\mathbb{A}^1 -INVARIANCE FOR UNSTABLE K_2

S. SINCHUK, A. STAVROVA, AND A. LAVRENOV

1. AIM OF THE PAPER

The aim of this text is to prove that the non-stable K_2 -functors K_2^G , where G is a simply connected Chevalley group of suitable type, satisfy \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance on regular rings R containing a field k, that is,

{eq:A1-main}

(1.1)
$$K_2^G(R[t]) = K_2^G(R).$$

As a corollary, we should easily deduce that

$$K_2^G(R) = KV_2^G(R),$$

where $KV_2^G(R)$ is the Karoubi–Villamayor K-functor associated to G. This functor originates from [5]. The above equality by e.g. [2, Corollary 4.3.3] implies that $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(G)(R) = K_2^G(R)$, i.e. we obtain an explicit presentation for the \mathbb{A}^1 -fundamental group of G in the sense of Morel–Voevodsky.

"Suitable type" here means that we consider only the cases where we know the centrality of K_2 , or at least the Quillen-Suslin lgp. Some intermediate steps can be proved in larger generality.

Essentially, we need to prove that $K_2^G(k[x_1,\ldots,x_n])=K_2^G(k)$. (Then (1.1) follows by standard geometric methods.) There are two models: Tulenbaev's proof for the SL_n case [11] and Stavrova's proof for K_1^G [9]. Tulenbaev [11] uses stabilization of the K_2 -functor, and the good properties of the limit=algebraic K-theory. In [9] stabilization is not used. However, the key steps of both proofs are the same: the case of R=k (hidden somewhere around [11, p. 140], or, respectively, [9, Theorem 3.1]); Quillen-Suslin lgp; \mathbb{P}^1 -gluing (see [11, Theorem 5.1] or [9, Theorem 1.1]).

1.1. The case R=k. We consider the case of $K_2^G(k[t])$ vs. $K_2^G(k)$. (As in the \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance of K_1^G , this case should be used to deduce that $K_2^G(k[t_1,\ldots,t_n])=K_2^G(k)$.)

In Tulenbaev's framework, it follows from stabilization. However, the equality $K_2^G(k[t]) = K_2^G(k)$ is sort of known for all groups. Namely, in [15, Theorem 5.1]: let k be an infinite field and let G be a connected reductive group over k. Then the inclusion $k \hookrightarrow k[t]$ induces an isomorphism

$$H_{\bullet}(G(k), \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\bullet}(G(k[t]), \mathbb{Z}),$$

if the order of the fundamental group of G is invertible in k. Once we know that the homology H_2 coincides with K_2^G (on both sides), this gives the result. It would be nice to check Wendt's proof; maybe, discuss it in a seminar?

Is it necessary to know the centrality in order to show that K_2^G coincides with H_2 ? If yes, then we probably know it for $K_2^G(k)$ even for isotropic groups [3], but only for the

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 19C09, 19C20, 14L15, 20G35.

Key words and phrases. Chevalley group, non-stable K_2 -functor, Steinberg group.

good Chevalley groups for $K_2^G(k[t])$. We should try to understand what is proved in [13, Proposition 5.3] using only the universality of the Steinberg group. This may be useful. The paper is unpublished, so again everything should be double-checked if you want to refer to it.

1.2. Plan of the proof.

plan:3t

plan:k[t]

plan:QSlgp plan:Zglu

n:k-intersect

(1) (done, see Theorem 2). Let R be a local ring. Show that

$$\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t^{\pm 1}]) = i_{+}(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t]))i_{-}(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t^{-1}]))i_{+}(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t]))$$

Here i_{\pm} denote the natural homomorphisms into $\mathrm{St^G}(R[t^{\pm 1}])$.

(2) (done, see Theorem 4). Show that $K_2^G(k[t]) = K_2^G(k)$.

(3) (done). Consequently, $\operatorname{St}^{G}(k[t]) \to \operatorname{St}^{G}(k[t^{\pm 1}])$ is injective and $\operatorname{St}^{G}(k[t]) \cap \operatorname{St}^{G}(k[t^{-1}]) = \operatorname{St}^{G}(k)$ inside $\operatorname{St}^{G}(k[t^{\pm 1}])$. Also, $K_{2}^{G}(k) = K_{2}^{G}(k[t^{\pm 1}])$.

The first claim uses (2). The second claim follows from (2) and (1).

(4) (**done** for split ACDE, see Theorem 1.(ii)). Prove Quillen-Suslin lgp for K_2^G .

(5) (Zariski gluing) for any commutative ring A and any non-nilpotent $f, g \in A$ such that A = fA + gA, the sequence of pointed sets

$$1 \longrightarrow K_2^G(A) \xrightarrow{g \mapsto (g,g)} K_2^G(A_f) \times K_2^G(A_g) \xrightarrow{(g_1,g_2) \mapsto g_1g_2^{-1}} K_2^G(A_{fg})$$

is exact. The proof is usually almost the same as for (4).

(Remark. It seems that we need this property only for A=R[t] and f,g non-constant polynomials.)

(6) (S-lemma) Let A be a commutative ring, S a multiplicative subset of A. If

$$K_2^G(A[X_1, \dots, X_n]) = K_2^G(A)$$

for some $n \geq 1$, then $K_2^G(A_S[X_1, \ldots, X_n]) = K_2^G(A_S)$ as well.

This should be easy; see [1, Lemma 3.6].

plan:Nglu

plan:P1

plan:S-lemma

- (7) (Nisnevich gluing) Assume that B is a subring of a commutative ring A, and let $h \in B$ be a non-nilpotent element. Denote by $F_h: A \to A_h$ the localization homomorphism.
 - (i) If Ah + B = A, i.e. the natural map $B \to A/Ah$ is surjective, then for any $x \in St^{G}(A_h)$ there exist $y \in St^{G}(A)$ and $z \in St^{G}(B_h)$ such that $x = F_h(y)z$.
 - (ii) If moreover $Ah \cap B = Bh$, i.e. $B/Bh \to A/Ah$ is an isomorphism, and h is not a zero divisor in A, then the sequence of pointed sets

$$K_2^G(B) \xrightarrow{g \mapsto (F_h(g),g)} K_2^G(B_h) \times K_2^G(A) \xrightarrow{(g_1,g_2) \mapsto g_1 F_h(g_2)^{-1}} K_2^G(A_h)$$

is exact.

This should use something from the proof of (4) or (5); see [9, Lemma 3.4].

(8) (\mathbb{P}^1 -gluing) Let A be any commutative ring. Show that the sequence of pointed sets

$$1 \longrightarrow K_2^G(A) \xrightarrow{g \mapsto (g,g)} K_2^G(A[t]) \times K_2^G(A[t^{-1}]) \xrightarrow{(g_1,g_2) \mapsto g_1g_2^{-1}} K_2^G(A[t,t^{-1}])$$

is exact.

This should use (1)–(4).

- (a) Prove Proposition 4.8. This is hard. Have no idea how this can be proved at the moment.
- (b) Prove \mathbb{P}^1 -glueing using all the above facts.

plan:[]f

(9) (Main corollary of \mathbb{P}^1 -gluing) Let A be any commutative ring, and let $f \in A[t]$ be a monic polynomial. Show that $K_2^G(A[t]) \to K_2^G(A[t]_f)$ is injective. The proof uses (5) and (8).

plan:k(t)

(10) Prove that $K_2^G(k(t)) = K_2^G(k)$. This may be a bit tricky; I will think if we can get rid of it. I don't think Tulenbaev uses it. Unsure whether this is true (?). This is true for SK_1 but in view of Milnor's theorem can not hold for K_1 and K_2 .

plan:k[tn]

(11) Prove that

$$K_2^G(k[t_1,\ldots,t_n]) = K_2^G(k).$$

If we strictly follow the pattern of K_1^G , this uses (9), (2), and (10). There may be other ways.

plan:final

- (12) Final result: let R be a regular ring containing a field k. Then $K_2^G(R[t]) = K_2^G(R)$. This uses (4), (11) and (7).
 - 2. Steinberg groups of Chevalley groups: preliminaries
- 2.1. **Definition and basic properties.** Let G be a split simple Chevalley groups with a root system Φ of rank ≥ 2 . Recall that the *Steinberg group* $\operatorname{St}^{G}(R)$ (also denoted $\operatorname{St}(\Phi, R)$) is defined by means of generators $\mathcal{X}_{\Phi,R} = \{x_{\alpha}(\xi) \mid \xi \in R, \alpha \in \Phi\}$ and the set of relations $\mathcal{R}_{\Phi,R}$ defined as follows:

{rel:add}

 $(2.1) x_{\alpha}(s)x_{\alpha}(t) = x_{\alpha}(s+t),$

{rel:CCF}

 $[x_{\alpha}(s), x_{\beta}(t)] = \prod x_{i\alpha+j\beta} \left(N_{\alpha\beta ij} \, s^{i} t^{j} \right), \quad \alpha \neq -\beta, \quad N_{\alpha\beta ij} \in \mathbb{Z}.$

The indices i, j appearing in the right-hand side of the above relation range over all positive natural numbers such that $i\alpha + j\beta \in \Phi$. The structure constants $N_{\alpha\beta ij} = \pm 1, 2, 3$ appearing in (2.2) depend only on Φ and can be computed precisely.

Recall that for $\alpha \in \Phi$, $\varepsilon \in R^*$ the semisimple root elements $h_{\alpha}(\varepsilon)$ are defined as $h_{\alpha}(\varepsilon) = w_{\alpha}(\varepsilon)w_{\alpha}(-1)$. Denote by W(Φ , R) the subgroup of St(Φ , R) generated by all elements $w_{\alpha}(\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon \in R^*$.

2.2. Tulenbaev's lifting property and its corollaries. Throughout this section $I \subseteq A$ is an ideal of arbitrary commutative ring A. For a nonnilpotent element $a \in A$ denote by $\lambda_a \colon A \to A_a$ the morphism of principal localization at a. Consider the following commutative square.

{msq}

$$(2.3) \qquad A \xrightarrow{\lambda_a} A_a \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ A/I \xrightarrow{\overline{\lambda_a}} A_a/I_a$$

Notice that (2.3) is a pull-back square if and only if λ_a induces an isomorphism of I and I_a . Such squares are usually called *Milnor squares* in the literature, see [14, Ch. I, § 2].

The following property of linear Steinberg groups was discovered for the first time by Tulenbaev (see [11, Lemmas 2.3, 3.2]) and plays a key role in the sequel.

def:tlp

Definition 2.1. We say that the Steinberg group functor St^G satisfies *Tulenbaev's lifting* property if for every pull-back square (2.3) the following lifting problem has a solution.

$$\operatorname{St}^{G}(A, I) \xrightarrow{\mu} \operatorname{St}^{G}(A)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \lambda_{a}^{*}$$

$$\operatorname{St}^{G}(A_{a}, I) \xrightarrow{\mu} \operatorname{St}^{G}(A_{a})$$

Theorem 1. Assume that G satisfies Tulenbaev property (2.1) then the following facts are true for arbitrary commutative ring A:

thm:dp

(i) A dilation principle holds for $St^{G}(-)$, i. e. if $g \in St^{G}(A[t], tA[t])$ is such that equality $\lambda_a^*(h) = 1$ holds in $St^{G}(\Phi, R_a[t])$ then for sufficiently large n one has

$$ev^*_{\left[\frac{R[t]\to R[t]}{t\mapsto a^n\cdot t}\right]}(h)=1.$$

thm:lg-k2

(ii) A local-global principle holds for $St^G(-)$, i. e. an element $g \in St^G(A[t], tA[t])$ is trivial if and only if its image in $St^G(A_m[t], tA_m[t])$ is trivial for all maximal ideals $m \leq A$. (iii) $K_2^G(A)$ is contained in the centre of $St^G(A)$.

thm:centr

Proof. Follows by the same argument as [11, Theorem 2.1] or [8, Theorem 2]

2.3. The action of torus. Our notation and conventions follows [12, § 4]. Let Φ be an irreducible root system with some fixed basis of simple roots $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell\}$. We denote by Φ^{\vee} the dual root system of Φ consisting of vectors $\alpha^{\vee} = 2\alpha/(\alpha, \alpha)$, $\alpha \in \Phi$. As usual, $P(\Phi^{\vee})$ denotes the lattice spanned by the fundamental weights ϖ_i . Recall that ϖ_i are uniquely determined by relations $\langle \varpi_i, \alpha_j^{\vee} \rangle = (\varpi_i, \alpha_j) = \delta_{ij}$.

Notice that for $\varpi \in P(\Phi^{\vee})$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{Z} \Phi$ one has $(\varpi, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consequently, for $\varepsilon \in R^*$ and $\varpi \in P(\Phi^{\vee})$ the identity $\chi_{\varpi,\varepsilon}(\beta) = \varepsilon^{(\varpi,\beta)}$ gives a well-defined character $\chi_{\varpi,\varepsilon} \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z} \Phi, R^*)$.

Consider the action of $H = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}\Phi, R^*)$ on the set of generators $\mathcal{X}_{\Phi,R}$ of the Steinberg group $\text{St}^G(R)$ defined by

(2.4)
$$\chi \cdot x_{\alpha}(\xi) = x_{\alpha}(\chi(\alpha) \cdot \xi), \ \chi \in H, \ \alpha \in \Phi, \ \xi \in R.$$

Since χ is a character, the above action preserves the set of Steinberg relations $\mathcal{R}_{\Phi,R}$ and, thus, gives a well-defined action of H on $\mathrm{St}^{\mathrm{G}}(R)$.

Example 2.2. The principal example which motivates the above construction is as follows. Let A be a ring, take $R = A[t, t^{-1}]$ to be the ring of Laurent polynomials over A and choose some vertex labeled i on the Dynkin diagram of Φ . Since $t \in R^*$ we can consider the automorphism σ_i of $\operatorname{St}(\Phi, R)$ given by $\sigma_i = \chi_{\varpi_i, t}$. It is easy to see that

(2.5)
$$\sigma_i(x_\alpha(\xi)) = x_\alpha(t^{m_i(\alpha)} \cdot \xi),$$

where $m_k(\alpha)$ denotes the coefficient in the expansion of α in Π , i.e. $\alpha = \sum m_k(\alpha)\alpha_k$.

3. Decomposition theorems for $\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(A[t^{\pm 1}])$ and $\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(A((t)))$.

Lemma 3.1. Let (R, m) be a local ring, and let G be a simply connected simple group over R of isotropic rank ≥ 2 . Let $i_+: \operatorname{St}^G(R[t]) \to \operatorname{St}^G(R[t^{\pm 1}])$ and $i_-: \operatorname{St}^G(R[t^{-1}] \to \operatorname{St}^G(R[t^{\pm 1}])$ be the natural homomorphisms. Then

$$i_{+}(\operatorname{St}^{G}(m \cdot R[t])^{\operatorname{St}^{G}(R[t])})i_{-}(\operatorname{St}^{G}(R[t^{-1}]) = i_{-}(\operatorname{St}^{G}(R[t^{-1}])i_{+}(\operatorname{St}^{G}(m \cdot R[t])^{\operatorname{St}^{G}(R[t])})$$

inside $St^G(R[t^{\pm 1}])$.

Proof. This is proved exactly as [9, Lemma 5.12].

Theorem 2. Let R be a local ring, and let G be a simply connected simple group over R of thm:3t isotropic rank ≥ 2 . Let $i_+: \operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t]) \to \operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t^{\pm 1}])$ and $i_-: \operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t^{-1}] \to \operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t^{\pm 1}])$ be the natural homomorphisms. Then

$$\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t^{\pm 1}]) = i_{+}(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t]))i_{-}(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t^{-1}]))i_{+}(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t])).$$

Proof. This is proved exactly as [9, Theorem 5.1].

4.
$$\mathbb{P}^1$$
-GLUING

Throughout this section $G = G(\Phi, -)$ denotes a Chevalley group scheme of type Φ .

Definition 4.1. Let F be a group-valued functor from CRings to Groups and let A be a def:p1g commutative ring. Consider the following commutative diagram.

$$A \xrightarrow{i_{+}} A[t]$$

$$\downarrow_{i_{-}} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow_{j_{+}}$$

$$A[t^{-1}] \xrightarrow{j_{-}} A[t, t^{-1}]$$

We say that F satisfies the \mathbb{P}^1 -glueing property for A if the following sequence of pointed sets is exact in the middle term:

$$F(A) \stackrel{\Delta_A^F}{\longleftrightarrow} F(A[t]) \times F(A[t^{-1}]) \stackrel{\pm_A^F}{\longleftrightarrow} F(A[t,t^{-1}]).$$

Here Δ_A^F denotes the (split injective) diagonal map and, by definition, \pm_A^F maps (g^+, g^-) to $F(j_+)(g^+) \cdot F(j_-)(g^-)^{-1}$. Notice that a priori \pm_A^F is only a morphism of pointed sets but if F takes values in abelian groups then \pm_A^F is also a morphism of groups.

An equivalent way to formulate \mathbb{P}^1 -glueing property is as follows: $F(j_+)$ and $F(j_-)$ are injective and the intersection of their images coincides with the image of $F(j_+i_+) = F(j_-i_-)$.

The main result of this section is the following theorem which generalizes [11, Theorem 5.1] to Chevalley groups. Notice that a K_1 -analogue of the result below has been established in a much greater generality by the second-named author (see [9, Theorem 1.1]).

Theorem 3. Assume that G satisfies Tulenbaev lifting property 2.1. Then the Steinberg group functor $St^G(-)$ satisfies \mathbb{P}^1 -glueing property for an arbitrary commutative ring A.

Proof. Let (g^+, g^-) be an element of $\operatorname{St}^G(A[t]) \times \operatorname{St}^G(A[t^{-1}])$ such that the equality $g^+ = g^$ holds in $St^G(A[t, t^{-1}])$.

Let M be a maximal ideal of A. By Proposition 4.9 below the functor $St^{G}(-)$ satisfies \mathbb{P}^1 -glueing property for the local ring A_M hence $(\lambda_M^*(g_+), \lambda_M^*(g_-)) = \Delta_{A_M}(\lambda_M^*(g_+)(0))$ and in the groups $\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(A_M[t])$ and $\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(A_M[t^{-1}])$ we have the equalities:

$$\lambda_M^*(g^+ \cdot g^+(0)^{-1}) = \lambda_M^*(g^+) \cdot \lambda_M^*(g^+)(0)^{-1} = 1; \qquad \lambda_M^*(g^- \cdot g^+(0)^{-1}) = 1.$$

Now, by the local-global principle for $St^{G}(-)$ (see Theorem 1.(ii)) these equalities hold globally and $(g^+, g^-) = \Delta_A(g^+(0))$, as claimed.

thm:p1

rem:stk2

Remark 4.2. It is clear that if the functor St^G satisfies \mathbb{P}^1 -glueing property for A then so does the functor K_2^G . The converse statement also holds, indeed, if $(g^+, g^-) \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pm_A^{\operatorname{St}})$ then inside $\operatorname{E}^G(A[t, t^{-1}])$ we have the equality:

$$\varphi(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(j_{+})(g^{+})) = \varphi(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(j_{-})(g^{-})) \in \operatorname{E}^{G}(A[t]) \cap \operatorname{E}^{G}(A[t^{-1}]) = \operatorname{E}^{G}(A).$$

Consequently, we can find $g_0 \in \text{St}^G(A)$ so that $(g^+g_0^{-1}, g^-g_0^{-1}) \in \text{Ker}(\pm_A^{K_2})$ and it remains to apply the \mathbb{P}^1 -glueing property for K_2^G .

Corollary 4.3. Let A be any commutative ring and $f \in A[t]$ be a monic polynomial. Then the map $K_2^G(A[t]) \to K_2^G(A[t]_f)$ is injective.

4.1. The case of a field. Throughout this section k denotes arbitrary field k.

thm:k[t]

Theorem 4. Assume that $G = G(\Phi, -)$ and Φ is irreducible of rank ≥ 2 .

satz1

(i) The subgroup $K_2^G(k[t]) \leq \operatorname{St}^G(k[t])$ is generated by elements of the form

$$h_{\alpha}(uv)h_{\alpha}(u)^{-1}h_{\alpha}(v)^{-1}, \ u, v \in k^*.$$

(ii) As a consequence, the canonical injection $K_2^G(k) \hookrightarrow K_2^G(k[t])$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. See [7, Satz 1] and the corollary after it.

cor:k[t]inj

Corollary 4.4. Let G be as in the above theorem. Then the functors St^G , K_2^G satisfy \mathbb{P}^1 qlueing property for k.

Proof. By Remark 4.2 it suffices to prove the assertion only for the functor K_2^G . By the previous theorem $K_2^G(i_+)$ and $K_2^G(i_-)$ are isomorphisms hence the morphisms $K_2^G(j_+)$ and $K_2^G(j_-)$ are split injective and $\operatorname{Im}(j_+i_+) = \operatorname{Im}(j_-i_-) = \operatorname{Im}(j_+) = \operatorname{Im}(j_-)$.

Corollary 4.5. Let G, k be as in Theorem 4. Then $K_2^G(k[t^{\pm 1}]) = K_2^G(k)$.

Proof. We use Theorem 2. Take $g \in K_2^G(k[t^{\pm 1}])$, then $g = x_1yx_2, x_i \in St^G(k[t])$, $y \in St^G(k[t^{-1}])$. Since $E(k[t]) \cap E(k[t^{-1}]) = E(k)$, we have $y \in St^G(k)K_2^G(k[t^{-1}]) = St^G(k)$ and $x_1x_2 \in St^G(k)$. That is, $g \in K_2^G(k)$. □

- 4.2. The case of a local ring. For the rest of this section A denotes an arbitrary commutative local ring with the maximal ideal m and the residue field k. We denote by π the canonical projection $A \to k$. Throughout this section we will employ the following notation:
 - R denotes the Laurent polynomial ring $A[t, t^{-1}]$;
 - B denotes the subring $A[t] + m[t^{-1}]$ of R consisting of Laurent polynomials $f(t, t^{-1})$ whose coefficients of terms of negative degree belong to m;
 - I denotes the ideal $m[t, t^{-1}]$ of R (which can be also considered as an ideal of B).

Our first result is analogous to [11, Lemma 3.1(e)] (cf. also with [4, § 2.3A]).

cor:bruhat

Lemma 4.6. The Steinberg group $St^G(A)$ admits the following analogue of the Bruhat decomposition:

$$\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(A) = \operatorname{U}(\Phi^+, A) \cdot \operatorname{W}(\Phi, A) \cdot \operatorname{U}(\Phi^+, A) \cdot \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(A, m) \to \operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(A)).$$

Proof. First of all, notice that $St^G(k)$ admits Bruhat decomposition for arbitrary field k:

$$\operatorname{St}^{G}(k) = \operatorname{U}(\Phi^{+}, k) \cdot \operatorname{W}(\Phi, k) \cdot \operatorname{U}(\Phi^{+}, k).$$

Indeed, this is an immediate consequence of the classical Bruhat decomposition for the linear groups (see e. g. [10, Theorem 4]) and the fact that $K_2^G(k)$ is central in $\operatorname{St}^G(k)$ and is generated by symbols $h_{\alpha}(u)$ lying in W(Φ , k) (cf. Theorem 4.(i)).

As a consequence, the first three factors in the right hand side of the decomposition are mapped epimorphically onto $\operatorname{St}^{G}(k)$ and the last factor coincides with $\operatorname{Ker}(\pi_{*})$ from which the assertion of the lemma follows.

lem:tulinj

Lemma 4.7. Assume that G satisfies Tulenbaev lifting property 2.1. Then the map i in the following commutative diagram of groups is injective.

 $C_{B} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{St^{G}}(B, I) \xrightarrow{\mu_{B}} \operatorname{St^{G}}(B) \xrightarrow{\pi_{B}} \operatorname{St^{G}}(k[t])$ $\downarrow^{k} \qquad \downarrow^{j} \qquad \downarrow^{i} \qquad \downarrow$ $C_{R} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{St^{G}}(R, I) \xrightarrow{\mu_{R}} \operatorname{St^{G}}(R) \xrightarrow{\pi_{R}} \operatorname{St^{G}}(k[t, t^{-1}])$

Proof. First of all, notice that by Corollary 4.4 the vertical map in the right-hand side of the diagram is injective. Invoking Tulenbaev's property 2.1 we also find a lifting map φ in the central square of the diagram.

Let $g \in \operatorname{St}^{G}(B)$ be an element of Ker (i). Since g also lies in Ker (π_{B}) it comes from some $\widetilde{g} \in \operatorname{St}^{G}(B, I)$ via μ_{B} . But $j(\widetilde{g})$ lies in C_{R} , hence, by Proposition 4.8 below it comes from some $\widehat{g} \in C_{B}$ via k. Finally, $g = \varphi(j(\widetilde{g})) = \varphi(k(\widehat{g})) = \mu_{B}(\widehat{g}) = 1$, as claimed.

The following result is analogous to [11, Proposition 4.1].

prop:kersurj

Proposition 4.8. Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.7 the map k in the diagram (4.1) is surjective.

Proof. \Box

The following result is analogous to [11, Proposition 4.3].

prop:p1g

Proposition 4.9. The functors St^G , K_2^G satisfy \mathbb{P}^1 -glueing property for arbitrary local ring A.

Proof.

Appendices

A. Linear Steinberg group in rank 3

The main goal of this subsection is to show that Tulenbaev's [11, Lemma 2.3] remains valid for the linear Steinberg group of rank ≥ 3 . In order to do this we will need yet another presentation for the relative linear Steinberg group (cf. [8, Definitions 3.3 and 3.7]).

Definition A.1. The relative Steinberg group $St^*(n, R, I)$ is the group defined by the following two families generators and four families of relations.

- Generators:
 - (1) $X^1(u,v)$, where $u \in E(n,R) \cdot e_1$, $v \in I^n$ such that $v^t \cdot u = 0$;
 - (2) $X^2(u, v)$, where $u \in I^n$, $v \in E(n, R) \cdot e_1$ such that $v^t \cdot u = 0$. Notice that ϕ maps both $X^1(u, v)$ and $X^2(u, v)$ to $T(u, v) = e + u \cdot v^t \in E(n, R, I)$.
- Relations:
 - (1) $X^1(u,v) \cdot X^1(u,w) = X^1(u,v+w), u \in E(n,R) \cdot e_1, v,w \in I^n;$
 - (2) $X^{2}(u,v) \cdot X^{2}(w,v) = X^{2}(u+w,v), u,w \in I^{n}, v \in E(n,R) \cdot e_{1};$
 - (3) $X^{\sigma(u^2,v^2)}X^{\tau}(u^1,v^1) = X^{\tau}(T(u^2,v^2) \cdot u^1, T(v^2,u^2)^{-1} \cdot v^1), \ \sigma, \tau = 1, 2;$
 - (4) $X^1(g \cdot e_1, g^* \cdot be_2) = X^2(g \cdot be_1, g^* \cdot e_2)$ where $b \in I$ and $g^* = g^{t-1}$ denotes the contragradient matrix.

Lemma A.2. The groups $St^*(n, R, I)$ and St(n, R, I) are isomorphic.

The next step of the proof is to is construct certain elements in St(n, R) similar to Tulenbaev's elements $X_{u,v}(a)$ see [11, § 1].

Let $v \in R^n$ be a column. Denote by O(v) the submodule of R^n consisting of all columns w such that $w^t \cdot v = 0$. A column $w \in R^n$ is called v-decomposable if it can be presented as a finite sum $w = \sum_{i=1}^p w^i$ such that each w^i has at least two zero entries and $v^t \cdot w^i = 0$. Denote by D(v) the submodule of O(v) consisting of all v-decomposable columns. For a column $v \in R^n$ denote by I(v) the ideal of R spanned by its entries v_1, \ldots, v_n .

Let $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be columns such that $w^t v = 0$. It is easy to check (cf. [6, Lemma 3.2]) that

$$(uv) \cdot w = \sum_{i < j} w_{ij}$$
, where $w_{ij} = (w_i u_j - w_j u_i)(v_j e_i - v_i e_j) \in A^n$.

The above decomposition is called the *canonical* decomposition of $(uv) \cdot w$. In particular, this shows that the column $a \cdot w$ is always v-decomposable for $a \in I(v)$, $w \in O(v)$, i.e. $I(v) \cdot O(v) \subseteq D(v)$. It is also straightforward to check that $D(v) \subseteq D(bv)$, $b \cdot D(v) \subseteq D(v)$ for $b \in R$.

Denote by B^1 the subset of $R^n \times R^n \times R$ consisting of triples (u, v, a) such that $v^t \cdot u = 0$, $v \in D(u)$, $a \in I(u)$. Denote by B^2 the set consisting of triples (v, u, a) such that $(u, v, a) \in B^1$.

lem:Zfacts

Lemma A.3. Assume that $n \ge 4$. One can define two families of elements $Z^{\tau}(u, v, a)$, $\tau = 1, 2$ of the group St(n, R) parametrized by $(u, v, a) \in B^{\tau}$ satisfying the following properties:

- (1) $\phi(Z^{\tau}(u, v, a)) = e + uav^{t} \in E(n, R), (u, v, a) \in B^{\tau};$
- (2) $Z^{1}(u, v + w, a) = Z^{1}(u, v, a) \cdot Z^{1}(u, w, a);$
- (3) $Z^2(v+w,u,a) = Z^2(v,u,a) \cdot Z^2(w,u,a);$
- (4) for $\tau = 1, 2$ and $b \in R$ if $(u, vb, a), (ub, v, a) \in B^{\tau}$ then one has

$$Z^{\tau}(u,vb,a) = Z^{\tau}(u,v,ab) = Z^{\tau}(ub,v,a);$$

(5)
$${}^{g}Z^{\tau}(u, v, a) = Z^{\tau}(\phi(g) \cdot u, \phi(g)^* \cdot v, a), \ \tau = 1, 2, \ g \in St^{G}(n, R).$$

Proof. One constructs the elements $Z^1(u, v, a)$ in exactly the same way as Tulenbaev constructs his elements $X_{u,v}(a)$ (see definitions preceding [11, Lemma 1.2]). Indeed, set

(A.1)
$$Z^{1}(v, w, a) = \prod_{k=1}^{p} X(v, a \cdot w^{k}), \quad Z^{2}(w, v, a) = \prod_{k=1}^{p} X(a \cdot w^{k}, v).$$

where X(u, v) denotes the elements defined by Tulenbaev before [11, Lemma 1.1].

The correctness of this definition and all the assertions of the lemma (with the exception of the last one in the case n=4) can be proved by the same token as in [11, Lemma 1.3].

For the rest of this section a denotes a nonnilpotent element of R and $\lambda_a \colon R \to R_a$ is the morphism of principal localization at a.

lem:rk3rels

Lemma A.4. For any $g \in E(n, R_a)$ there exist $u, v \in R^n$ and sufficiently large natural numbers k, m such that the following facts hold:

- (1) $\lambda_a(u) = g \cdot a^k e_1$, $\lambda_a(v) = g^* \cdot a^k e_2$ and $u^t \cdot v = 0$;
- (2) $(u, v, a^m) \in B^1 \cap B^2$;
- (3) for $b \in R$ divisible by some sufficiently large power of a one has

$$Z^1(u, b \cdot v, a^m) = Z^2(b \cdot u, v, a^m).$$

Proof. It is straightforward to choose u and v satisfying the first requirement of the lemma. We can even choose u, v in such a way that $u \in D(v)$ and $v \in D(u)$. Indeed, notice that $I(u) = a^{k_1}$, $I(v) = a^{k_2}$ for some natural k_1 , k_2 hence for $u' = a^{k_2} \cdot u$ and $v' = a^{k_1} \cdot v$ one has

$$u' \in I(v) \cdot O(v) \subseteq D(v) \subseteq D(v'), \quad v' \in I(u) \cdot O(u) \subseteq D(u) \subseteq D(u'),$$

as required.

In fact, we can also choose two extra columns $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a large natural p in such a way that vectors u, v, x, y additionally satisfy the following properties

$$\lambda_{a}(x) = g^{*} \cdot a^{k} e_{3}, \ \lambda_{a}(y) = g \cdot a^{k} e_{3}, \ y^{t} \cdot x = a^{p} \in R,$$
$$u^{t} \cdot x = 0, \ u^{t} \cdot v = 0, \ y^{t} \cdot v = 0,$$
$$(u, x, a^{m}) \in B^{1}, \ (y, v, a^{m}) \in B^{2}.$$

Now direct computation using Lemma A.3 shows that

$$\begin{split} Z^2(a^{m+p}b\cdot u,v,a^m) &= Z^2(b\cdot (e+a^m\cdot ux^t)y,(e-a^m\cdot xu^t)v,a^m)\cdot Z^2(-by,v,a^m) = \\ &= [Z^1(u,x,a^m),Z^2(b\cdot y,v,a^m)] = \\ &= Z^1(u,x,a^m)\cdot Z^1((e+a^mb\cdot yv^t)u,-(e-a^mb\cdot vy^t)x,a^m) = Z^1(u,a^{m+p}b\cdot v,a^m), \quad \Box (e+a^mb\cdot yv^t)u, \quad \Box$$

hence the third assertion of the lemma follows.

cor:tulmap

Corollary A.5. [Tulenbaev's lemma] For $n \geq 4$ there is a map T_n so that the following diagram commutes.

Proof. Follows from Lemma A.4 by the same token as in [11, Lemma 2.3]. \Box

REFERENCES

10

References

Abe

[1] E. Abe. "Whitehead groups of Chevalley groups over polynomial rings". Comm. Algebra 11, no. 12, (1983), pp. 1271–1307.

AHW15

A. Asok, M. Hoyois, and M. Wendt. "Affine representability results in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory II: principal bundles and homogeneous spaces". 2015. arXiv: 1507.08020 [math.AG].

Deo

V. V. Deodhar. "On central extensions of rational points of algebraic groups". Amer. J. Math. (1978), pp. 303-386.

HOM

A. J. Hahn and T. O'Meara. The classical groups and K-theory. Springer, 1989.

J

J. F. Jardine. "On the homotopy groups of algebraic groups". J. Algebra 81, no. 1, (1983), pp. 180–201. W. van der Kallen. "Another presentation for Steinberg groups". Indaq. Math. 80, no. 4, (1977),

Ka

pp. 304–312. Re75

[7] U. Rehmann. "Präsentationen von Chevalleygruppen über k[t]". 1975. URL: http://www.math.unibielefeld.de/~rehmann/Publ/praesentation.pdf.

S15

S. Sinchuk. "On centrality of K_2 for Chevalley groups of type E_ℓ ". J. Pure Appl. Algebra 220, no. 2, (2016), pp. 857–875.

St-poly

A. Stavrova. "Homotopy invariance of non-stable K_1 -functors". J. K-theory 13, no. 2, (2014), pp. 199–

St-lect

[10] R. Steinberg, J. Faulkner, and R. Wilson. Lectures on Chevalley groups. Yale University New Haven,

Tu VavWE M. Tulenbaev. "The Steinberg group of a polynomial ring". Math. USSR Sb. 45, (1983), pp. 131–144.

N. Vavilov. "Weight elements of Chevalley groups". St. Petersburg Math. J. 20, no. 1, (2009), pp. 23– 57.

VW

K. Völkel and M. Wendt. "On A¹-fundamental groups of isotropic reductive groups". 2012. arXiv: 1207.2364 [math.KT].

Kbook W-k[t] C. A. Weibel. The K-book: An introduction to algebraic K-theory. Vol. 145. 2013.

M. Wendt. "On homology of linear groups over k[t]". Math. Res. Lett. 21, no. 6, (2014).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, ST. PE-TERSBURG, RUSSIA

E-mail address: sinchukss@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, ST. PE-TERSBURG, RUSSIA

E-mail address: anastasia.stavrova@gmail.com

Mathematisches Institut der Universität München, Theresienstr. 39, D-80333 München E-mail address: avlavrenov@gmail.com