- Approach:-
- 1) Hashing / unordered map
 - 2) variable (sort & skip)
 - 3) XOR

1) Brute :- (unordered map)

TC:- $O(n) + O(\frac{n}{2} + 1)$ (1 pass for freq. + 1 pass for checking)

SC:- $O(\frac{n}{2} + 1)$

2) Better :- (Sorting + skipping)

TC:- $O(n \log n)$ (due to sorting)

SC:- $O(1)$ (sorting in-place)

3) Optimal :- (XOR)

TC:- $O(n)$ (XOR all the elements)

SC:- $O(1)$

3) Longest Subarray with Sum K :- [positives]

arr[] = [1, 2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 4, 2, 3] $K=3$

⇒ Brute :-

arr[] = [1, 2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 4, 2, 3]

↑ ↑
X 1

Generate all Subarray
(i-j)

len = 0
 \Rightarrow for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {

for (j = i to n) {

TC : $\approx O(n^3)$

for (k = i \rightarrow j)

replace by $s += a[j]$; \rightarrow TC : $\approx O(n^2)$

s += a[k]

if (s == k) len = max(len, j - i + 1)

why:-

[2, 3], [1, 2]

[2, 3] [2, 3, 1] [2, 3, 1,

↓
5

↓
5+1=6

↓
6+2=

\rightarrow Hashing :-

Note:- Better for ^{pos} positives

Optimal for (pos + neg + zero)

arr[] = [1, 2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 4, 2, 3]

prefsum = 0 1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Concept:-

$x - k = 4$ $k = 3$
 $7 \rightarrow 2$

til sum

7-3
6-2
3-1
1-0

Hash map

if (x - k) in Hashmap

then calculate len

else

no.

~~Best~~ \rightarrow for (pos + neg + zero) -

\rightarrow avoid add Duplicate sum in Hash map (should not in Hash

TC : $O(N \times \log N)$ or $O(N \times 1)$

SC : $O(N)$

$N \times N \approx N^2$

why extra condⁿ for pos + neg + zeros —

edge case — $[2, 0, 0, \boxed{3}] \rightarrow$ for eg, $k=3$

presum = $\emptyset \neq 5$

len = 0

$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2-2 \\ \hline 2-1 \\ \hline 2-0 \\ \hline \end{array}$

$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$
5

return len = 1 x

→ optimal for positives (sliding window) :-

arr[] = $[1, 2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 3]$ $k=6$

Sum = $1 \neq 6 \neq 6 \neq 6 \neq 6 \neq 6 \neq 6 \neq 6 \neq 6$

len = $\emptyset \neq 4$
↑

→ as you move towards right shrink the array from left & reduce left from array if its $(\text{sum} > k)$.

TC :- $O(2N)$

SC :- $O(1)$

i) Two Sum Problem :-

→ Brute :-

logic: check every pair (i, j)

TC: $O(n^2)$

SC: $O(1)$

