

# Tutorial-7

Om Swostik

**16.6:** This is a tricky problem. It is quite tempting to try  $\forall$ -elim  $n$  times and substitute the appropriate terms (wrt  $\sigma$ )

However, this approach fails as the following example shows:

Consider the formula  $\forall x_1, x_2, x_3 \ x_1 = x_3$  and let  $\sigma = [x_1 \rightarrow x_2, x_2 \rightarrow x_1]$

If we try to apply  $\forall$ -elim starting from  $x_1$  and substituting each term (according to  $\sigma$ ), we get the formula  $x_1 = x_3$ .

However,  $F\sigma = (x_2 = x_3)$  (As  $x_2$  doesn't occur in  $F$ )

A possible solution to this issue is the following:

We use extra variables  $y_1, y_2 \dots y_n$  ( $y_i$  are fresh variables not in  $F$  and distinct from  $x_i$ )

If  $\sigma$  maps  $x_i$  to  $t_i$ , we replace all occurrences of  $x_i$  in  $t_i$  with  $y_i$  to create a new term  $t'_i$

We apply  $\forall$ -elim on the  $x_i$ 's and replace with the corresponding terms  $t'_i$

We obtain a formula  $F'$  which has some  $y_i$ 's occurring in it (we want to replace these  $y_i$ 's with  $x_i$ 's to obtain  $F\sigma$ )

Now apply  $\forall$ -intro for  $y_i$ 's in order, starting from  $y_1$  (Why can we do this?)

Now, apply  $\forall$ -elim again (on the the universally quantified  $y_i$ 's) and replace each term with the corresponding  $x_i$  to get  $F\sigma$  (Check that  $\forall$ -elim can be applied in this context)

With this, we get a proof of atmost  $2n$  steps

**16.8:**

We will simulate  $\forall$ -elim using  $\exists$ -def and other proof rules

$t$  refers to any arbitrary term

1.  $\Sigma \vdash \forall x F(x)$  (Premise)

2.  $\Sigma \vdash \neg \exists x(\neg F(x))$  ( $\exists$ -def-1)
3.  $\Sigma \cup \{\neg F(t)\} \vdash \neg F(t)$  (Associativity)
4.  $\Sigma \cup \{\neg F(t)\} \vdash \exists x(\neg F(x))$  ( $\exists$ -intro-3)
5.  $\Sigma \cup \{\neg F(t)\} \vdash \neg \exists x(\neg F(x))$  (Monotonicity-2)
6.  $\Sigma \vdash \neg \neg F(t)$  (By-Contra-4-5)
7.  $\Sigma \vdash F(t)$  (Double-neg-elim-6)

**17.4.1:**

1.  $\phi \vdash R(s_1, s_2) \vee \neg R(s_1, s_2)$  (Tautology)
2.  $\phi \vdash \exists w(R(s_1, s_2) \vee \neg R(w, s_2))$  ( $\exists$ -intro-1)
3.  $\phi \vdash \forall z \exists w(R(s_1, s_2) \vee \neg R(w, z))$  ( $\forall$ -intro-2)