## $\mathbb{A}^1$ -INVARIANCE FOR UNSTABLE $K_2$

#### S. SINCHUK, A. STAVROVA, AND A. LAVRENOV

## 1. AIM OF THE PAPER

The aim of this text is to prove that the non-stable  $K_2$ -functors  $K_2^G$ , where G is a simply connected Chevalley group of suitable type, satisfy  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariance on regular rings R containing a field k, that is,

{eq:A1-main}

(1.1) 
$$K_2^G(R[t]) = K_2^G(R).$$

As a corollary, we should easily deduce that

$$K_2^G(R) = KV_2^G(R),$$

where  $KV_2^G(R)$  is the Karoubi–Villamayor K-functor associated to G. This functor originates from [5]. The above equality by e.g. [2, Corollary 4.3.3] implies that  $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(G)(R) = K_2^G(R)$ , i.e. we obtain an explicit presentation for the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fundamental group of G in the sense of Morel–Voevodsky.

"Suitable type" here means that we consider only the cases where we know the centrality of  $K_2$ , or at least the Quillen-Suslin lgp. Some intermediate steps can be proved in larger generality.

Essentially, we need to prove that  $K_2^G(k[x_1,\ldots,x_n])=K_2^G(k)$ . (Then (1.1) follows by standard geometric methods.) There are two models: Tulenbaev's proof for the  $\mathrm{SL}_n$  case [11] and Stavrova's proof for  $K_1^G$  [9]. Tulenbaev [11] uses stabilization of the  $K_2$ -functor, and the good properties of the limit=algebraic K-theory. In [9] stabilization is not used. However, the key steps of both proofs are the same: the case of R=k (hidden somewhere around [11, p. 140], or, respectively, [9, Theorem 3.1]); Quillen-Suslin lgp;  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -gluing (see [11, Theorem 5.1] or [9, Theorem 1.1]).

1.1. The case R=k. We consider the case of  $K_2^G(k[t])$  vs.  $K_2^G(k)$ . (As in the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariance of  $K_1^G$ , this case should be used to deduce that  $K_2^G(k[t_1,\ldots,t_n])=K_2^G(k)$ .)

In Tulenbaev's framework, it follows from stabilization. However, the equality  $K_2^G(k[t]) = K_2^G(k)$  is sort of known for all groups. Namely, in [14, Theorem 5.1]: let k be an infinite field and let G be a connected reductive group over k. Then the inclusion  $k \hookrightarrow k[t]$  induces an isomorphism

$$H_{\bullet}(G(k), \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\bullet}(G(k[t]), \mathbb{Z}),$$

if the order of the fundamental group of G is invertible in k. Once we know that the homology  $H_2$  coincides with  $K_2^G$  (on both sides), this gives the result. It would be nice to check Wendt's proof; maybe, discuss it in a seminar?

Is it necessary to know the centrality in order to show that  $K_2^G$  coincides with  $H_2$ ? If yes, then we probably know it for  $K_2^G(k)$  even for isotropic groups [3], but only for the

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 19C09, 19C20, 14L15, 20G35.

Key words and phrases. Chevalley group, non-stable  $K_2$ -functor, Steinberg group.

good Chevalley groups for  $K_2^G(k[t])$ . We should try to understand what is proved in [12, Proposition 5.3 using only the universality of the Steinberg group. This may be useful. The paper is unpublished, so again everything should be double-checked if you want to refer to it.

## 1.2. Plan of the proof.

plan:3t

(1) (**done**, see Theorem 2). Let R be a local ring. Show that

$$\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t^{\pm 1}]) = i_{+}(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t]))i_{-}(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t^{-1}]))i_{+}(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t]))$$

Here  $i_{\pm}$  denote the natural homomorphisms into  $\mathrm{St^G}(R[t^{\pm 1}])$ .

(2) (done, see Theorem 4). Show that  $K_2^G(k[t]) = K_2^G(k)$ .

(3) (done). Consequently,  $\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(k[t]) \to \operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(k[t^{\pm 1}])$  is injective and  $\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(k[t]) \cap \operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(k[t^{-1}]) =$  $\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(k)$  inside  $\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(k[t^{\pm 1}])$ . Also,  $K_2^{\operatorname{G}}(k) = K_2^{\operatorname{G}}(k[t^{\pm 1}])$ .

The first claim uses (2). The second claim follows from (2) and (1).

(4) (**done** for split ACDE, see Theorem 1.(ii)). Prove Quillen-Suslin lgp for  $K_2^G$ .

(5) (Zariski gluing) for any commutative ring A and any non-nilpotent  $f, g \in A$  such that A = fA + gA, the sequence of pointed sets

$$1 \longrightarrow K_2^G(A) \xrightarrow{g \mapsto (g,g)} K_2^G(A_f) \times K_2^G(A_g) \xrightarrow{(g_1,g_2) \mapsto g_1g_2^{-1}} K_2^G(A_{fg})$$

is exact. The proof is usually almost the same as for (4).

(Remark. It seems that we need this property only for A = R[t] and f, g nonconstant polynomials.)

(6) (S-lemma) Let A be a commutative ring, S a multiplicative subset of A. If

$$K_2^G(A[X_1, \dots, X_n]) = K_2^G(A)$$

for some  $n \geq 1$ , then  $K_2^G(A_S[X_1, \ldots, X_n]) = K_2^G(A_S)$  as well.

This should be easy; see [1, Lemma 3.6].

(7) (Nisnevich gluing) Assume that B is a subring of a commutative ring A, and let  $h \in B$ be a non-nilpotent element. Denote by  $F_h:A\to A_h$  the localization homomorphism.

(i) If Ah + B = A, i.e. the natural map  $B \to A/Ah$  is surjective, then for any  $x \in \mathrm{St}^{\mathrm{G}}(A_h)$  there exist  $y \in \mathrm{St}^{\mathrm{G}}(A)$  and  $z \in \mathrm{St}^{\mathrm{G}}(B_h)$  such that  $x = F_h(y)z$ .

(ii) If moreover  $Ah \cap B = Bh$ , i.e.  $B/Bh \to A/Ah$  is an isomorphism, and h is not a zero divisor in A, then the sequence of pointed sets

$$K_2^G(B) \xrightarrow{g \mapsto (F_h(g),g)} K_2^G(B_h) \times K_2^G(A) \xrightarrow{(g_1,g_2) \mapsto g_1 F_h(g_2)^{-1}} K_2^G(A_h)$$

is exact.

This should use something from the proof of (4) or (5); see [9, Lemma 3.4].

(8) ( $\mathbb{P}^1$ -gluing) Let A be any commutative ring. Show that the sequence of pointed sets

$$1 \longrightarrow K_2^G(A) \xrightarrow{g \mapsto (g,g)} K_2^G(A[t]) \times K_2^G(A[t^{-1}]) \xrightarrow{(g_1,g_2) \mapsto g_1g_2^{-1}} K_2^G(A[t,t^{-1}])$$

is exact.

This should use (1)–(4).

- (a) Prove Proposition 4.6. This is hard. Have no idea how this can be proved at the moment.
- (b) Prove  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -glueing using all the above facts.

plan:k[t] n:k-intersect

> plan:QSlgp plan:Zglu

plan:S-lemma

plan:Nglu

plan:P1

plan:[]f

(9) (Main corollary of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -gluing) Let A be any commutative ring, and let  $f \in A[t]$  be a monic polynomial. Show that  $K_2^G(A[t]) \to K_2^G(A[t]_f)$  is injective. The proof uses (5) and (8).

plan:k(t)

(10) Prove that  $K_2^G(k(t)) = K_2^G(k)$ . This may be a bit tricky; I will think if we can get rid of it. I don't think Tulenbaev uses it. Unsure whether this is true (?). This is true for  $SK_1$  but in view of Milnor's theorem can not hold for  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ .

plan:k[tn]

(11) Prove that

$$K_2^G(k[t_1,\ldots,t_n]) = K_2^G(k).$$

If we strictly follow the pattern of  $K_1^G$ , this uses (9), (2), and (10). There may be other ways.

plan:final

- (12) Final result: let R be a regular ring containing a field k. Then  $K_2^G(R[t]) = K_2^G(R)$ . This uses (4), (11) and (7).
  - 2. Steinberg groups of Chevalley groups: preliminaries
- 2.1. **Definition and basic properties.** Let G be a split simple Chevalley groups with a root system  $\Phi$  of rank  $\geq 2$ . Recall that the *Steinberg group*  $\operatorname{St}^{G}(R)$  (also denoted  $\operatorname{St}(\Phi, R)$ ) is defined by means of generators  $\mathcal{X}_{\Phi,R} = \{x_{\alpha}(\xi) \mid \xi \in R, \alpha \in \Phi\}$  and the set of relations  $\mathcal{R}_{\Phi,R}$  defined as follows:

{rel:add}

 $(2.1) x_{\alpha}(s)x_{\alpha}(t) = x_{\alpha}(s+t),$ 

{rel:CCF}

 $[x_{\alpha}(s), x_{\beta}(t)] = \prod x_{i\alpha+j\beta} \left( N_{\alpha\beta ij} s^{i} t^{j} \right), \quad \alpha \neq -\beta, \quad N_{\alpha\beta ij} \in \mathbb{Z}.$ 

The indices i, j appearing in the right-hand side of the above relation range over all positive natural numbers such that  $i\alpha + j\beta \in \Phi$ . The structure constants  $N_{\alpha\beta ij} = \pm 1, 2, 3$  appearing in (2.2) depend only on  $\Phi$  and can be computed precisely.

Recall that for  $\alpha \in \Phi$ ,  $\varepsilon \in R^*$  the semisimple root elements  $h_{\alpha}(\varepsilon)$  are defined as  $h_{\alpha}(\varepsilon) = w_{\alpha}(\varepsilon)w_{\alpha}(-1)$ . Denote by W( $\Phi$ , R) the subgroup of St( $\Phi$ , R) generated by all elements  $w_{\alpha}(\varepsilon)$ ,  $\varepsilon \in R^*$ .

2.2. Tulenbaev's lifting property and its corollaries. Throughout this section  $I \subseteq A$  is an ideal of arbitrary commutative ring A. For a nonnilpotent element  $a \in A$  denote by  $\lambda_a \colon A \to A_a$  the morphism of principal localization at a. Consider the following commutative square.

{msq}

(2.3) 
$$A \xrightarrow{\lambda_a} A_a \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad A/I \xrightarrow{\overline{\lambda_a}} A_a/I_a$$

Notice that (2.3) is a pull-back square if and only if  $\lambda_a$  induces an isomorphism of I and  $I_a$ . Such squares are usually called *Milnor squares* in the literature, see [13, Ch. I, § 2].

The following property of linear Steinberg groups was discovered for the first time by Tulenbaev (see [11, Lemmas 2.3, 3.2]) and plays a key role in the sequel.

def:tlp

**Definition 2.1.** We say that the Steinberg group functor St<sup>G</sup> satisfies *Tulenbaev's lifting* property if for every pull-back square (2.3) the following lifting problem has a solution.

$$\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(A, I) \xrightarrow{\mu} \operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(A)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \lambda_{a}^{*}$$

$$\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(A_{a}, I) \xrightarrow{\mu} \operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(A_{a})$$

**Theorem 1.** Assume that G satisfies Tulenbaev property (2.1) then the following facts are true for arbitrary commutative ring A:

thm:dp

(i) A dilation principle holds for  $St^{G}(-)$ , i. e. if  $g \in St^{G}(A[t], tA[t])$  is such that equality  $\lambda_a^*(h) = 1$  holds in  $St^{G}(\Phi, R_a[t])$  then for sufficiently large n one has

$$ev^*_{\left[\frac{R[t]\to R[t]}{t\mapsto a^n\cdot t}\right]}(h)=1.$$

thm:lg-k2

(ii) A local-global principle holds for  $St^{G}(-)$ , i. e. an element  $g \in St^{G}(A[t], tA[t])$  is trivial if and only if its image in  $St^{G}(A_{m}[t], tA_{m}[t])$  is trivial for all maximal ideals  $m \leq A$ . (iii)  $K_{2}^{G}(A)$  is contained in the centre of  $St^{G}(A)$ .

thm:centr

*Proof.* Follows by the same argument as [11, Theorem 2.1] or [8, Theorem 2]

2.3. The action of torus. Throughout this subsection  $G = G_{ad}$  denotes a split simple Chevalley group of adjoint type with the root system  $\Phi$  of rank  $\geq 2$ . Denote by  $T = T_{ad}$  the torus of G and by T(R) its group of R-points.

We identify the root lattice  $X^*(T) = \operatorname{Hom}(T, \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{m}})$  with the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}\Phi$  in the obvious way. In particular, for  $\alpha \in \Phi$  we denote by  $\alpha_R$  the corresponding map  $T(R) \to R^*$  on R-points. An element  $h \in T(R)$  defines a permutation of the set  $\mathcal{X}_{\Phi,R}$  of generators of  $\operatorname{St}^{\mathrm{G}}(R)$  as follows:

(2.4) 
$$h \cdot x_{\alpha}(\xi) = x_{\alpha}(\alpha_R(h) \cdot \xi).$$

Notice that h preserves the defining relations  $\mathcal{R}_{\Phi,R}$  of the Steinberg group (and thus, determines a permutation of  $\mathcal{R}_{\Phi,R}$ ). Indeed, the assertion is immediate for relation (2.1). Verification of the fact that h preserves (2.2) is a routine computation which should use the fact that for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z} \Phi$  one has  $(\alpha + \beta)_R(h) = \alpha_R(h) \cdot \beta_R(h)$ .

3. Decomposition theorems for  $\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(A[t^{\pm 1}])$  and  $\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(A((t)))$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let (R, m) be a local ring, and let G be a simply connected simple group over R of isotropic rank  $\geq 2$ . Let  $i_+: \operatorname{St}^{G}(R[t]) \to \operatorname{St}^{G}(R[t^{\pm 1}])$  and  $i_-: \operatorname{St}^{G}(R[t^{-1}] \to \operatorname{St}^{G}(R[t^{\pm 1}])$  be the natural homomorphisms. Then

$$i_{+}(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(m \cdot R[t])^{\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t])})i_{-}(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t^{-1}]) = i_{-}(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t^{-1}])i_{+}(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(m \cdot R[t])^{\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t])})$$
inside  $\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t^{\pm 1}])$ .

*Proof.* This is proved exactly as [9, Lemma 5.12].

thm:3t

**Theorem 2.** Let R be a local ring, and let G be a simply connected simple group over R of isotropic rank  $\geq 2$ . Let  $i_+: \operatorname{St}^G(R[t]) \to \operatorname{St}^G(R[t^{\pm 1}])$  and  $i_-: \operatorname{St}^G(R[t^{-1}] \to \operatorname{St}^G(R[t^{\pm 1}])$  be the natural homomorphisms. Then

$$\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t^{\pm 1}]) = i_{+}(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t]))i_{-}(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t^{-1}]))i_{+}(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(R[t])).$$

*Proof.* This is proved exactly as [9, Theorem 5.1].

4. 
$$\mathbb{P}^1$$
-GLUING

Throughout this section  $G = G(\Phi, -)$  denotes an arbitrary Chevalley group scheme of type  $\Phi$ . We also assume everywhere below that  $\Phi$  is irreducible and has rank  $\geq 2$ .

def:p1g Definition 4.1. Let F be a group-valued functor from CRings to Groups and let A be a commutative ring. Consider the following commutative diagram of rings.

$$A \xrightarrow{i_{+}} A[t]$$

$$\downarrow_{i_{-}} \downarrow \qquad \downarrow_{j_{+}}$$

$$A[t^{-1}] \xrightarrow{j_{-}} A[t, t^{-1}]$$

We say that F satisfies the  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -glueing property for A if the following sequence of groups is exact in the middle term:

$$F(A) \stackrel{\Delta_A}{\longleftrightarrow} F(A[t]) \times F(A[t^{-1}]) \stackrel{\pm_A}{\longleftrightarrow} F(A[t,t^{-1}]).$$

Here  $\Delta_A$  denotes the (split injective) diagonal map  $F(i_+, i_-)$  and  $\pm_A$  is a shorthand for  $m \circ (F(j_+)\pi_1, inv \circ F(j_-)\pi_2)$  where m and inv denote the morphisms of inversion and multiplication in the group  $F(A[t, t^{-1}])$ .

The main result of this section is the following theorem which plays a crucial role in the sequel.

Theorem 3. The Steinberg group functor  $St^G(-)$  satisfies  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -glueing property for an arbitrary commutative ring A.

*Proof.* Let  $(g^+, g^-)$  be an element of  $St^G(A[t]) \times St^G(A[t^{-1}])$  such that the equality  $g^+ = g^-$  holds in  $St^G(A[t, t^{-1}])$ .

Let M be a maximal ideal of A. By Proposition 4.8 below the functor  $St^{G}(-)$  satisfies  $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ -glueing property for the local ring  $A_{M}$  hence  $(\lambda_{M}^{*}(g_{+}), \lambda_{M}^{*}(g_{-})) = \Delta_{A_{M}}(\lambda_{M}^{*}(g^{+})(0))$  and in the groups  $St^{G}(A_{M}[t])$  and  $St^{G}(A_{M}[t^{-1}])$  we have the equalities:

$$\lambda_M^*(g^+ \cdot g^+(0)^{-1}) = \lambda_M^*(g^+) \cdot \lambda_M^*(g^+)(0)^{-1} = 1; \qquad \lambda_M^*(g^- \cdot g^+(0)^{-1}) = 1.$$

Now, by the local-global principle for  $St^{G}(-)$  (see Theorem 1.(ii)) these equalities hold globally and  $(g^{+}, g^{-}) = \Delta_{A}(g^{+}(0))$ , as claimed.

**Corollary 4.2.** Let A be any commutative ring and  $f \in A[t]$  be a monic polynomial. Then the map  $K_2^G(A[t]) \to K_2^G(A[t]_f)$  is injective.

4.1. The case of a field. Throughout this section k denotes arbitrary field k.

thm:k[t] Theorem 4. The following statements are true.

(i) For A = k, k[t] the subgroup  $K_2^G(A) \leq \operatorname{St}^G(A)$  is generated by elements of the form  $h_{\alpha}(uv)h_{\alpha}(u)^{-1}h_{\alpha}(v)^{-1}, \ u, v \in k^*.$ 

(ii) As a consequence, the canonical injection  $K_2^G(k) \hookrightarrow K_2^G(k[t])$  is an isomorphism.

thm:p1

satz1

*Proof.* See [7, Satz 1] and the corollary after it.

cor:k[t]inj

**Corollary 4.3.** Let G, k be as in the above theorem. Then the functor  $St^{G}(-)$  satisfies  $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ -glueing for k.

Proof. Clearly,  $g \in \text{Ker}(\text{St}^{G}(k[t]) \to \text{St}^{G}(k[t^{\pm 1}]))$  implies  $g \in K_{2}^{G}(k[t])$ . Since  $K_{2}^{G}(k[t]) = K_{2}^{G}(k)$ , and there is a section  $K_{2}^{G}(k[t^{\pm 1}]) \to K_{2}^{G}(k)$ , the map is injective. Second claim: take  $g \in \text{St}^{G}(k[t]) \cap \text{St}^{G}(k[t^{-1}])$ . Then the image  $\phi(g)$  belongs to  $E(k) = E(k[t]) \cap E(k[t^{-1}])$ , and after adjusting g by an element of  $\text{St}^{G}(k)$ , we can assume that  $g \in K_{2}^{G}(k[t]) \cap K_{2}^{G}(k[t^{-1}])$ . Hence  $g \in K_{2}^{G}(k) \subseteq \text{St}^{G}(k)$ .

Corollary 4.4. Let G, k be as in Theorem 4. Then  $K_2^G(k[t^{\pm 1}]) = K_2^G(k)$ .

*Proof.* We use Theorem 2. Take  $g \in K_2^G(k[t^{\pm 1}])$ , then  $g = x_1yx_2, x_i \in St^G(k[t])$ ,  $y \in St^G(k[t^{-1}])$ . Since  $E(k[t]) \cap E(k[t^{-1}]) = E(k)$ , we have  $y \in St^G(k)K_2^G(k[t^{-1}]) = St^G(k)$  and  $x_1x_2 \in St^G(k)$ . That is,  $g \in K_2^G(k)$ . □

- 4.2. The case of a local ring. For the rest of this section A denotes an arbitrary commutative local ring with the maximal ideal m and the residue field k. We denote by  $\pi$  the canonical projection  $A \to k$ . Throughout this section we will employ the following notation:
  - R denotes the Laurent polynomial ring  $A[t, t^{-1}]$ ;
  - B denotes the subring  $A[t] + m[t^{-1}]$  of R consisting of Laurent polynomials  $f(t, t^{-1})$  whose coefficients of terms of negative degree belong to m;
  - I denotes the ideal  $m[t, t^{-1}]$  of R (which can be also considered as an ideal of B).

Consider the following commutative diagram of groups.

Notice that by Corollary 4.3 the map  $\overline{i^+}$  in the right-hand side of the above diagram is injective. Invoking Tulenbaev's property 2.1 we also find a lifting map in the central square of the diagram.

Our next result is analogous to [11, Lemma 3.1(e)] (cf. also with [4, § 2.3A]).

cor:bruhat

**Lemma 4.5.** The Steinberg group  $\mathrm{St}^{\mathrm{G}}(A)$  admits the following analogue of the Bruhat decomposition:

$$\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(A) = \operatorname{U}(\Phi^+, A) \cdot \operatorname{W}(\Phi, A) \cdot \operatorname{U}(\Phi^+, A) \cdot \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(A, m) \to \operatorname{St}^{\operatorname{G}}(A)).$$

*Proof.* First of all, notice that  $St^{G}(k)$  admits Bruhat decomposition for arbitrary field k:

$$\operatorname{St}^{G}(k) = \operatorname{U}(\Phi^{+}, k) \cdot \operatorname{W}(\Phi, k) \cdot \operatorname{U}(\Phi^{+}, k).$$

Indeed, this is an immediate consequence of the classical Bruhat decomposition for the linear groups (see e. g. [10, Theorem 4]) and the fact that  $K_2^G(k)$  is central in  $\operatorname{St}^G(k)$  and is generated by symbols  $h_{\alpha}(u)$  lying in W( $\Phi$ , k) (cf. Theorem 4.(i)).

Denote the map  $A \to k$  by  $\pi$ . By ??? the first factor of the decomposition coincides with Ker  $(\pi_*)$  whereas by Lemma 4.5 the product of the last three factors is mapped epimorphically onto  $\operatorname{St}^G(k)$ .

The following result is analogous to [11, Proposition 4.1].

prop:kersurj

**Proposition 4.6.** The map  $k^+$  is surjective.

Proof.

Using a simple diagram chasing argument we are able to obtain the following result.

cor:tulinj

Corollary 4.7. The canonical map  $i^+$ :  $St^G(B) \to St^G(R)$  is injective.

*Proof.* Let  $g \in \operatorname{St}^{G}(B^{+})$  be an element of  $\operatorname{Ker}(i^{+})$ . Since g also lies in  $\operatorname{Ker}(p^{+0})$  it comes from some  $\widetilde{g} \in \operatorname{St}^{G}(B^{+}, I)$  via  $\mu^{+0}$ . Now  $j^{+}(\widetilde{g})$  lies in  $C_{\pm}$ , hence, by Proposition 4.6 it comes from some  $\widehat{g} \in C_{+0}$  via  $k^{+}$ . Finally,  $g = \varphi(j^{+}(\widetilde{g})) = \varphi(k^{+}(\widehat{g})) = \mu^{+0}(\widehat{g}) = 1$ , as claimed.  $\square$ 

prop:p1g

**Proposition 4.8** ( $\mathbb{P}^1$ -glueing in the local case). Blah-blah

Proof.

# **Appendices**

### A. Linear Steinberg group in rank 3

The main goal of this subsection is to show that Tulenbaev's [11, Lemma 2.3] remains valid for the linear Steinberg group of rank  $\geq 3$ . In order to do this we will need yet another presentation for the relative linear Steinberg group (cf. [8, Definitions 3.3 and 3.7]).

**Definition A.1.** The relative Steinberg group  $St^*(n, R, I)$  is the group defined by the following two families generators and four families of relations.

- Generators:
  - (1)  $X^1(u, v)$ , where  $u \in E(n, R) \cdot e_1$ ,  $v \in I^n$  such that  $v^t \cdot u = 0$ ;
  - (2)  $X^2(u,v)$ , where  $u \in I^n$ ,  $v \in E(n,R) \cdot e_1$  such that  $v^t \cdot u = 0$ .

Notice that  $\phi$  maps both  $X^1(u,v)$  and  $X^2(u,v)$  to  $T(u,v)=e+u\cdot v^t\in \mathrm{E}(n,R,I)$ .

- Relations:
  - (1)  $X^1(u,v) \cdot X^1(u,w) = X^1(u,v+w), u \in E(n,R) \cdot e_1, v,w \in I^n;$
  - (2)  $X^{2}(u,v) \cdot X^{2}(w,v) = X^{2}(u+w,v), u,w \in I^{n}, v \in E(n,R) \cdot e_{1};$
  - (3)  $X^{\sigma(u^2,v^2)}X^{\tau}(u^1,v^1) = X^{\tau}(T(u^2,v^2) \cdot u^1, T(v^2,u^2)^{-1} \cdot v^1), \ \sigma, \tau = 1, 2;$
  - (4)  $X^1(g \cdot e_1, g^* \cdot be_2) = X^2(g \cdot be_1, g^* \cdot e_2)$  where  $b \in I$  and  $g^* = g^{t-1}$  denotes the contragradient matrix.

**Lemma A.2.** The groups  $St^*(n, R, I)$  and St(n, R, I) are isomorphic.

Proof. TODO:  $\Box$ 

The next step of the proof is to is construct certain elements in St(n, R) similar to Tulenbaev's elements  $X_{u,v}(a)$  see [11, § 1].

Let  $v \in R^n$  be a column. Denote by O(v) the submodule of  $R^n$  consisting of all columns w such that  $w^t \cdot v = 0$ . A column  $w \in R^n$  is called v-decomposable if it can be presented as a finite sum  $w = \sum_{i=1}^p w^i$  such that each  $w^i$  has at least two zero entries and  $v^t \cdot w^i = 0$ . Denote

by D(v) the submodule of O(v) consisting of all v-decomposable columns. For a column  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  denote by I(v) the ideal of R spanned by its entries  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ .

Let  $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be columns such that  $w^t v = 0$ . It is easy to check (cf. [6, Lemma 3.2]) that

$$(uv) \cdot w = \sum_{i < j} w_{ij}$$
, where  $w_{ij} = (w_i u_j - w_j u_i)(v_j e_i - v_i e_j) \in A^n$ .

The above decomposition is called the *canonical* decomposition of  $(uv) \cdot w$ . In particular, this shows that the column  $a \cdot w$  is always v-decomposable for  $a \in I(v)$ ,  $w \in O(v)$ , i.e.  $I(v) \cdot O(v) \subseteq D(v)$ . It is also straightforward to check that  $D(v) \subseteq D(bv)$ ,  $b \cdot D(v) \subseteq D(v)$  for  $b \in R$ .

Denote by  $B^1$  the subset of  $R^n \times R^n \times R$  consisting of triples (u, v, a) such that  $v^t \cdot u = 0$ ,  $v \in D(u)$ ,  $a \in I(u)$ . Denote by  $B^2$  the set consisting of triples (v, u, a) such that  $(u, v, a) \in B^1$ .

lem:Zfacts

**Lemma A.3.** Assume that  $n \geq 4$ . One can define two families of elements  $Z^{\tau}(u, v, a)$ ,  $\tau = 1, 2$  of the group St(n, R) parametrized by  $(u, v, a) \in B^{\tau}$  satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $\phi(Z^{\tau}(u, v, a)) = e + uav^{t} \in E(n, R), (u, v, a) \in B^{\tau};$
- (2)  $Z^1(u, v + w, a) = Z^1(u, v, a) \cdot Z^1(u, w, a);$
- (3)  $Z^{2}(v+w,u,a) = Z^{2}(v,u,a) \cdot Z^{2}(w,u,a);$
- (4) for  $\tau = 1, 2$  and  $b \in R$  if  $(u, vb, a), (ub, v, a) \in B^{\tau}$  then one has

$$Z^{\tau}(u, vb, a) = Z^{\tau}(u, v, ab) = Z^{\tau}(ub, v, a);$$

(5) 
$${}^{g}Z^{\tau}(u, v, a) = Z^{\tau}(\phi(g) \cdot u, \phi(g)^* \cdot v, a), \ \tau = 1, 2, \ g \in St^{G}(n, R).$$

*Proof.* One constructs the elements  $Z^1(u, v, a)$  in exactly the same way as Tulenbaev constructs his elements  $X_{u,v}(a)$  (see definitions preceding [11, Lemma 1.2]). Indeed, set

(A.1) 
$$Z^{1}(v, w, a) = \prod_{k=1}^{p} X(v, a \cdot w^{k}), \quad Z^{2}(w, v, a) = \prod_{k=1}^{p} X(a \cdot w^{k}, v).$$

where X(u, v) denotes the elements defined by Tulenbaev before [11, Lemma 1.1].

The correctness of this definition and all the assertions of the lemma (with the exception of the last one in the case n=4) can be proved by the same token as in [11, Lemma 1.3].

For the rest of this section a denotes a nonnilpotent element of R and  $\lambda_a \colon R \to R_a$  is the morphism of principal localization at a.

lem:rk3rels

**Lemma A.4.** For any  $g \in E(n, R_a)$  there exist  $u, v \in R^n$  and sufficiently large natural numbers k, m such that the following facts hold:

- (1)  $\lambda_a(u) = g \cdot a^k e_1$ ,  $\lambda_a(v) = g^* \cdot a^k e_2$  and  $u^t \cdot v = 0$ ;
- (2)  $(u, v, a^m) \in B^1 \cap B^2$ ;
- (3) for  $b \in R$  divisible by some sufficiently large power of a one has

$$Z^1(u, b \cdot v, a^m) = Z^2(b \cdot u, v, a^m).$$

*Proof.* It is straightforward to choose u and v satisfying the first requirement of the lemma. We can even choose u, v in such a way that  $u \in D(v)$  and  $v \in D(u)$ . Indeed, notice that  $I(u) = a^{k_1}$ ,  $I(v) = a^{k_2}$  for some natural  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$  hence for  $u' = a^{k_2} \cdot u$  and  $v' = a^{k_1} \cdot v$  one has

$$u' \in I(v) \cdot O(v) \subseteq D(v) \subseteq D(v'), \quad v' \in I(u) \cdot O(u) \subseteq D(u) \subseteq D(u'),$$

as required.

In fact, we can also choose two extra columns  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a large natural p in such a way that vectors u, v, x, y additionally satisfy the following properties

$$\lambda_a(x) = g^* \cdot a^k e_3, \ \lambda_a(y) = g \cdot a^k e_3, \ y^t \cdot x = a^p \in R,$$
$$u^t \cdot x = 0, \ u^t \cdot v = 0, \ y^t \cdot v = 0,$$
$$(u, x, a^m) \in B^1, \ (y, v, a^m) \in B^2.$$

Now direct computation using Lemma A.3 shows that

$$\begin{split} Z^2(a^{m+p}b\cdot u,v,a^m) &= Z^2(b\cdot (e+a^m\cdot ux^t)y,(e-a^m\cdot xu^t)v,a^m)\cdot Z^2(-by,v,a^m) = \\ &= [Z^1(u,x,a^m),Z^2(b\cdot y,v,a^m)] = \\ &= Z^1(u,x,a^m)\cdot Z^1((e+a^mb\cdot yv^t)u,-(e-a^mb\cdot vy^t)x,a^m) = Z^1(u,a^{m+p}b\cdot v,a^m), \quad \Box \end{split}$$

hence the third assertion of the lemma follows.

cor:tulmap

Corollary A.5. [Tulenbaev's lemma] For  $n \geq 4$  there is a map  $T_n$  so that the following diagram commutes.

*Proof.* Follows from Lemma A.4 by the same token as in [11, Lemma 2.3].  $\Box$ 

#### References

Abe

[1] E. Abe. "Whitehead groups of Chevalley groups over polynomial rings". Comm. Algebra 11, no. 12, (1983), pp. 1271–1307.

AHW15

[2] A. Asok, M. Hoyois, and M. Wendt. "Affine representability results in A<sup>1</sup>-homotopy theory II: principal bundles and homogeneous spaces". 2015. arXiv: 1507.08020 [math.AG].

Deo

[3] V. V. Deodhar. "On central extensions of rational points of algebraic groups". Amer. J. Math. (1978), pp. 303–386.

HOM

[4] A. J. Hahn and T. O'Meara. The classical groups and K-theory. Springer, 1989.

\_\_\_\_J\_\_

[5] J. F. Jardine. "On the homotopy groups of algebraic groups". J. Algebra 81, no. 1, (1983), pp. 180–201. [6] W. van der Kallen. "Another presentation for Steinberg groups". Indag. Math. 80, no. 4, (1977),

Ka

 W. van der Kallen. "Another presentation for Steinberg groups". Indag. Math. 80, no. 4, (1977), pp. 304–312.

Re75

[7] U. Rehmann. "Präsentationen von Chevalleygruppen über k[t]". 1975. URL: http://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~rehmann/Publ/praesentation.pdf.

S15

[8] S. Sinchuk. "On centrality of  $K_2$  for Chevalley groups of type  $\mathsf{E}_\ell$ ". J. Pure Appl. Algebra **220**, no. 2, (2016), pp. 857–875.

St-poly

(2016), pp. 661 676.

[9] A. Stavrova. "Homotopy invariance of non-stable  $K_1$ -functors". J. K-theory 13, no. 2, (2014), pp. 199–

St-lect

[10] R. Steinberg, J. Faulkner, and R. Wilson. *Lectures on Chevalley groups*. Yale University New Haven, 1967.

Tu VW [11] M. Tulenbaev. "The Steinberg group of a polynomial ring". Math. USSR Sb. 45, (1983), pp. 131–144.

[12] K. Völkel and M. Wendt. "On A<sup>1</sup>-fundamental groups of isotropic reductive groups". 2012. arXiv: 1207.2364 [math.KT].

Kbook

[13] C. A. Weibel. The K-book: An introduction to algebraic K-theory. Vol. 145. 2013.

W-k[t]

[14] M. Wendt. "On homology of linear groups over k[t]". Math. Res. Lett. 21, no. 6, (2014).

REFERENCES 10

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: sinchukss@gmail.com}$ 

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: anastasia.stavrova@gmail.com}$ 

Mathematisches Institut der Universität München, Theresienstr. 39, D-80333 München  $E\text{-}mail\ address:}$  avlavrenov@gmail.com