**Disclaimer:** This document is an evolving draft and part of the guidelines and tools designed to support the Federal Administration in publishing open source code. For more information, see the main [README](https://github.com/swiss/opensource-guidelines/tree/main).

# Use of these guidelines

Under Article 9 of the Federal Act on the Use of Electronic Means to Carry Out Official Tasks (EMOTA), the Confederation is required to disclose the source code of software that it develops or commissions for the performance of its duties. ([SR 172.019](SR%20172.019%20-%20Bundesgesetz%20vom%2017.%20März%202023%20über...%20%7C%20Fedlex%20(admin.ch))) The DTI Sector of the Federal Chancellery has derived overarching objectives from this legal mandate in the Strategic Guidelines for Open Source Software.

This document serves as practical guidelines on the legal and strategic requirements. It is designed to assist federal administrative units in the use, procurement and release of open source software. It provides all interested parties with a comprehensive overview of the subject and refers to additional information in the other resources.

However, depending on your prior knowledge and needs, you may wish to read the guides selectively before moving on to the other tools. The following outline will help you find the appropriate starting point. If you are unsure, it is recommended that you read through the guidelines first.

## Intention / Stakeholders

General introduction to open source software

* Section Definitions
* Section Potential and challenges
* Section Findability of OSS alternatives / solutions
* [Em002-5 EMOTA and OSS Factsheet](em002-5.md)
* [Em002-3 OSS Licensing Guidelines](em002-3.md)
* [Em002-6 FAQ on OSS and Art. 9 EMOTA](em002-6.md)

General introduction to EMOTA for decision-makers

* [Em002-5 EMOTA and OSS Factsheet](em002-5.md)
* [Em002 Strategic Guidelines for Open Source Software in the Federal Administration](em002.md)

Procurement only of software to be used

* Section Overview of OSS constellations
* Section Use of unmodified open source software
* [Em002-3 OSS Licensing Guidelines](em002-3.md)
* Software procurement information sheet [FOBL2015] deprec.
* Procurement project templates

New IT development and release

* Section Development with open source components and release of source code
* Section Collaboration in open projects (contribution)
* [Em002-2 Instructions for Publishing OSS](em002-2.md)
* [Em002-2.1 Preliminary Assessment Checklist](em002-2.1.md)
* [Em002-2.2 Analysis and Preparation Checklist](em002-2.2.md)
* [Em002-2.3 Release and Publication Checklist](em002-2.3.md)
* [Em002-4 OSS Community Guidelines](em002-4.md)
* [Em002-4.1 OSS Community Checklist](em002-4.1.md)

Procurement of created software (service or work)

* Section Overview of OSS constellations
* Section Use of unmodified open source software
* Section Collaboration in open projects (contribution)
* Procurement and EMOTA information sheet [FOBL]
* Tender registration
* Tender kick-off slides
* Software procurement information sheet [FOBL2015] deprec.
* Procurement Project Templates
* [Em002-2 Instructions for Publishing OSS](em002-2.md)
* [Em002-2.1 Preliminary Assessment Checklist](em002-2.1.md)
* [Em002-2.2 Analysis and Preparation Checklist](em002-2.2.md)
* [Em002-2.3 Release and Publication Checklist](em002-2.3.md)
* [Em002-4 OSS Community Guidelines](em002-4.md)
* [Em002-4.1 OSS Community Checklist](em002-4.1.md)

Legal clarifications for release

* [Em002-6 FAQ on OSS and Art. 9 EMOTA](em002-6.md)
* [Em002-3 OSS Licensing Guidelines](em002-3.md)

Working principles for project managers

* [HERMES documents](https://www.hermes.admin.ch/)

The following diagram gives an overview of the documents relevant to OSS in the Federal Administration.

Overview of OSS tools in relation to Art. 9 EMOTA

Overview of OSS tools in relation to Art. 9 EMOTA

Figure 1: Overview of OSS tools in relation to Art. 9 EMOTA

# Definitions



| Open source software | Software is considered open source software (OSS) when it is published under one of approximately 80 licences recognised by the Open Source Initiative OSI [OSI2019]. Specifically, the definition of open source sets out ten criteria that all open source licences must meet [PE1999]. These can essentially be summarised in four points:

The software may be used for any purpose.

The source code of the software is freely accessible.

The software may be copied and distributed without restriction.

The software may be modified and distributed in modified form under certain conditions.

Free software | Before the term ‘open source’, the Free Software Foundation (FSF) introduced the concept of ‘free software’ in the 1980s. In essence, free software meets the conditions of open source software, but aims to ensure that the software is always freely accessible and, wherever possible, is not integrated into proprietary software. See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Free\_software |  
Proprietary software | With [proprietary software](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Proprietary_software), a supplier develops software and sells a usage licence to the user. Generally, the user does not know the software code and may not redistribute or modify the software. They can only use the software according to the licence terms (e.g. End-User Licence Agreement, EULA) in return for payment of licence fees. For example, the software may be authorised to be used by only a certain number of users or a certain number of processors. In return for the usually annual maintenance fees, the supplier commits to fixing errors within a reasonable timeframe and to continuously developing the software. |  
Licence | A licence is a document that contains binding guidelines for the use and distribution of software. In the case of open source, licences that comply with OSI are usually used. See https://opensource.org/ |  
Community | A community in the context of open source software is broadly defined. It can be a loose ecosystem or a structure with governance that develops the software. The document [Em002-4 OSS Community Guidelines](em002-4.md) covers this topic. Community members can jointly manage the product, develop, test, translate, provide feedback or simply use the software. |  
Third-party rights | Even with open source software, protective rights may exist (copyright, trademark law, patent law) and can be asserted by third parties, e.g. when using source code created by third parties. |  
Exceptions under EMOTA | Exceptions according to Art. 9 para. 1 EMOTA include third-party rights and security-related reasons. Both are discussed in Section 3 of [Em002-2 Instructions for Publishing OSS](em002-2.md). |  
Source code | In computing, source code (or source text) is the human-readable text of a computer program written in a programming language. See: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Source\_code |  
Publication | Publication primarily refers to the release of the source code under an open licence according to OSI. For practical reasons, this usually also includes documentation and automated instructions for building the application from the source code. Automated tests and test documents are also typically included. To enable a community to develop, a platform is used that then takes on several functions of a development platform (build, test, support). |  
Digital sovereignty | We use the definition from the FDFA’s Digital Sovereignty Report [St2024]: ‘Digital sovereignty of a state or organisation necessarily includes complete control over stored and processed data as well as independent decision-making about who may access it. It further includes the ability to independently develop, modify, control and supplement technological components and systems.’ |  
Repository | A repository is a storage location used by a version control tool for files and metadata about the code base. Repositories allow multiple contributors to work on the same files and store different versions. Most also allow issue tracking, release management, automated builds and documentation. |  
Fork | A [fork](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fork_(software_development)) of an open source project is the process of setting up an independent development of the original project. |  
Contributor Licence Agreement (CLA) | A [Contributor Licence Agreement](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Contributor_License_Agreement) is a document that describes the conditions under which intellectual property can be contributed to a project or venture. |  
Source code management (SCM) | [Source code management](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Version_control), also known as version control, is a tool that effectively manages changes and version numbers, particularly in software development. The free software [Git](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Git) for managing distributed SCM has become established. |  
Open source software development (OSSD) | In [open source software development (OSSD)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open-source_software_development), in addition to publishing the source code, the entire development process is carried out publicly. Everything from requirements (issues) to source code is transparent. OSSD is supported by public communication tools (mailing lists, forums, etc.), a version control system (git), bug and feature lists, roadmap and developer tools. |  
Software Package Data Exchange (SPDX) | [Software Package Data Exchange (SPDX)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Software_Package_Data_Exchange) describes a standard format for Software Bill of Materials (SBOM) with the aim of facilitating the correct handling of free software or open source software. |  
Copyleft effect | If software is under a licence with a copyleft provision, any modification or extension of the source code must be released again under the licence of the modified open source software (see also [Em002-3 OSS Licensing Guidelines](em002-3.md)). |

# Potential and challenges

There is enormous potential for open source software in today’s world of IT. At the same time, the use of open source solutions also brings challenges. These two sides are explained in more detail in the following section. The information is based on, among other things, the results of the Open Source Study Switzerland 2018, 2021 and 2024 by the University of Bern, in which respondents provided information about the recognised advantages and disadvantages of open source software.

## Potential of open source software

The following points describe the potential that can be realised when using and releasing open source software.

### Digital sovereignty

This involves the ability to use and control digital services. Digital sovereignty extends over the entire lifecycle of a digital system. Open source software promotes digital sovereignty as the software can in any case be independently further developed. We use the definition from [St2024]: ‘Digital sovereignty of a state or organisation necessarily includes com- plete control over stored and processed data as well as independent decision-making about who may access it. It further includes the ability to independently develop, modify, control and supplement technological components and systems.’

### No licence fees

There are no licence costs for using open source software. However, when obtaining complex standard open source software packages, it may make sense to purchase support subscriptions, for which a fee is paid.

### Cost savings through cooperation with other users

As software under an open source licence can be used and further developed without restriction, costs of further developments can be shared or existing additional developments from other administrative units can be adopted according to the principle of ‘develop once – use multiple times’. At the same time, there is the opportunity to benefit from the experience and developments of others.

### Community building & knowledge sharing

Open source software facilitates community building and knowledge sharing, for example between the different federal levels in Switzerland. Software can be jointly further developed, errors fixed and individual experiences shared. The increased exchange of knowledge between different administrative units leads to a better understanding of what others are working on, so that duplication is avoided and the best solutions can spread.

### Less vendor lock-in

Vendor lock-in (dependency on software suppliers) is considered very high in computing. Using software under an open source licence reduces vendor lock-in as operation, maintenance, support, further development and other services for open source software can be openly tendered.

### Open standards and interoperability

With open source software, compatibility with other software solutions and IT systems (interoperability) is generally higher than with proprietary software. Open source solutions also use almost exclusively open data formats, which is why they can be easily exchanged with other systems.

### Transparency about the structure of the software

As the software is also available in the form of source code, its quality can be checked, for example, through external reviews. In addition, documentation can be created based on the source code (e.g. with regard to new tenders for further development services or the replacement of open source software at the end of its service life).

### Security and trust through transparency

Because of the open nature of the source code, the security of open source software can be higher than that of proprietary software. Moreover, it is much more difficult to build backdoors and other loopholes into open source software, which leads to more trust in the software.

### Higher quality and modularity of code

The quality of open source software can be higher than proprietary software because the motivation to write good code may be greater when developers know that their source code will be published. In addition, open source solutions tend to be highly modular, so that individual modules can be easily replaced and the remaining modules can continue to be used. Another aspect of security is that it is easier to document the libraries used (including dependencies) than in proprietary software. This in turn means that when vulnerabilities occur, they can be quickly identified and fixed (see also SBOM).

### Easy customisation

Access to the source code allows users to make further developments themselves or through external suppliers. This means the software can be quickly adapted to their own needs.

### Rapid innovation and integration

There is rapid, continuous further development of open source software by the community. For example, new technologies and data standards are often published as open source programming libraries. This enables the rapid realisation of innovative software solutions.

### Employer attractiveness

The use of modern open source technologies and informal collaboration with international communities promotes employee motivation and thus increases employer attractiveness, which in turn facilitates the recruitment of young, qualified professionals.

## Challenges in dealing with OSS

The following describes the typical challenges encountered in practice with open source software and outlines some possible solutions. We do not address general challenges that affect all software at this point (e.g. the need to check for cybersecurity and the need to secure appropriate support for critical software).

### High switching costs due to existing dependencies

Replacing proprietary software with open source solutions can be very costly if the application is closely embedded in the existing IT system through interface integration or other dependencies. These switching costs mean that introducing open source software often only pays off at the end of the proprietary software’s lifecycle.

### Missing features or no suitable open source solution

When procuring standard software, it may happen that there is no open source software alternative to the (previous) proprietary solution, or only one that is functionally inadequate. As a solution approach, there is the possibility of jointly developing the missing features together with other users of the open source software and adding them to the open source project.[18]

### Higher integration costs

Short-term cost savings resulting from licence savings when using open source software are often offset by higher integration costs. Therefore, it is important to recognise that the professional use of open source software is not ‘free’ but actually generates internal or external costs.

### Unclear responsibilities and support

Open source software is often criticised for the lack of support and maintenance by external companies. However, there are now a variety of suppliers offering commercial services (long-term support, ongoing maintenance, further development, liability and warranty, etc.) for open source solutions. The different business models with open source software are explained in Annex D and the different support models in Section 8. An up-to-date overview of open source service providers and their services can be found in the [Open Source Directory](https://www.ossdirectory.com) or in the [OSS Study 2024](https://www.oss-studie.ch/).

### Small market with few suppliers

As certain open source applications, such as desktop applications, generally require little or no support and maintenance, there may be little market for open source providers of support and maintenance. In this case, a tendering process for open source software services can help to create a market that increases competition between suppliers. The existence of a broad development community for the software in question and the quality of the development documentation are of practical importance when tendering for such services.

### Low visibility

Communities of open source projects focus primarily on product development and rarely on marketing. In contrast, manufacturers of proprietary software invest heavily in marketing and selling their products. This lack of advertising for open source software often creates the false impression that there is no alternative to proprietary products. However, there are platforms such as [alternativeTo](https://alternativeto.net/) that provide an overview of solutions for specific tasks.

### Lack of acceptance by end users

Due to different user interfaces, missing functions, low user-friendliness and little advertising for open source software, there is a lack of acceptance by end users for certain open source products. Appropriate communication, documentation and training offerings can counteract this challenge. The fact that open source software can be further developed according to users’ needs can also lead to higher acceptance.

### Little or no in-house expertise

Open source solutions evolve rapidly and at the same time require in-depth technical understanding. Thus, it may happen that internal employees have little or no expertise with certain open source software. In-house OSS know-how can be built up through further training and opportunities for self-study via online sources and building in-house wikis, etc.

### Difficult future assessment

It is often difficult for outsiders of an open source community to recognise how the project will develop in the future. Therefore, it is important to be able to make a realistic assessment of the future development of an open source solution. In this regard, Section 7.2 introduces the Open Hub platform, which allows an assessment of the activity and heterogeneity of the developer community. This makes it possible to better assess the future development of an open source project.

### Legal uncertainties

The multitudes of different open source licences and small number of court rulings on interpretation issues to date can sometimes lead to legal uncertainties with open source software. These practical guidelines are intended to provide an overview of the most important open source licences and their characteristics and compatibilities. Sources for in-depth answers to legal questions can be found in the documents [Em002-3 OSS Licensing Guidelines](em002-3.md) and in [Em002-6 FAQ on OSS and Art. 9 EMOTA](em002-6.md).

### Too extensive offering of open source software

The number of open source software products has increased dramatically in recent years. Potential users of open source software therefore complain about the multitude of existing open source solutions on the market. For this reason, Section 0 ’ Findability of ’ introduces two platforms, alternativeTo and Open Hub, which enable a comparison of Open Source solutions.

# Constellations for using/working with OSS

## Overview of OSS constellations

When using and developing OSS in the Federal Administration, there are various constellations regarding the obligations related to open source licences:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Constellation | Impact |
| The were use of existing open source software (without modifying the code). | There is no obligation to share the source code. |
| The complete new development of software that is be published under an open source licence. | In this case, there is complete freedom regarding the licence under which the software is published. The document [Em002-3 OSS Licensing Guidelines](em002-3.md) should be used for the Confederation. The document [Em002-2 Instructions for Publishing OSS](em002-2.md) and [Em002-4 OSS Community Guidelines](em002-4.md) must be observed. |
| Further development (internal or external) with existing open source components (with or without copyleft effect. See [Em002-3 OSS Licensing Guidelines](em002-3.md)), as long as the software is used exclusively within the same organisation and not redistributed. | The OSS components can be combined with third-party components as required. There is generally no publication obligation from the licence, as long as the software is used exclusively within the same organisation and not distributed (exception: AGPL). Since it is not legally conclusive how far the concept of ‘distribution within the same organisation’ extends, this scenario only applies in exceptional cases for OSS components with copyleft effects. In these cases, consult your organisation’s legal department. See [Em002-3 OSS Licensing Guidelines](em002-3.md) and Em002-6 FAQ on OSS and Art.9 EMOTA. The document [Em002-2 Instructions for Publishing OSS](em002-2.md) and [Em002-4 OSS Community Guidelines](em002-4.md) must be observed. As there is a publication obligation under Art. 9 EMOTA, the changes must be re-released. The easiest way is as changes to the existing open source project. |
| Further development (internal or external) exclusively with existing open source components without copyleft effect, if the software is to be distributed externally (e.g. to cantons). | The OSS components can be combined with third-party components at will, and there is no publication obligation from the licences (see also [Em002-3 OSS Licensing Guidelines](em002-3.md) [Em002.3]). However, release is mandatory within the framework of Art. 9 EMOTA. Ideally, the release should made be via the existing project (no fork). The document [Em002-2 Instructions for Publishing OSS](em002-2.md) and [Em002-4 OSS Community Guidelines](em002-4.md) must be observed. |
| Further development (internally or externally) with existing open source components with copyleft effect, if the software is to be distributed externally (e.g. to cantons). | There is an obligation to publish due to the copyleft licence (see also [Em002-3 OSS Licensing Guidelines](em002-3.md) regarding the copyleft effect). The document [Em002-2 Instructions for Publishing OSS](em002-2.md) and [Em002-4 OSS Community Guidelines](em002-4.md) must be observed. |
| Contributing to an existing open source project. | There is generally a publication obligation according to EMOTA. The governance and licence of the project are used. The document [Em002-2 Instructions for Publishing OSS](em002-2.md) and [Em002-4 OSS Community Guidelines](em002-4.md) must be observed. |
| Joint development of a new or existing project with a community and cost sharing. | There is generally a publication obligation according to EMOTA. The documents [Em002-2 Instructions for Publishing OSS](em002-2.md), [Em002-3 OSS Licensing Guidelines](em002-3.md) and [Em002-4 OSS Community Guidelines](em002-4.md) are used to set up the project and its governance. |
| All variants of development or further development are carried out via a supplier or commissioned third party. | There is generally a publication obligation according to EMOTA.Based on [Em002-2 Instructions for Publishing OSS](em002-2.md), [Em002-3 OSS Licensing Guidelines](em002-3.md) and [Em002-4 OSS Community Guidelines](em002-4.md). The procurement process should, where possible, create the conditions and, where necessary, transfer the requirements for release to the supplier/third party. |

## Use of unmodified open source software

When using open source software, it is generally not relevant under which open source licence it is published, as all open source licences (see Section 2 ‘Definitions’) allow the unrestricted use of open source software, regardless of how many workstations, simultaneously logged-in users, number of servers and processors, etc. the software is used on.

When using unmodified open source software, **no publication obligations** arise even for programs that are under a licence with a strict copyleft effect (exception: AGPL licence, Affero General Public Licence).

As long as open source software is introduced and operated by internal federal service providers, this can be done **without a public procurement procedure**, because “the OSS licence alone generally costs the procuring entity nothing and is therefore not relevant to procurement in itself.”

If an external service provider is commissioned for maintenance, support and other services for the open source software, the requirements of public procurement law must be observed. Different support variants are described in Section 9. It is also important to use appropriate suitability and award criteria when procuring open source software. The basis for this is explained in Section 8.

## Development with open source components and release of source code

With the publication obligation according to Art. 9 EMOTA, the procedure described in [*Em002-2 Instructions for Publishing OSS*](em002-2.md) should be followed here.  
The documents [*Em002-3 OSS Licensing Guidelines*](em002-3.md) and [*Em002-4 OSS Community Guidelines*](em002-4.md) must also be consulted if necessary. The goal is to release the project in a controlled manner using the three checklists [*Em002-2.1*](Em002-2.1%20Checkliste%20Vorabklarung%20EN.odt)*,* [*Em002-2.2*](Em002-2.2%20Checkliste%20Analyse%20und%20Aufbereitung%20EN.odt)*,* [*Em002-2.3*](Em002-2.3%20Checkliste%20Freigabe%20und%20Publikation%20EN.odt).

## Collaboration in open projects (contribution)

Possible considerations for the Federal Administration’s contribution to open, existing projects are listed at <https://www.bitkom.org/Bitkom/Publikationen/Bitkom-Leitfaden-zu-Open-Source-Software-20.html> in Section 4.2. Possible considerations for the Federal Administration’s contribution to open, already existing projects are listed in the BITKOM guide [BITCOM2024](https://www.bitkom.org/Bitkom/Publikationen/Bitkom-Leitfaden-zu-Open-Source-Software-20.html) Section 4.2. Depending on the importance of the project for the Federal Administration, it should be examined how much responsibility the respective office wants to and can assume.

Collaboration in open projects and direct development in open projects can also take place using the documents for release. The focus is on [*Em002-4 OSS Community Guidelines*](em002-4.md) and the *checklist* [*Em002-4.1*](Em002-4.1%20Checkliste%20OSS-Community%20EN.odt).

# Properties and selection of open source licences

The properties and selection of open source licences are described in the document [*Em002-3 OSS Licensing Guidelines*](em002-3.md)*.*

# OSS procurement

This and the following sections deal with the procurement and unchanged use of open source software. The focus is on the [*Procurement and EMOTA information sheet*](https://intranet.bbl.admin.ch/bbl_kp/de/home/informatik/beschaffung-buerotechnik-informatik-des-bbl/werkzeugkasten.html), which is relevant for IT services and works (interal only). The following are also of interest:

* Tender registration
* Tender kick-off slides
* The document [*Em002-3 OSS Licensing Guidelines*](em002-3.md) may also be useful.
* The overview of open source software used, released and co-developed can also be consulted.

The enterprise readiness of open source can be found in the document *Selection Criteria for Enterprise-Ready Open Source Software* [Gu2024] or in the [Bitkom guide](https://www.bitkom.org/Bitkom/Publikationen/Bitkom-Leitfaden-zu-Open-Source-Software-20.html), Section 3.3.

*Figure* *2: Key documents on OSS procurement in connection with EMOTA Art. 9*

# Findability of OSS alternatives / solutions

Various platforms allow interested organisations to find and analyse open source software before use or procurement. The resulting data provide a basis for deciding on the use or procurement of open source software.

A compilation of minimum requirements and possibly a market analysis should serve in any case.

## alternativeTo

A practical tool for finding alternatives to software is ‘alternativeTo - Crowdsourced software recommendations’. As the name suggests, the alternatives and their ratings have been created through crowdsourcing, i.e. the input of many individual users. alternativeTo distinguishes four types of software solutions, of which only the first is considered open source software in the sense of Art. 9 EMOTA:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Free open source** | Software that is published under an open source licence. |
| **Free** | Free software (freeware) but whose source code is not freely available and may not be modified. |
| **Freemium** | Such software offers a free and a premium version, with all important functionalities already available in the free version. This category does not include software that can be tested free of charge for a certain period of time (e.g. a 30-day trial version), which falls into the ‘Commercial’ category. |
| **Commercial** | This is proprietary software for which licence fees are charged when used. |

## Open source repositories

Currently, the world’s most popular open source development platform with over 30 million users and over 100 million source code repositories is [GitHub](https://octoverse.github.com/). Today, practically all IT companies, especially manufacturers of proprietary software, as well as many other types of company and organisation, have a GitHub presence. More and more public authorities – [about a dozen from Switzerland](https://government.github.com/community/#switzerland) – also publish their own open source software on ‘GitHub and Government’ at <https://government.github.com>. This process can also be carried out with other repositories.

Examples of other repositories are:

* GitLab
* Bitbucket
* SourceForge
* LaunchPad

On GitHub Insights, numerous relevant statistics of an Open Source project on GitHub can be read:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Pulse** | Overview of the recent activities of an open source project on GitHub: Summary of the most important information about development intensity, community heterogeneity, open reports, improvements (pull requests), etc. |
| **Contributors** | Display of which developers have been active and when. This is an important indicator of whether everything depends on one person or whether there is a larger community behind it. |
| **Commits** | Display of which contributions were made to this open source project in which time period. |
| **Code frequency** | Visualisation of how much source code was added or removed and when. |
| **Dependency graph** | Dependencies of the open source project on other open source software (e.g. relevant for identifying security vulnerabilities and updates). |
| **Network** | Display of when which developer contributed to which development branch. |
| **Forks** | List of all copies of the open source project on GitHub. Indicator of the popularity and distribution of the open source software. |

## Open Hub

If information is to be collected on an open source solution that is not necessarily developed on GitHub, [Open Hub](https://www.openhub.net/) by Black Duck is a good option. A wealth of important information is clearly summarised for around half a million open source projects:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Project Summary | A brief description of the open source project. |
| In a Nutshell | The most important facts about an open source project, such as the number of commits, contributors and lines of code, as well as the most used programming language, the time of the first commit and last change. In addition, an assessment of the code base and the size of the development team is listed (e.g. ‘Mozilla Firefox has a well-established, mature codebase maintained by a very large development team with stable Y-O-Y commits’). |
| Quick Reference | Contains information about the organisation, links to the project and the code, as well as references to similar projects. |
| Licences | Indicates the licence(s) under which the open source project is licensed and what consequences are associated with it. |
| Project Security | Provides information on the security and vulnerabilities of the open source project. |
| Code | A graph showing the number of lines of code over time, broken down by programming language. |
| Activity | A graph showing the number of commits per month. A summary of the last 30 days and 12 months is also provided. |
| Community | A graph showing the number of active contributors per month is displayed. A rating of the project is also displayed on a five-star scale. |

Open Hub also allows you to [compare different](https://www.openhub.net/p/_compare) open source projects. This quickly provides an overview of which project has the most active community, the longest development time or the most suitable licence.

## Special code repositories

Larger organisations have their own code repositories. Particularly noteworthy is, for example, the German repository for authorities [opencode.de](https://opencode.de/de). Repositories from other public administrations are of particular interest here.

## OSS Directory

Open Source organisations sometimes maintain directories of interesting open source software and companies that can support this.  
In Switzerland, this is the [OSS Directory](https://www.ossdirectory.com/en/home) of CH Open.

# Support models for OSS use

Open source software already available on the market can basically be used in three ways:

1. Use without professional support
2. Use with internal support
3. Use with support from an external supplier.

These three types of use and their advantages and disadvantages are briefly explained below. Which of these scenarios makes the most sense in a particular case must be decided on a case-by-case basis. Which support model is suitable depends on the strategic relevance of the open source software, the technical integration and the available personnel resources.

For critical software, support must be provided professionally in any case, whether internally or externally. The planned lifecycle should also play a role in support planning. It may also be that support is obtained from multiple providers.

## Use without professional support

Open source software is free to download, install and use.



| Advantages |

Low cost

Rapid implementation

| | Disadvantages |

No guaranteed support

No liability claims

 Risk and safeguarding | High risk: There are no support contracts or guarantees and there is little or no developer expertise in the organisation. |  
 Typical area of application | Standard open source software that can be updated independently of other systems. |

## Use with internal support

A company or public sector organisation builds up expertise and resources in specific open source solutions for long-term use. This approach is particularly common in business-critical areas.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Advantages | High flexibility thanks to internal know-howNo supplier lock-in |
| Disadvantages | High investment and time required to build up expertiseHigher internal fixed costs for staff |
| Risk and safeguarding | Medium risk: Support depends on know-how and availability of internal IT. |
| Typical area of application | In-house development, strategically used open source software for which in-depth know-how is available. |

## Use with support from external supplier

An external open source provider is brought in to professionally accompany the rollout and maintenance of the open source software. This approach is particularly used in business-critical areas where in-depth know-how of the software must be immediately available. This can also include parts of or the entire release.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Advantages | Direct access to the know-how of open source developersFixes and enhancements on a contract basisSelection of different open source suppliersCommitment, safeguarding against compliance risks |
| Disadvantages | External costs through open source suppliersKnow-how dependency on open source supplier |
| Risk and safeguarding | Low risk: Warranty is provided according to terms of reference or service level agreement |
| Typical area of application | Business-critical open source software where little or no in-house development expertise is available |

# Contact point

There is no single point of contact in the Federal Administration that provides information on open source topics. In principle, federal offices are responsible for implementation themselves.

General enquiries about the OSS tools in the Em002 document set can be directed to the DTI Sector of the Federal Chancellery: [opensource@bk.admin.ch](mailto:opensource@bk.admin.ch).

# Annexes

## Changes from previous version

* References to FITSU removed.
* The document has been substantially revised and reorganised compared to the previous version. The constellations also address the creation and handling of open source software.
* Several parts have been moved to the other supporting documents in the Em002 set: e.g. licences, glossary, FAQ.
* The part on procurement of unmodified software has been taken from the FOBL 2015 leaflet.
* The references have been moved to the strategic guidelines.
* The sections on potential and challenges, findability of possible open source software, support models and business models with OSS have remained in the practical guidelines.

## References

The references of the document set [Em002](em002.md) can be found in the *Strategic Guidelines* Em002.

## Abbreviations

A list of abbreviations can be found in the main document Em002.  
A glossary can be found in the document [*Em002-6 FAQ on OSS and Art. 9 EMOTA*](em002-6.md).

## Business models with OSS

Open source software is not a business model per se because, unlike with proprietary software, it is not possible to sell software under an open source licence. Nevertheless, there are different possibilities for companies to operate business models based on open source software. For example, if professional external support is to be obtained for an open source solution, then the procurement of corresponding commercial services is necessary. The four most common business models for open source software are explained below. Additional aspects of these and other business models are explained in the BITKOM guidelines [BIT-KOM2023].

### Services and products based on OSS

Companies can offer commercial services such as web hosting or cloud computing based on open source software, which would be much more expensive with proprietary software. As a result, most start-ups, online portals and e-commerce providers today build their platforms on open source software. Other technology companies such as telecommunications companies, streaming providers or even manufacturers of proprietary software integrate open source software into their software and hardware products as well as online services. This allows companies to continue to offer innovative solutions that would be difficult to achieve with proprietary software.

### Services for OSS

Open source providers provide services for selected open source software. They have experienced open source developers and can therefore offer support, maintenance, operation, development, consulting, training and other services for open source software. These can be obtained from the open source supplier as a mandate or under a contract for work and services. Such services for open source software can be publicly tendered as there is no vendor lock-in. What is important for such tenders is the consideration of appropriate criteria so that the service providers that are actually competent are selected (see Sections 0 and 9, [BITKOM2023] Section 3.3 and [Gu2024]).

### Subscriptions

If services for open source software are provided in a standardised, recurring form as a kind of service level agreement (SLA), these are called subscriptions. As part of such subscriptions, companies guarantee, for example, continuous security updates, support by email or telephone, compatibility with other software and hardware products through certifications, long-term maintenance services and safeguards against legal claims (copyright, patents). In return, customers pay subscriptions per workstation or CPU, similar to licence fees or usage fees for proprietary software. Unlike proprietary software, however, subscriptions for open source software are not a prerequisite for using the software, but merely a way of paying for the added value of the services provided by the open source supplier.

### Dual licensing

If a company owns the intellectual property of a software solution and all integrated open source components are under a permissive licence, then dual or multiple licensing can be applied. This allows the developer company to publish the software under a copyleft open source licence and also sell it under a proprietary licence. This commercial version is often called the Enterprise version and typically includes certain additional features, such as exclusive interface integrations or permission for buyers to integrate the software into their own proprietary products. Depending on the extent of the restrictions of the open source version, caution is advised when using dual-licensed software, as obtaining the Enterprise version may be unavoidable, making the vendor lock-in as high as with typical proprietary software.