Logic Coverage from Source Code

Moonzoo Kim
School of Computing
KAIST



The original slides are taken from Chap. 8 of Intro. to SW Testing 2nd ed by Ammann and Offutt

Logic Expressions from Source

- Predicates are derived from <u>decision</u> statements in programs
- In programs, most predicates have <u>less than four</u> clauses
 - Wise programmers actively strive to keep predicates simple
- When a predicate only has one clause, COC, ACC, ICC, and CC all collapse to <u>predicate coverage</u> (PC)
- Applying logic criteria to program source is hard because of <u>reachability</u> and <u>controllability</u>:
 - <u>Reachability</u>: Before applying the criteria on a predicate at a particular statement, we have to get to that statement
 - <u>Controllability</u>: We have to find input values that indirectly assign values to the variables in the predicates
 - Variables in the predicates that are not inputs to the program are called internal variables
- These issues are illustrated through the triangle example in the following slides ...



```
30 private static int Triang (int s1, int s2, int s3)
31 {
32
     int result;
                                                              59
                                                                      if (s1+s2<=s3||s2+s3 <= s1
33
                                                                        || s1+s3 <= s2)
                                                              60
34
     // result is output from the routine:
                                                              61
                                                                       result = 4;
     // result = 1 if triangle is scalene
35
                                                              62
                                                                      else
     // result = 2 if triangle is isosceles
36
                                                              63
                                                                       result = 1;
     // result = 3 if triangle is equilateral
37
                                                              64
                                                                      return (result);
38
     // result = 4 if not a triangle
                                                              65
39
40
     // After a quick confirmation that it's a legal
                                                              67
                                                                    /* Confirm it's a legal triangle before declaring
41
     // triangle, detect any sides of equal length
                                                              68
                                                                      it to be isosceles or equilateral */
     if (s1 <= 0 | | s2 <= 0 | | s3 <= 0)
42
                                                              69
43
                                                                    if (result > 3)
                                                              70
44
       result = 4:
                                                              71
                                                                      result = 3:
45
       return (result);
                                                                    else if (result == 1 \&\& s1+s2 > s3)
                                                              72
46
                                                              73
                                                                     result = 2;
47
                                                                    else if (result == 2 \&\& s1+s3 > s2)
                                                              74
48
     result = 0;
                                                              75
                                                                     result = 2;
     if (s1 == s2)
49
                                                                    else if (result == 3 \&\& s2+s3 > s1)
                                                              76
50
       result = result + 1;
                                                                     result = 2;
                                                              77
     if (s1 == s3)
51
                                                              78
                                                                    else
52
       result = result + 2;
                                                              79
                                                                     result = 4;
53
     if (s2 == s3)
                                                              80
                                                                    return (result);
54
       result = result + 3;
                                                              81 } // end Triang
55
     if (result == 0)
56
     { // Confirm it's a legal triangle before declaring
       // it to be scalene
57
```

Ten Triangle Predicates

```
42: (s1 <= 0 || s2 <= 0 || s3 <= 0)
49: (s1 == s2)
51: (s1 == s3)
53: (s2 == s3)
55: (result == 0)
59: (s1+s2 <= s3 || s2+s3 <= s1 ||
    s1+s3 <= s2)
70: (result > 3)
72: (result == 1 \&\& s1+s2 > s3)
74: (result == 2 \&\& s1+s3 > s2)
76: (result == 3 \&\& s2+s3 > s1)
```

Reachability for Triang Predicates

```
42: True
49: P1 = s1>0 && s2>0 && s3>0
51: P1
                                                   Need to solve for the
                                                   internal variable result
53: P1
55: P1
59: P1 && result = 0
70: P1 && (esult !) 0
72: P1 && result ! > 0 && result <= )
74: P1 && result != 0 && result <= 3 && (result !=1 |)
                                                       s1+s2<=s3)
76: P1 && result != 0 && result <= 3 && (result !=1/|) s1+s2<=s3)
   && (result !=2 || s1+s3<=s2)
```

Solving for Internal Variable *result*

At line 55, result has a value in the range (0 .. 6)

Reachability for Triang Predicates (solved for result – reduced)

```
42: True
49: P1 = s1>0 && s2>0 && s3>0
                                                  Looks complicated, but
51: P1
                                                  a lot of redundancy
53: P1
55: P1
59: P1 && s1 != s2 && s2 != s3 && s2 != s3
                                                       (result = 0)
70: P1 && P2 = (s1=s2 | | s1=s3 | | s2=s3)
                                                        (result != 0)
72: P1 && P2 && P3 = (s1!=s2 || s1!=s3 || s2!=s3)
                                                         (result <= 3)
74: P1 && P2 && P3 && (s1 != s2 || s1+s2<=s3)
76: P1 && P2 && P3 && (s1 != s2 || s1+s2<=s3)
   && (s1 != s3 | | s1+s3<=s2)
```

Predicate Coverage

| | These values are "don't care", needed | Т | F | l |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|---|
| | to complete the test. | s1 s2 s3 | s1 s2 s3 | |
| p42: (s1 <= 0 | s2 <= 0 s3 <= 0) | 0 0 0 | 111 | |
| p49: (s1 == s2) | | 1 1 1 | 1 2 2 | |
| p51: (s1 == s3) | | 1/1/1 | 1 2 2 | |
| p53: (s2 == s3) | | 1 1 1 | 2 1 2 | |
| p55: (result == 0 |)) | 1 2 3 | 111 | |
| p59: (s1+s2 <= s | 3 | | | |
| s2+s3 <= s1 | . [] | 1 2 3 | 2 3 4 | |
| s1+s3 <= s2 | 2) | | | |
| p70: (result > 3) | | 1 1 1 | 2 2 3 | |
| p72: (result == 1 | . && s1+s2 > s3) | 2 2 3 | 2 2 4 | |
| p74: (result == 2 | 2 && s1+s3 > s2) | 2 3 2 | 2 4 2 | |
| p76: (result == 3 | 8 && s2+s3 > s1) | 3 2 2 | 4 2 2 | |

Clause Coverage

| | Т | F | |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | S1 s2 s3 EO | s1 s2 s3 EO | |
| p42: (s1 <= 0) | 0 1 1 4 | 1 1 1 3 | |
| (s2 <= 0) | 1 0 1 4 | 1 1 1 3 | |
| (s3 <= 0) | 1 1 0 4 | 1 1 1 3 | |
| p59: (s1+s2 <= s3) | 2 3 6 4 | 2 3 4 1 | |
| (s2+s3 <= s1) | 6 2 3 4 | 2 3 4 1 | |
| (s1+s3 <= s2) | 2 6 3 4 | 2 3 4 1 | |
| p72: (result == 1) | 2 2 3 2 | 2 3 2 2 | |
| (s1+s2 > s3) | 2 2 3 2 | 2 2 5 4 | |
| p74: (result == 2) | 2 3 2 2 | 3 2 2 2 | |
| (s1+s3 > s2) | 2 3 2 2 | 2 5 2 4 | |
| p76: (result == 3) | 3 2 2 2 | 1 2 1 4 | |
| (s2+s3 > s1) | 3 2 2 2 | 5 2 2 4 | |

CACC Coverage (also RACC)

| | c1 c2 c3 P | 1 | s1 s | 2 s3 | EO |
|--------------------------------------|------------|---|------|------|----|
| p42: (s1 <= 0 s2 <= 0 s3 <= 0) | T f f t | : | 0 1 | 1 | 4 |
| | F F F f | : | 1 1 | 1 | 3 |
| | f T f | : | 1 0 | 1 | 4 |
| | f f T t | : | 1 1 | 0 | 4 |
| p59: (s1+s2 <= s3 s2+s3 <= s1 | Tfft | ; | 2 3 | 6 | 4 |
| s1+s3 <= s2) | FFFf | : | 2 3 | 4 | 1 |
| | f T f t | : | 6 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | f f T t | : | 2 6 | 3 | 4 |
| p72: (result == 1 && s1+s2 > s3) | TT t | I | 2 2 | 3 | 2 |
| s1=s2 && s1!=s3 && s2!=s3 | F t f | ı | 2 3 | 3 | 2 |
| | t F f | ı | 2 2 | 5 | 4 |
| p74: (result == 2 && s1+s3 > s2) | TT t | Ī | 2 3 | 2 | 2 |
| s1!=s2 && s1=s3 && s2!=s3 | F t f | ı | 2 3 | 3 | 2 |
| | t F f | ı | 2 5 | 2 | 4 |
| p76: (result == 3 && s2+s3 > s1) | TT t | | 3 2 | 2 | 2 |
| s1!=s2 && s1!=s3 && s2=s3 | F t f | | 1 2 | 2 | 4 |
| | t F f | | 5 2 | 2 | 4 |

Program Transformation Issues

```
if ((a && b) || c) {
     s1;
                                                        if (a) {
                                                            if (b)
 else {
                                                               s1;
                                 Transform (1)?
     s2;
                                                            else {
                                                               if (c) /* c1 */
                                                                 s1;
                                                               else
      Transform (2)?
                                                                 s2;
d = a \&\& b;
e = d || c;
                                                        else {
if (e) {
                                                            if (c) /* c2 */
   s1;
                                                               s1;
                                                            else
else {
                                                               s2;
   s2;
```

Problems with Transformed Programs (1/2)

- Maintenance is certainly harder with Transform (1)
 - Not recommended!
- Coverage on Transform (1)
 - PC on the transform does not imply CACC on the original
 - A test suit to satisfy PC on the transform (1):
 - a:any element of {1,2,3,4}x{5,6,7,8}
 - b:any element of {1,2}x{3,4}
 - c1:{(3,4)}
 - c2:any element of {5,7}x{6,8}
 - ex. {1,3,4,5,8}
 - CACC on the original does not imply PC on the transform
 - Ex. {(2,6),(2,4),(3,4)} does not satisfy PC on the transform due to c2

| | a | b | c | (a∧b)∨c | CACC | PC(1) |
|---|---|---|---|---------|------|-------|
| 1 | T | T | T | Т | | О |
| 2 | T | Т | F | T | O | |
| 3 | T | F | T | T | О | О |
| 4 | T | F | F | F | О | О |
| 5 | F | Т | T | T | | О |
| 6 | F | Т | F | F | О | |
| 7 | F | F | Τ | T | | |
| 8 | F | F | F | F | | О |

$$(a \land b) \lor c$$

any element of {3,5,7}x{4,6,8}

a as major clause:
$$p_a$$
: $b \land \neg c TR = \{(2,6)\}$
b as major clause: p_b : $a \land \neg c TR = \{(2,4)\}$
c as major clause: p_c : $\neg (a \land b) TR =$



Problems with Transformed Programs (2/2)

- Coverage on Transform (2)
 - Structure used by logic criteria is "lost"
 - Hence CACC on the transform 2 only requires 3 tests
- Therefore, it may not be meaningful to transform a program to increase coverage

| | a | b | d | c | (a∧b)∨c | CACC | PC(1) | CACC(2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---------|------|-------|---------|
| 1 | Т | T | T | Т | T | | О | |
| 2 | T | Т | T | F | T | О | | O |
| 3 | T | F | F | T | T | О | O | O |
| 4 | T | F | F | F | F | О | О | |
| 5 | F | Т | F | T | T | | O | |
| 6 | F | Т | F | F | F | О | | О |
| 7 | F | F | F | Т | T | | | |
| 8 | F | F | F | F | F | | O | |

 $d \parallel c$

d as major clause: p_d : ¬c TR={(2,4),(2,6),(2,8)}

c as major clause: p_c : ¬d TR={3,5,7}x{4,6,8}



Summary: Logic Coverage for Source Code

- Predicates appear in decision statements
 - if, while, for, etc.
- Most predicates have less than four clauses
 - But some applications have predicates with many clauses
- The hard part of applying logic criteria to source is resolving the internal variables
- Non-local variables (class, global, etc.) are also input variables if they are used
- If an input variable is changed within a method, it is treated as an internal variable thereafter
- To maximize effect of logic coverage criteria:
 - Avoid transformations that hide predicate structure





Restricted Active Clause Coverage

| | s1 s2 s3 EO | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| p42: (s1 <= 0 s2 <= 0 s3 <= 0) | T f f t 0 1 1 4 | |
| | F F F 1 1 1 B | |
| | f T f | |
| | ff T | |
| p59: (s1+s2 <= s3 s2+s3 <= s1 | T f f 2 3 6 4 | |
| s1+s3 <= s2) | Fff | |
| | f T f 6 2 3 4 | |
| | ffT | |
| p72: (result == 1 && s1+s2 > s3) | T t 1 2 2 3 2 | |
| s1=s2 && s1!=s3 && s2!=s3 | Ft 1 2 3 3 2 | |
| | t F 2 2 5 4 | |
| p74: (result == 2 && s1+s3 > s2) | T t 1 2 3 2 2 | |
| s1!=s2 && s1=s3 && s2!=s3 | F t 1 2 3 3 2 | |
| | t F 2 5 2 4 | |
| p76: (result == 3 && s2+s3 > s1) | T t 1 3 2 2 2 | |
| s1!=s2 && s1!=s3 && s2=s3 | Ft 1 2 2 4 | |
| , | t F | |