TER

Simulation d'application dynamiques pour plateformes de calculs hautes performances

Équipe MESCAL

Steven QUINITO MASNADA

Grenoble, 8 Juin 2015

Architecture et standard HPC

Multicœurs





- De plus haut niveau que PThread,
- Permet d'exploiter les architectures multicœurs
- Facilite le découpage des traitements







API de communication :

- De plus haut niveau que les sockets,
- Mécanismes de comminucation supplémentaires (exemple broadcast)



- API de caculs sur GPU :De plus que les
 - sockets
 - Mécanismes de comminucation supplémentaires

Limites des approches classiques

Utiliser plusieurs paradigmes → programmation complexe



Test sur systèmes réels

Simulation

Rejeu de trace

Hybride simulation / émulation

Simgrid

StarPU MSG

StarPU SMPI

Project-Team Composition

Natural evolution of the MESCAL team.

Name	Affiliation	Provenance	Expertise
V. Danjean	MdC UJF	MOAIS	HPC, Tracing, Experimental Methodology
N. Gast	CR2 Inria	MESCAL	Optimization, Stochastic Modeling
B. Gaujal	DR1 Inria	MESCAL	Modeling, Optimization, Game Theory
G. Huard	MdC UJF	MOAIS	HPC, Tracing, Visualization
A. Legrand	CR1 CNRS	MESCAL	HPC, Simulation, Visualization, Optimization
F. Perronnin	MdC UJF	MESCAL	Simulation, Stochastic and fluid models
P. Mertikopoulos	CR2 CNRS	MESCAL	Optimization, Game/Information Theory
J.M. Vincent	MdC UJF	MESCAL	HPC, Modeling, Simulation, Visualization

- Inria field / theme:
 - Network, Systems and Services
 - Distributed Computing / Distributed and High Performance Computing
- Keywords: HPC/large distributed systems, performance analysis, distributed and stochastic optimization, . . .

Context and Objectives

- Large distributed infrastructures
 - HPC/cloud/...
 - Wireless networks

- Smart grids
- Transportation systems







- Common questions scalability, resilience, adaptability, capacity planning, energy consumption, . . .
- Common characteristics ever growing size, distributed, heterogeneous, user-centric → stochastic nature
- This requires involved tools and new techniques that will be useful to the D&HPC community

Scientific Foundations: POLARIS in a Nutshell


Contribute to the understanding (from the observation, modeling and analysis to the optimization through adapted algorithms) of performances of very large scale distributed computing systems by applying original ideas from other research fields and application domains.

POLARIS = Team of people with the right spectrum of skills

- Experiment design measuring/monitoring/tracing tools, experimental methodology (design, control, reproducibility)
- Modeling and Simulation discrete event simulation, emulation, Markov chains, perfect sampling, Monte Carlo methods, . . .
- Visualization and Statistical Analysis workload characterization (failures, parallel systems), visualization and analysis of parallel applications
- Optimization stochastic approximations, mean field limits, game theory, mean field games, primal dual optimization, learning, information theory

Research Methodology


A continuum of 5 research areas



- Measurement design of experiments, observation overhead control, reproducible research
- Visualization performance qualification and debugging, multi-scale visualization, trace comparison
- Simulation faithful simulation of HPC systems, sensibility/robustness, trajectory coupling
- Fluid Modeling local interactions, transient analysis
- Optimization learning algorithms in continuous nonlinear games, online and distributed optimization

Research Methodology

A continuum of 5 research areas



- Measurement design of experiments, observation overhead control, reproducible research
- Visualization performance qualification and debugging, multi-scale visualization, trace comparison
- Simulation faithful simulation of HPC systems, sensibility/robustness, trajectory coupling
- Fluid Modeling local interactions, transient analysis
- Optimization learning algorithms in continuous nonlinear games, online and distributed optimization

Measurement: Reproducible Experimental Methodology


Real experiments are costly, difficult to control and to reproduce

 Cannot be studied anymore like artificial systems. Need to inspire from other experimental fields

Research directions:

- Design of experiments: involved statistical technique widely used in all fields where experiments are expensive but CS
 - Bridge this gap and favor its adoption in the D&HPC theme
- Monitoring and tracing: need for multi-scale (application/space/time) observation where intrusiveness is controlled
 - Evaluate the observation/analysis quality trade-off
- Open science and reproducibility: complexity and rapid technological evolution = excuse for not taking care of results reproducibility
 - Monitor/document the whole process (design, execution, data gathering, filtering, analysis)
 - Investigate/design pragmatic workflows to alleviate this flaw


Visualization: "Performance Driver" Identification



Visualization: "Performance Driver" Identification

Traditional approach: display everything

→ harmful biases (more information than what fits on your screen)



→ overenthusiastic use of clustering, pattern mining, sequence alignment Research Directions:

- Performance qualification and debugging
 - Colleagues from D&HPC theme in deep need of new approaches/tools
- Multi-scale analysis (space/time/application) resilient to noise
 - Entropy-based Aggregation applied to embedded/HPC systems
- Trace comparison

Simulation: Very Large Stochastic Systems


- Simulation circumvents some of the previous experimental issues
 - cost/screening, extrapolation, capacity planning, . . .
- Traditional approach: simplistic models to study large-scale systems, developed by D&HPC experts who know little about simulation
 - Short-lived tools with no intent of predicting anything. At best grossly indicates trend but no more expectation

Research directions:

- Accurately reproduce the dynamic of real systems
 - SimGrid: Versatile simulation of large-scale distributed systems coarse-grain fluid models, mix emulation/simulation, invalidation
 - Used is RUNTIME/HIEPACS, ASCOLA, KERDATA, AVALON, ...
- Provide sensibility analysis and robustness indicators
- Trajectory coupling for discrete event simulations
 - PSI²: Perfect sampling for Markovian systems

Simulation: Very Large Stochastic Systems

• Simulation circumvents some of the previous experimental issues Simulation of Cholesky/StarPU on a hybrid platform



Analysis: Local Interactions and Transient Analysis

Analysis of stochastic systems is particularly difficult but mean-field approximation is suited to large systems

 Key hypothesis: the dynamic solely depends on the entity state (not on their identity nor on their spatial location) and state space does not scale

Research directions:

- Locality is essential: possible approaches
 - pair approximation from statistical physics
 - fixed interaction graphs and a multi-scale approach
 - never used for distributed computing systems and high potential
- Transient behavior:
 - Finite horizon: OK (discrete system is uniformly close to its continuous limit)
 - Infinite time horizon when the continuous limit is globally stable: OK
 - Trajectory dependent stopping time: ???
 - Could be used to analyze the complexity of distributed algorithms

Optimization: Game Theory, On-line Distributed Optimization

Modeling interactions through game theory

Nash equilibrium often inefficient but efficient equilibrium can be learned

- Finite set of strategies = OK. **In**finite set = ???
 - Examples: routing packet flows, power control in wireless networks, ...
 - Discretizing is not an viable option (state space explosion exponentially hard to analyze, mixed strategy space is irrelevant)
- **Goal**: Design learning algorithms in continuous nonlinear games that can be applied to realistic network scenarios

Online and distributed optimization

- Common unsatisfactory use of greedy approaches based on offline heuristics
- Each agent is faced with an unknown and evolving loss function and seeks to minimize his cumulative loss via the use of past observations
- Regret minimization: notion at the interface of game theory, optimization, statistics and theoretical computer science
- Goal: Develop and apply such techniques to actual systems

Ensure that key practical properties are met (asynchronous operations, numerical stability, robustness to noisy or delayed inputs, low overhead)

Within Inria and National

Distributed and H.P. Computing/Distributed Systems and Middleware *Potential* or ongoing collaborations with: DATA-MOVE, (CORSE), AVALON, *ROMA*, STORM, HIEPACS, (*REALOPT*), *TADAAM*, *KERDATA*, MYRIADS, ASAP, REGAL

Other Inria themes

• Optimization of and control of dynamic systems: BIBOP, NECS

19 / 20

 Networks and Telecommunications: MAESTRO, DIOGENE, DIONYSOS, RAP, SOCRATE

Other groups Game theory (LSS/supelec, Ceremade/Dauphine, HEC), Stochastic optimization (Toulouse)

International

International collaborations

- Inria JLESC (NCSA/UIUC, BSC, Jülich)
- Inria@SiliconValley/Berkeley (BOINC)
- LICIA (UFRGS)
- EPFL
- Univ. of Athens

Connexion with Grenoble industry through CIFRE contracts

- Bull/ATOS, STMicroelectronics, HP, Orange, CEA
- Alcatel, Huawei