

# LECTURE NOTES ON SYMPLECTIC TOPOLOGY

SHENGXI JIN

## CONTENTS

1. symplectic structures and examples	1
2. symplectomorphisms	3
3. complexity of symplectic structures	3
4. quantum cohomology and Novikov rings	5
5. vector spaces with filtration functions	7
6. preparations for Gromov–Witten invariants	7
7. moduli space of $J$ -holomorphic curves	9
8. back to quantum cohomology	10
9. Gromov–Witten invariant	11
10. computing Gromov–Witten invariant	12

These notes are based on lectures on symplectic topology at USTC by Jun Zhang. Assume that  $M$  is a smooth manifold of dimension  $2n$ .

## 1. SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURES AND EXAMPLES

Symplectic topology and symplectic geometry basically means the same thing. The reason for this will be explained later.

**Definition 1.1.** A **symplectic structure** on  $M$  is a closed 2-form  $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$  which is non-degenerate, in the sense that  $\omega^n = \omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega \in \Omega^{2n}(M)$  is a volume form. A symplectic manifold is such a pair  $(M, \omega)$ .

**Remark 1.2.** There are several equivalent conditions to describe non-degeneracy of  $\omega$ . For example,  $TM \rightarrow T^*M, X \mapsto \iota_X \omega$  is a bundle isomorphism.

**Exercise 1.1.** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold. Prove that for any  $p \in M$  and  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , the map  $\bigwedge^{n-k} T_p^* M \rightarrow \bigwedge^{n+k} T_p^* M, \alpha \mapsto \alpha \wedge \omega_p^k$  is an isomorphism.

**Example 1.3.** There are lots of examples for symplectic manifolds.

- (1) Area forms on a smooth surface automatically satisfy the condition for symplectic structure. In particular, closed surfaces  $(\Sigma_g, \omega_{\text{area}})$  are symplectic manifolds.
- (2) On  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  with coordinate  $(x^1, y^1, \dots, x^n, y^n)$ , there exists a standard symplectic structure

$$\omega_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n dx^i \wedge dy^i.$$

Alternatively, it can be expressed as  $d\lambda$ , where

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x^i dy^i - y^i dx^i),$$

hence  $\omega_0$  is also an exact form.

- (3) Let  $Q$  be a smooth manifold. Its cotangent bundle  $T^*Q$  admits a canonical symplectic structure  $\omega = d\lambda$ . Under local coordinate  $(q^1, \dots, q^n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$  the 1-form is expressed as

$$\lambda = -p_i dq^i,$$

then  $\omega = dq^i \wedge dp_i$ . To show that  $\lambda$  is well-defined, notice that

$$\lambda_{(q,p)}(Y) = p_q((d\pi)_{(q,p)}(Y)), Y \in T_{(q,p)}T^*Q.$$

For some reasons in notation, it is a good habit to keep writting the base manifold as  $Q$ .

- (4) Given two symplectic manifolds  $(M, \omega_M)$  and  $(N, \omega_N)$ , we can cook up a new symplectic manifold by taking products. Consider  $\omega_{\text{prod}} = \pi_M^* \omega_M + \pi_N^* \omega_N \in \Omega^2(M \times N)$ . Since pullback commutes with exterior derivative,  $\omega_{\text{prod}}$  is also closed. By computation,

$$\omega_{\text{prod}}^{m+n} = \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} \binom{m+n}{k} \pi_M^* \omega_M^k \pi_N^* \omega_N^{m+n-k} = \binom{m+n}{m} \pi_M^* \omega_M^m \pi_N^* \omega_N^n.$$

As the nonzero scaling of a symplectic structure is still symplectic,  $t\pi_M^* \omega_M + s\pi_N^* \omega_N, ts \neq 0$  are all symplectic structures on  $M \times N$ . In this way we get plenty of different symplectic structures on product manifolds. For example,  $\mathbb{T}^{2n}, \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$  are symplectic manifolds.

- (5) The complex projective spaces  $\mathbb{CP}^n$  admit a special class of symplectic structures  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$ , called Fubini–Study forms. It can be described as follows. Let  $\mathbb{CP}^n = \bigcup_{i=0}^n U_i$  be the standard open cover and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i: U_i &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \\ [z_0, \dots, z_n] &\longmapsto \left( \frac{z_0}{z_i}, \dots, \frac{\widehat{z_i}}{z_i}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{z_i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Under  $\varphi_i$  we define

$$\omega_{\text{FS}}|_{U_i} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left( \sum_{l=0}^n \left| \frac{z_l}{z_i} \right|^2 \right)$$

A straightforward computation yields

$$\partial \bar{\partial} \log \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |w_i|^2 + 1 \right) = \frac{1}{(1 + \sum |w_i|^2)^2} \sum h_{ij} dw_i \wedge d\bar{w}_j$$

with  $h_{ij} = (1 + \sum |w_j|^2) \delta_{ij} - \bar{w}_i w_j$ .

$\omega_{\text{FS}}$  are though to be special because they are Kähler forms, i.e., they are induced by the complex structures. Also note that  $\mathbb{CP}^1 = \mathbb{S}^2$  has volume 1 under  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$  while its area is  $2\pi$  under  $\omega_{\text{area}}$ .

**Exercise 1.2.** Given a smooth manifold  $Q$  and a diffeomorphism  $f: Q \rightarrow Q$ , prove that  $f$  lifts to a symplectomorphism  $\varphi_f: T^*Q \rightarrow T^*Q$  such that  $(\varphi_f)^* \lambda_{\text{can}} = \lambda_{\text{can}}$ , where  $\lambda_{\text{can}}$  is the canonical primitive of the canonical symplectic structure  $d\lambda_{\text{can}}$  on the cotangent bundle  $T^*Q$ .

By definition, if  $U \subset (M, \omega)$  is a non-empty open subset, then  $(U, \omega|_U)$  is also a symplectic manifold. A fundamental theorem by Darboux shows that, symplectic manifolds of the same dimensional locally look the same, so there is no local geometry. This is a significant difference from differential geometry, and that is why the lecture is named symplectic topology.

One may wonder is there any obstruction for the existence for symplectic structures on an even-dimensional manifold.

**Proposition 1.4.** If  $M$  is closed, i.e., compact and without boundary, then  $[\omega]^k$  is nonzero class in  $H^{2k}(M; \mathbb{R})$  for every  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

*Proof.* By contradiction, say  $[\omega]^k = 0$  for some  $k$ . Since  $[\omega]^k = [\omega^k]$ ,  $\omega^k = d\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2k-1}(M)$ . By Stokes' formula,

$$\int_M \omega^n = \int_M \omega^k \wedge \omega^{n-k} = \int_M d\alpha \wedge \omega^{n-k} = \int_M d(\alpha \wedge \omega^{n-k}) = 0.$$

This is a contradiction. □

**Corollary 1.5.** Among  $\mathbb{S}^{2n}, \mathbb{S}^{2p} \times \mathbb{S}^{2q}$ , symplectic structures exist if and only if  $n = 1$  and  $p, q = 1$ .

## 2. SYMPLECTOMORPHISMS

Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold.

**Definition 2.1.**  $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)$  is called a **symplectomorphism** if it preserves the symplectic structure, i.e.,  $\varphi^*\omega = \omega$ . Symplectomorphisms form a subgroup of  $\text{Diff}(M)$ , denoted by  $\text{Symp}(M, \omega)$  with  $\text{Symp}_0(M, \omega)$  representing the connected component of id.

$\text{Symp}(M, \omega)$  is a huge and mysterious topological group, much of whose nature remains unknown for mathematicians. Note that  $\varphi^*(\omega^n) = (\varphi^*\omega)^n = \omega^n$ , so at least  $\text{Symp}(M, \omega)$  would be a subgroup of  $\text{Diff}(M)_{\text{Vol}}$ , the diffeomorphisms preserving volumes. In general  $\text{Symp}(M, \omega) \subsetneq \text{Diff}(M)_{\text{Vol}}$ , which seems very reasonable but is not easy to prove. This result is due to Gromov with his non-squeezing theorem. Roughly speaking, although symplectic geometry has no local behaviour, it possesses certain rigidity in the global sense.

Once we focus on linear isomorphism on  $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_0)$ , things get much easier.

**Definition 2.2.** The **symplectic group** is  $\text{Sp}(2n) = \{A \in \text{GL}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \mid A^*\omega_0 = \omega_0\}$ .

Symplectic groups are Lie groups with nice property.

**Theorem 2.3.**  $\text{Sp}(2n)$  deform retracts to  $\text{U}(n)$ . In particular, it has fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

This result is essential in defining another important invariant for symplectic manifolds.

**Proposition 2.4.** The first Chern class for  $(M, \omega)$ ,  $c_1(TM) \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$  is well-defined.

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Sp}(2n)$  deform retracts to  $\text{U}(n)$ ,  $TM$  is equivalent to a complex vector bundle over  $M$ .  $\square$

There are two natural questions about symplectic geometry:

- (1) How to construct a symplectomorphism?
- (2) How to tell if a map is a symplectomorphism?

To answer these questions, we need to introduce to elements in symplectic geometry respectively:

- (1) Hamiltonian dynamics.
- (2) Lagrangian submanifolds.

## 3. COMPLEXITY OF SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURES

Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold.

In algebraic topology, characteristic classes measure the complexity of vector bundles over a space. To describe the complexity of a symplectic structure, we can also make use of some special tools and invariants.

**Proposition 3.1.** Every symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  admits an almost complex structure  $J$  such that

- (1)  $\omega_p(v, Jv) > 0, v \in T_pM$ .
- (2)  $J^*\omega = \omega$ , i.e.,  $\omega_p(Ju, Jv) = \omega_p(u, v), u, v \in T_pM$ .

As a consequence,  $g_J(u, v) = \omega(u, Jv)$  defines a Riemannian metric on  $M$ . Also note that

$$g_J(Ju, Jv) = \omega(Ju, -v) = \omega(v, Ju) = g_J(v, u).$$

Although it may not make sense at the moment, this show that symplectic manifolds can be quantified. In the remainder of this section, we will fix a symplectic manifold together with induced almost complex structure  $(M, \omega, J)$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A  **$J$ -holomorphic curve** in  $(M, \omega, J)$  is a smooth map  $u: (\Sigma, j) \rightarrow (M, \omega, J)$  such that  $J \circ u_* = u_* \circ j$ , where  $\Sigma$  is a Riemann surface and  $j$  is its complex structure.

$J$ -holomorphic curve is an important tool in symplectic geometry, developed by Gromov in 1980's. In this lecture we will be only interested in cases where  $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^2$  or  $\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{\text{finitely many pts}\}$ .

**Example 3.3.** A map  $u: (\mathbb{C}, \sqrt{-1}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, \sqrt{-1})$  is a  $J$ -holomorphic curve if and only if  $u$  satisfies the Cauchy–Riemann equation, i.e.,  $u$  is holomorphic.

**Proposition 3.4.** For any smooth curve  $u: (\Sigma, j) \rightarrow (M, \omega, J)$ , let

$$E(u) = \int_{\Sigma} u^*\omega.$$

We have  $E(u) \leq \text{Area}(u)$ , with equality holds if  $u$  is a  $J$ -holomorphic curve.

*Proof.* Fix a local orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  on  $T\Sigma$  such that  $g_J(u_*e_1, u_*e_2) = 0$ . Then

$$(u^*\omega)(e_1, e_2) = \omega(u_*e_1, u_*e_2) = g_J(Ju_*e_1, u_*e_2) = (u^*g_J)(je_1, e_2)$$

By Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$g_J(Ju_*e_1, u_*e_2) \leq \sqrt{g_J(Ju_*e_1, Ju_*e_1)g_J(u_*e_2, u_*e_2)} = \sqrt{(u^*g_J)(e_1, e_1)(u^*g_J)(e_2, e_2)}$$

with equality holds if and only if  $Ju_*e_1 = u_*e_2$ .

If  $u$  is  $J$ -holomorphic curve, we can pick  $e_2 = je_1$ , as  $g_J(u_*e_1, u_*je_1) = -\omega(u_*e_1, u_*e_1) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** A  $J$ -holomorphic curve is a minimal surface with respect to  $g_J$ .

Note that if  $u: (\mathbb{S}^2, j) \rightarrow (M, \omega, J)$  is a  $J$ -holomorphic curve,  $E(u)$  can be written as  $[\omega] \cap [u]$ , where  $[u] \in \pi_2(M)$ . In symplectic geometry, we consider the image of Hurewicz map

$$H_2^S(M) = \text{Im}(h: \pi_2(M) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})),$$

which is the collection of homology classes represented by the image of  $\mathbb{S}^2$ .

**Example 3.6.**  $H_2^S(\mathbb{T}^2) = 0$  because  $\mathbb{T}^2$  is not homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}^2$ .

$$H_2^S(\mathbb{S}^2) = \mathbb{Z}, H_2^S(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2) = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$H_2^S(\mathbb{CP}^n) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

Two functions are defined on  $H_2^S(M)$ :

$$[\omega]: H_2^S(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$A \longmapsto [\omega] \cap A = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} A^* \omega,$$

$$c_1(TM): H_2^S(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$$A \longmapsto c_1 \cap A = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} A^* c_1(TM).$$

The complexity of  $(M, \omega)$  comes from the relation of  $[\omega]$  and  $c_1(TM)$ .

**Definition 3.7.** In general, there are three jumps in the complexity of a symplectic manifold.

- $[\omega] = c_1(TM) \equiv 0$  on  $H_2^S(M)$ . Such symplectic manifolds are said to be **symplectically aspherical**. In some sense, symplectically aspherical manifolds are easy to study. Tori  $\mathbb{T}^{2n}$  and closed surfaces  $\Sigma_g (g > 0)$  are examples for symplectically aspherical manifolds.
- $[\omega](A) = \kappa c_1(TM)(A)$ ,  $A \in H_2^S(M)$  for some  $\kappa > 0$ . Such symplectic manifolds are said to be **monotone**. By definition, a symplectically aspherical manifold is automatically monotone.  $(\mathbb{CP}^1, \omega_{\text{FS}})$  is our first example that is monotone but not symplectically aspherical. Let  $A$  be the generator of  $H_2^S(\mathbb{CP}^1) = H_2(\mathbb{CP}^1; \mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $[\omega_{\text{FS}}](A) = 1$  and  $c_1(A) = 2$ , so  $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ . Generally, for every  $n$ ,  $(\mathbb{CP}^n, \omega_{\text{FS}})$  is monotone with  $\kappa = \frac{1}{n+1}$ .
- The last jump is a generalization of notion of monotone. We say  $(M, \omega)$  is **semi-positive** (or **weakly monotone**) if it satisfies either one of the three conditions:
  - (1)  $[\omega](A) = \kappa c_1(TM)(A)$ ,  $A \in H_2^S(M)$  for some  $\kappa \geq 0$ .
  - (2)  $c_1(TM) \equiv 0$  on  $H_2^S(M)$ . (This condition is sometimes called Calabi–Yau).
  - (3) The positive generator of  $c_1(TM)(H_2^S(M)) \leq \mathbb{Z}$  is at least  $n - 2$ .

The three conditions are not equivalent to each other but they can overlap on some manifolds. By definition, when  $n \leq 3$ , i.e.,  $\dim M \leq 6$ ,  $(M, \omega)$  is automatically semi-positive.

Note that it is possible that a symplectic manifold is not semi-positive, but such examples are quite complicated and are hard to display at this moment.

**Remark 3.8.** Semi-positive manifolds are collections of objects people could deal with in Floer theory in 1990's, which was originally developed for monotone manifolds. Roughly speaking, almost every property holding for monotone case holds for semi-positive case. On the contrary, sometimes it is difficult to generalize results in aspherical case to monotone case.

Here comes an observation. If  $(M, \omega)$  is semi-positive, then for any  $A \in H_2^S(M)$  with  $[\omega](A) > 0$ , we must have  $c_1(TM)(A) \geq 0$  or  $c_1(TM)(A) \leq 2 - n$ . This turns out to be an if and only if!

**Exercise 3.1.** If every  $A \in H_2^S(M)$  with  $[\omega](A) > 0$  satisfies  $c_1(TM)(A) \geq 0$  or  $c_1(TM)(A) \leq 2 - n$ , then  $(M, \omega)$  is semi-positive.

*Proof.* If  $n \leq 3$ , there is nothing to prove, so assume  $n > 3$ . It suffices to show that if condition (2) and (3) are violated, then (1) holds.

In this case,  $c_1(TM)(H_2^S(M)) = N\mathbb{Z}$  with  $1 \leq N \leq n-3$ . Take  $A \in H_2^S(M)$  such that  $c_1(TM)(A) = N$ , so  $[\omega](A) \geq 0$ . If  $B \in H_2^S(M)$  making  $c_1(TM)(B) = N$ , we must have  $[\omega](A - B) = 0$ . Indeed, otherwise say  $[\omega](B - A) > 0$ , then  $c_1(B - A) = 0$ . Taking  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  sufficiently large, we obtain  $[\omega](m(B - A) - A) > 0$  but  $(m(B - A) - A) = c_1(-A) \in (2 - n, 0)$ , which is a contradiction.

Let

$$\kappa = \frac{[\omega](A)}{c_1(TM)(A)}.$$

For any  $C \in H_2^S(M)$ ,  $c_1(TM)(A) = (1 + k)N$  and

$$[\omega](C) = [\omega](C - kA) + [\omega](kA) = (k + 1)[\omega](A) = (k + 1)\kappa c_1(TM)(A) = \kappa c_1(TM)(C).$$

□

**Example 3.9.**  $(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \omega_{\text{prod}})$  is monotone. If we change the symplectic structure, we obtain an example for a semi-positive manifold that is not monotone. Note that  $\dim \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2 = 4 \leq 6$ , this manifold is semi-positive with any symplectic structure. One can check that  $\omega_{\text{deform}} = (1 + \varepsilon)\pi_1^*\omega + \pi_2^*\omega$  is non-monotone structure for  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

#### 4. QUANTUM COHOMOLOGY AND NOVIKOV RINGS

Cohomology is an important topological invariant for manifolds. However, it does not carry the information of symplectic structure. In symplectic geometry, we can modify cohomology in the following way.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\Gamma_\omega = \text{Im}([\omega]: H_2^S(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}) \leq \mathbb{R}$ . The **quantum cohomology**  $QH^*(M, \omega)$  of  $(M, \omega)$  with coefficient  $\mathbb{K}$  is

$$QH^*(M, \omega) = H^*(M; \mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \Lambda^{\mathbb{K}, \Gamma_\omega},$$

where  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{K}, \Gamma_\omega}$  is the Novikov ring which we will introduce later.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\Gamma \leq \mathbb{R}$  be a subgroup. Its **Novikov ring** is the formal power series in  $T$

$$\Lambda^{\mathbb{K}, \Gamma} = \left\{ \sum_{\lambda \in \Gamma} a_\lambda T^\lambda \mid a_\lambda \in \mathbb{K}, \forall c \in \mathbb{R}, \# \{a_\lambda \neq 0 \mid \lambda < c\} < +\infty \right\}.$$

$\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, \# \{a_\lambda \neq 0 \mid \lambda < c\} < +\infty$  is called the Novikov condition.

**Remark 4.3.** A faster way to express the Novikov condition is  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ , though this notion is not very appropriate for finite sums.

**Example 4.4.**  $\mathbb{K}[[T]] \leq \Lambda^{\mathbb{K}, \Gamma}$ . On the contrary,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{\frac{1}{n}}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{-n} \notin \Lambda^{\mathbb{K}, \Gamma}.$$

As a priori,  $QH^*(M, \omega)$  should be considered as a module over the Novikov ring. Delightfully, it turns out that the Novikov ring is actually a field.

**Lemma 4.5.**  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{K}, \Gamma}$  is a field.

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be a nonzero element. By the Novikov condition, we can write

$$x = a_\mu T^\mu + \sum_{\lambda > \mu} a_\lambda T^\lambda = a_\mu T^\mu \left( 1 + \sum_{\lambda > \mu} \frac{a_\lambda}{a_\mu} T^{\lambda - \mu} \right), a_\mu \neq 0.$$

Denote

$$A = - \sum_{\lambda > \mu} \frac{a_\lambda}{a_\mu} T^{\lambda - \mu}.$$

As  $\lambda = \mu$  only finitely many times,  $(1 - A)^{-1} = 1 + A + \cdots + A^n + \cdots$  is well-defined and

$$x^{-1} = a_\mu^{-1} T^{-\mu} (1 - A)^{-1}.$$

□

As a consequence, quantum cohomology can be considered as a  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{K}, \Gamma_\omega}$ -vector space, and methods in linear algebra apply.

**Example 4.6.** We can examine what quantum cohomology likes under the three kinds of complexity mentioned last time.

- If  $(M, \omega)$  is symplectically aspherical, then  $\Gamma_\omega = 0$  and  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{K}, \Gamma_\omega}$  is simply  $\mathbb{K}$ . We see that the quantum cohomology on symplectically aspherical manifolds coincides with the usual cohomology.
- If  $(M, \omega)$  is monotone,  $\Gamma_\omega = \kappa c_1(TM)(H_2^S(M)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$  is a cyclic subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{K}, \Gamma_\omega} \simeq \mathbb{Z}[T]$  can be regarded as the field of Laurant series.
- If  $(M, \omega)$  is semi-positive, condition (2) and (3) have no control on  $\Gamma_\omega$ , so it could be that  $\Gamma_\omega$  becomes very complicated.

Here is an explicit example showing how complicated  $\Gamma_\omega$  could be.

**Example 4.7.** Consider  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$  with  $\omega_{\text{deform}} = (1 + \varepsilon)\pi_1^*\omega + \pi_2^*\omega$ . Then

$$\Gamma_\omega = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon.$$

If  $\varepsilon$  is irrational, then  $\Gamma_\omega$  will be a dense subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Here is another enhanced version of Novikov ring. We can consider the series

$$\sum_{A \in H_2^S(M)} a_A t^A.$$

The question about this definition is, how to describe the Novikov condition? To resolve this, consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker}[\omega] \longrightarrow H_2^S \xrightarrow{[\omega]} \Gamma_\omega \longrightarrow 0.$$

$\Gamma_\omega$  is free, so the exact sequence splits, which implies  $H_2^S(M) = \text{Ker}[\omega] \oplus \Gamma_\omega$ ,  $A \mapsto (B, \lambda)$ . The formal series can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Gamma_\omega} \sum_{B \in \text{Ker}[\omega]} a_{(B, \lambda)} t^{(B, \lambda)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Gamma_\omega} \left( \sum_{B \in \text{Ker}[\omega]} a_{(B, \lambda)} S^B \right) T^\lambda.$$

The Novikov condition for this setting should be

$$\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, \# \{a_{(B, \lambda)} \neq 0 \mid \lambda < c\} < +\infty,$$

which implies that the coefficient

$$\sum_{B \in \text{Ker}[\omega]} a_{(B, \lambda)} S^B$$

lies in the group algebra  $\mathbb{K}[\text{Ker}[\omega]]$ .

Before talking more about quantum cohomology, we first study some general aspects of modules over Novikov rings. Novikov admits an interesting structure, called the valuation.

**Definition 4.8.** We define a valuation  $\nu$  on  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{K}, \Gamma}$  by  $\nu(x) = \min \{\lambda \in \Gamma \mid T^\lambda \text{ has nonzero coefficient}\}$  and prescribing  $+\infty$  to 0.

**Proposition 4.9.**  $\nu$  is indeed a valuation on  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{K}, \Gamma}$ :

- (1)  $\nu(x) = +\infty$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .
- (2)  $\nu(xy) = \nu(x) + \nu(y)$ .
- (3)  $\nu(tx) = \nu(x)$  if  $0 \neq t \in \mathbb{K}$ .
- (4)  $\nu(x + y) = \min(\nu(x) + \nu(y))$ .

These properties follows immediately from definition.

With valuations, we can associate a new structure on  $V$ .

**Definition 4.10.** A filtration function on a  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{K}, \Gamma}$ -vector space  $V$  is a function  $l: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  such that:

- (1)  $l(x) = -\infty$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .
- (2)  $l(\alpha x) = l(x) - \nu(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \in \Lambda^{\mathbb{K}, \Gamma}$ .
- (3)  $l(x + y) \leq \max(l(x), l(y))$ .

Note that by definition if  $l(x) \neq l(y)$ , say  $l(x) > l(y)$ , then

$$l(y) < l(x) = l(x + y - y) \leq \max(l(x + y), l(y)),$$

which forces  $l(x + y) = l(x) = \max(l(x), l(y))$ .

A natural question is, what does linear algebra look like on  $(V, l)$ ?

## 5. VECTOR SPACES WITH FILTRATION FUNCTIONS

## 6. PREPARATIONS FOR GROMOV–WITTEN INVARIANTS

Gromov–Witten invariant is related to the central problem in symplectic geometry and algebraic geometry, counting curves. There are three major troubles we will encounter.

**6.1. The limit is bad.** Consider a family of  $J$ -holomorphic curves  $\{u_n\}$ . Its limit  $u_\infty$  may be complicated.

**Example 6.1.** Let  $u_n: (\mathbb{S}^2, j) \rightarrow (\mathbb{CP}^2, J_0), [z_0 : z_1] \mapsto [z_0^2 : \frac{z_1^2}{n} : z_0 z_1]$  be a sequence of  $J$ -holomorphic curves, where  $J_0$  is the standard complex structure.  $\text{Im } u_n = \left\{ [Z_0 : Z_1 : Z_2] \mid Z_0 Z_1 = \frac{Z_2^2}{n} \right\}$ , so its limit image is

$$\text{Im}(u_\infty) = \{[Z_0 : Z_1 : Z_2] \mid Z_0 Z_1 = 0\} = A_0 \cup A_1,$$

where  $A_0 = V(Z_0), A_1 = V(Z_1)$ . Topologically,  $\text{Im}(u_\infty)$  is two copies of  $\mathbb{S}^2$  attached at  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ .

A question is, can  $\text{Im}(u_\infty)$  be an image of a  $J$ -holomorphic curve? Intuitively, on  $\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{[0 : 1]\}$  we can set  $u_\infty([z_0 : z_1]) = [z_0^2 : 0 : z_0 z_1] = [z_0 : 0 : z_1]$ . It seems reasonable to define  $u_\infty([z_0 : z_1]) = [z_0 : 0 : z_1]$ . However, this map only covers the component  $A_1$  but misses  $A_0$ .

We can look at  $[0 : 1]$  and see what happened to this point during the limit process. Surprisingly,  $u_n([0 : 1]) = [0 : \frac{1}{n} : 0] = [0 : 1 : 0]$  is a fixed point and it is not the same point as  $u_\infty([0 : 1]) = [0 : 0 : 1]$ .

**Exercise 6.1.** Prove that there exists a  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $v_\infty: \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$  that covers component  $A_0$  but misses  $A_1$ , and maps  $[1 : 0]$  to  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ .

*Proof.* Consider a reparametrization of  $u_n$  defined by  $v_n([z_0 : z_1]) = u_n([z_0 : n z_1]) = [z_0^2 : n z_1^2 : n z_0 z_1] = [\frac{z_0^2}{n} : z_1^2 : z_0 z_1]$ . Then  $v_\infty([z_0 : z_1]) = [0 : z_1 : z_0]$  is the desired map.  $\square$

To resolve this puzzle, let's bravely consider  $(v, u): T \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$ , where  $T$  is two copies of  $\mathbb{S}^2$  attached at  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ . In general, the limit of a family of  $J$ -holomorphic curves has the complicated domains represented as a tree, whose vertex are copies of  $\mathbb{S}^2$  and edges are attached points. In Example 6.1 the domain is represented as two vertex attached by an edge.

## 6.2. Multiply cover.

**Definition 6.2.** Let  $u: (\Sigma_g, j) \rightarrow (M, J)$  be a  $J$ -holomorphic curve.  $u$  is said to be **multiply covered** if there exists a  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $u': (\Sigma_{g'}, j') \rightarrow (M, J)$  and a non-constant holomorphic map  $\varphi: \Sigma_g \rightarrow \Sigma_{g'}$  s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Sigma_g, j) & & \\ \downarrow \varphi & \searrow u & \\ (\Sigma_{g'}, j') & \xrightarrow{u'} & (M, J) \end{array}$$

and  $\deg \varphi > 1$ . And we will call that  $u$  is a **multiple cover** of  $u'$ .

In general, we don't like multiple covers. By some topological constraint we can get rid of multiple covers.

**Theorem 6.3** (Riemann–Hurwitz formula). Let  $\varphi: \Sigma_g \rightarrow \Sigma_{g'}$  be a non-constant holomorphic map. Then

$$2g - 2 = (\deg \varphi)(2g' - 2) + \sum_{p \in \Sigma_g} (e_p - 1),$$

where  $e_p$  is the ramification index at  $p$ .

In particular, if  $\Sigma_g = \mathbb{S}^2$ , the formula writes

$$-2 = (\deg \varphi)(2g' - 2) + \sum_{p \in \Sigma_g} (e_p - 1).$$

Therefore  $g' = 0$  and  $\Sigma_{g'} = \mathbb{S}^2$ . Note that in this case we cannot claim  $\deg \varphi = 1$ .

**Example 6.4.** Here is an example of symplectic structure ruling out multiple cover. Suppose  $(M, \omega)$  is semi-positive with  $c_1(TM)(H_2^S(M)) = 4\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $u: (\mathbb{S}^2, j) \rightarrow (M, J)$  is a  $J$ -holomorphic curve such that  $c_1(TM)([u]) = 4$ , then  $u$  is not a multiple cover.

To see this, by contradiction suppose  $u$  is a multiple cover of  $u': \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow (M, J)$  with  $\varphi$ . By definition,  $[u] = (\deg \varphi)[u']$ , so  $4 = c_1(TM)([u]) = (\deg \varphi) c_1(TM)([u'])$ . As  $\deg \varphi > 1$ , we have either  $\deg \varphi = 2$  or 4, but either case would result in  $0 < c_1(TM)([u']) < c_1(TM)([u])$ .

**Definition 6.5.** A  $J$ -holomorphic curve is said to be **simple** if it's not multiply covered.

If a  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $u$  is not simple, then can always find a simple  $u'$  such that  $u$  is a multiple cover of  $u'$ .

The following is a criterion for determining whether a  $J$ -holomorphic curve is simple.

**Theorem 6.6.** A  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $u: (\Sigma_g, j) \rightarrow (M, J)$  is simple if and only if there exists  $z \in \Sigma_g$  such that  $(du)_z: T_z \Sigma_g \rightarrow T_{u(z)} M$  is injective and  $u^{-1}(u(z)) = \{z\}$ .

Although many textbooks claim this criterion to be useful, Jun said he never uses it.

**6.3. Reparametrization.** If  $u: (\mathbb{S}^2, j) \rightarrow (M, J)$  is a  $J$ -holomorphic curve and  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{S}^2, j)$ , then geometrically  $u' = u \circ \varphi^{-1}$  should be considered to be the same curve.

Recall that

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{S}^2, j) = \left\{ z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \mid ad - bc \neq 0 \right\} = \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$$

is a connected Lie group with real dimension 6. Let  $\mathcal{C}(M, J)$  be the collection of  $J$ -holomorphic curves  $u: (\mathbb{S}^2, j) \rightarrow (M, J)$ .  $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  acts on  $\mathcal{C}(M, J)$  via  $\varphi \cdot u = u \circ \varphi^{-1}$ . Counting  $J$ -holomorphic curves should be essentially on the moduli space

$$\mathcal{C}(M, J)/\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}).$$

An enhanced version of this is considering

$$(u, (z_1, \dots, z_k)) \in \mathcal{C}(M, J) \times \left( \prod^k \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \Delta \right),$$

where  $\Delta$  is the fat diagonal, i.e.,  $(z_1, \dots, z_k)$  are  $k$  distinct points. Similarly  $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  acts on this set via  $\varphi \cdot (u, (z_1, \dots, z_k)) = (u \circ \varphi^{-1}, (\varphi(z_1), \dots, \varphi(z_k)))$  and we can consider the moduli space

$$\mathcal{C}(M, J) \times \left( \prod^k \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \Delta \right) / \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}).$$

**Remark 6.7.**  $(z_1, \dots, z_k)$  is to formulate constraints on the  $J$ -holomorphic curves.

**Definition 6.8.** Let  $(M, J)$  be an almost complex manifold. A stable map is given by the following data.

- (1) Its domain  $T$ , which is a tree-type configuration containing finitely many  $(\mathbb{S}^2, j)$  attached to each other in the same way. The vertex  $v(T)$  are copies of  $(\mathbb{S}^2, j)$  and the edges  $e(T)$  are nodal points. The attached points are usually called nodal points and the configuration  $T$  is called the nodal curve.
- (2) The restriction of  $u$  on each  $(\mathbb{S}^2, j)$  is  $J$ -holomorphic.
- (3) If the restriction of  $u$  on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ -component in  $v(T)$  is constant, then there exists at least three nodal points on it.

We say  $u$  is multiply covered if at least one  $\mathbb{S}^2$ -component is multiply covered.

**Remark 6.9.** Condition (3) is extracted from analysis on  $J$ -holomorphic curves. More details can be found at Theorem 5.5 at *J-holomorphic Curves in Symplectic Topology* by Jerome Dominique Wettstein.



7. MODULI SPACE OF  $J$ -HOLOMORPHIC CURVES

Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold and  $A \in H_2^S(M)$ . Take a  $J \in \mathcal{J}(M, \omega)$ .

**Definition 7.1.**  $\mathcal{M}^*(A, J)$ , or  $\mathcal{M}^*(M, A, J)$  is defined as

$$\{\text{simple } J\text{-holomorphic curves } u: (\mathbb{S}^2, j) \rightarrow (M, J) \mid [u] = A\} / \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}).$$

Similarly we can define

$$\mathcal{M}_k^*(A, J) = (\mathcal{M}^*(A, J) \times (\mathbb{S}^2)^k \setminus \Delta) / \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}),$$

whose elements are  $[(u, z_1, \dots, z_k)]$  with simple  $u$  such that  $[u] = A$  and  $k$  distinct points on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ .  $\overline{\mathcal{M}_k(A, J)}$  is defined as

$$\left\{ \text{stable maps } u: (T, j) \rightarrow (M, J) \mid [u] = \sum_{\mathbb{S}^2 \in v(T)} [u|_{\mathbb{S}^2}] = A \right\}.$$

In definitions above, we can vary  $A, J, k$  to obtain different moduli spaces. For  $(A, J, k)$  fixed, their relations are as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_k^*(A, J) & \subset & \mathcal{M}_k(A, J) \\ \cap & & \cap \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}_k^*(A, J)} & \subset & \overline{\mathcal{M}_k(A, J)} \end{array}$$

For a generic  $J$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_k^*(A, J)$  would have a manifold structure with  $\overline{\mathcal{M}_k(A, J)}$  being its compactification, due to the famous Gromov compactness theorem.

**Theorem 7.2** (Gromov). Let  $A \in H_2^S(M)$ . Then there exists a topology on  $\mathcal{M}_k^*(A, J)$ , called the Gromov sequential topology, such that its compactification is  $\overline{\mathcal{M}_k(A, J)}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_k^*(A, J)$  is an open dense subset of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}_k(A, J)}$ . Moreover, for a generic  $J$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_k^*(A, J)$  is a manifold of real dimension  $\dim M + 2c_1(TM)(A) + 2k - 6$ .

**Remark 7.3.** Similar result holds for  $\mathcal{M}_{k,T}^*(A, J)$  and

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_{k,T}^*(A, J) = \dim M + 2c_1(TM)(A) + 2k - 6 - 2\#e(T).$$

Moreover, we have the decomposition

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}_k(A, J)} = \bigcup_T \mathcal{M}_{k,T}^*(A, J),$$

where  $T$  runs over all the possible tree domains in the limit process.

**Example 7.4.** Let's consider the toy version when  $(M, \omega, J) = (\mathbb{CP}^2, \omega_{\text{FS}}, J_0)$  where  $J_0$  is the complex structure.

Let  $A = 2L$ , where  $L \in H_2(\mathbb{CP}^2; \mathbb{Z})$  is the line class. We want to study the moduli space  $\overline{\mathcal{M}_0(2L, J_0)}$ .

According to Theorem 7.2, its real dimension is

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{CP}^2 + 2c_1(T\mathbb{CP}^2)(2L) + 0 - 6 = 10.$$

Actually, a curve representing class  $[2L]$  would be a conic in  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  so  $\overline{\mathcal{M}_0(2L, J_0)}$  can be considered as collections of conics. As conics are solutions to equations

$$aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2 + dXY + eXZ + fYZ = 0,$$

intuitively, the space has complex dimension 5, which is consistent with Theorem 7.2.

Let's further consider the moduli space for deg 2 multiply covered curves representing  $L$ . By Riemann-Hurwitz formula,

$$-2 = 2 \times (-2) + \sum (e_p - 1),$$

thus such a curve have exactly two ramified points (they may coincide). Suppose  $u$  is a multiply cover of  $v$ . As  $\text{Im } v$  acts as a line in  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ , which can be parametrized by two complex numbers, the moduli space has freedom in four complex parameters and is of real dimension 8.

Let's further consider moduli space of curves with tree domain such that  $e(T) = 1, v(T) = 2$ . It has complex dimension 4 and therefore real dimension 8.

Example 7.4 provides us with some basic intuition about moduli space of curves.  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(A, J)$  can be imagined as a manifold with corners.  $\mathcal{M}_k^*(A, J)$  is a 0-codimensional open stratum while degenerating curves occupy lower dimensional parts.

## 8. BACK TO QUANTUM COHOMOLOGY

Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold.  $QM^*(M, \omega)$  is viewed as a finite dimensional vector space over  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{K}, \Gamma_\omega}$ . In algebraic topology,  $H^*(M; \mathbb{K})$  is a graded ring under the cup product  $\cup$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -basis for  $H^*(M; \mathbb{K})$  and

$$e_i \cup e_j = c_{ij}^k e_k$$

with  $c_{ij}^k$  calle structure constants for  $\cup$ . On  $QM^*(M, \omega)$ , we have a similar operation  $*$ , which satisfies

$$e_i * e_j = e_i \cup e_j + \text{ deformed part }.$$

$*$  is defined based on Gromov–Witten invariant, so Zhang cannot explain this operator for now. However, we can see what extra structure can be defined on quantum cohomology with  $*$  operator.

**Example 8.1.** Let  $(M, \omega) = (\mathbb{CP}^n, \omega_{FS})$ . According to algebraic topology,

$$H^*(\mathbb{CP}^n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{K}[S]/(S^{n+1}),$$

where  $S \in H^2(\mathbb{CP}^n; \mathbb{K})$  is the Poincaré dual of a complex hyperplane. As for quantum cohomology,

$$QH^*(\mathbb{CP}^n, \omega_{FS}) = \mathbb{K}[S, T]/(S^{n+1} - T).$$

Here  $S^{n+1} = S \cup \dots \cup S + \text{ deformed parts}$ , so  $T$  represents the extra terms.

**Remark 8.2.** One advantage of working with quantum cohomology is that many elements become invertible. For example, in  $QH^*(\mathbb{CP}^n, \omega_{FS})$ ,  $S^{-1} = T^{-1}S^n$ . Also,  $QH^*(\mathbb{CP}^n, \omega_{FS})$  is an integral domain, for  $T - S^{n+1}$  is irreducible.

**Example 8.3.** Consider  $(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \omega_{\text{prod}})$ .

$$H^*(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2; \mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}a \oplus \mathbb{K}b \oplus \mathbb{K}a \cup b,$$

where  $a = \text{PD}(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \text{pt})$ ,  $b \in \text{PD}(\text{pt} \times \mathbb{S}^2)$ . If we consider quantum cohomology, we have  $a * a = b * b = T$ , which already gives us new information. Moreover, recall the another version of Novikov rings. In fact

$$a * a = T^{[\text{pt} \times \mathbb{S}^2]}, b * b = T^{[\mathbb{S}^2 \times \text{pt}]},$$

so we can further distinguish the two elements. This shows another advantage of working with quantum cohomology.

Further assume that  $M$  is compact. Then there is a pairing structure

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \int_M x \cup y = x(\text{PD}(y))$$

for  $x, y \in H^*(M; \mathbb{K})$  with  $\deg x + \deg y = \dim M$ . This can be extended to  $QH^*(M, \omega)$  by taking

$$\langle \lambda x, \mu y \rangle = \lambda \mu \int_M x \cup y = x(\text{PD}(y)) \in \mathbb{K}, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}.$$

The following is somewhat the "final" theorem for quantum cohomology.

**Theorem 8.4.** The triple  $(QM^*(M, \omega), *, \langle -, - \rangle)$  is an associative Frobenius algebra, i.e.,  $\langle -, - \rangle$  is non-degenerate bilinear form and  $\langle a * b, c \rangle = \langle a, b * c \rangle$ .

**Remark 8.5.** Just like  $a \cup b = (-1)^{\deg a \deg b} b \cup a$ , we also have  $a * b = (-1)^{\deg a \deg b} b * a$ . Here we must explain what's the degree on  $QH^*(M, \omega)$ . Consider the monomial  $xT^A$  with  $x \in H^2(M; \mathbb{K})$ ,  $A \in H_2^S(M)$  and we have  $\deg xT^A = \deg x + 2c_1(TM)(A)$ .

There are some interesting properties for semi-simple quantum cohomology.

**Proposition 8.6** (Entov–Polterovich, Berkovich). If  $QH^*(M, \omega)$  is semi-simple, then there exists  $c > 0$  such that for any  $x$  in a field factor of  $QH^*(M, \omega)$ , we have

$$l(x) + l(-x) \geq -c.$$

**Remark 8.7.** This inequality has strong geometric meaning. It serves as an important ingredient in Shelukhin's proof of Hofer–Zehnder conjecture.

## 9. GROMOV–WITTEN INVARIANT

Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold and  $A \in H_2^S(M)$ . Take a generic  $J \in \mathcal{J}(M, \omega)$ .

**Definition 9.1.** For  $[(u, z_1, \dots, z_k)] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(A, J)$ , define the map

$$\text{ev}([(u, z_1, \dots, z_k)]) = (u(z_1), \dots, u(z_k)) \in M^k.$$

Similarly we define  $\text{ev}_i([(u, z_1, \dots, z_k)]) = u(z_i)$ .

Given cycles  $X_1, \dots, X_k \in H_*(M; \mathbb{K})$ , we want to count  $u \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(A, J)$  such that  $[\text{Im } u]$  intersects  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  at the same time.

**Example 9.2.** Let  $(M, \omega) = (\mathbb{CP}^2, \omega_{\text{FS}})$  and consider a line class  $A = L$ . Let  $X_1, X_2$  be two point classes in  $H_0(\mathbb{CP}^2; \mathbb{Z})$ . Then such a  $u$  is a curve passing through  $X_1, X_2$ .

Since the curves may degenerate and position of homology classes vary, this question is a little bit complicated. Therefore we introduce two improvements to make our lives easier:

- (1) We only work on  $\mathcal{M}_k^*(A, J)$ .
- (2)  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  are in a generic position. That is,  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  are represented by submanifolds and  $X_i, X_j$  pairwise intersect transversally.

**Example 9.3.** On  $(\mathbb{CP}^2, \omega_{\text{FS}})$ , consider point classes  $X_i \in H_0(M; \mathbb{Z})$  in a generic position. Let  $A = L$  be a line class. By intuition, there is exactly one curve representing  $L$  and passing through  $X_1, X_2$ . Similarly, with some computation one sees that there is exactly one curve representing  $2L$  (i.e. it is a conic) and passing through  $X_1, \dots, X_5$ .

**Definition 9.4.** We define the Gromov–Witten invariant as follows. For  $k$  cohomology classes  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in H^*(M; \mathbb{K})$  such that  $\deg a_1 + \dots + \deg a_k = \dim \mathcal{M}_k^*(A, J)$ , their Gromov–Witten invariant is

$$\text{GW}_{A,k}^M(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_k^*(A, J)} \text{ev}_1^*(a_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{ev}_k^*(a_k).$$

Let's see what the formula above means. Suppose  $a_i = \text{PD}(X_i)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{M}_k^*(A, J)} \text{ev}_1^*(a_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{ev}_k^*(a_k) &= \int_{\mathcal{M}_k^*(A, J)} \text{ev}^*(\pi_1^*(a_1) \cup \dots \cup \pi_k^*(a_k)) \\ &= \pi_1^*(a_1) \cup \dots \cup \pi_k^*(a_k) (\text{ev}_*([\mathcal{M}_k^*(A, J)])) = \text{PD}(\pi_1^*(X_1) \cap \dots \cap \pi_k^*(X_k)) (\text{ev}_*([\mathcal{M}_k^*(A, J)])). \end{aligned}$$

The only issue in the identity is that we don't know if the fundamental class  $[\mathcal{M}_k^*(A, J)]$  exists. Fortunately we don't need to worry about that if we only work with semi-positive symplectic manifolds. This issue, which relates to the foundations of symplectic geometry, is a fundamental question.

**Example 9.5.** Consider  $(\mathbb{CP}^2, \omega_{\text{FS}}, J_0)$  and let  $L$  be a line class. Set  $A = dL$  and consider  $\text{GW}_{A, 3d-1}^{\mathbb{CP}^2}$ . What is the possible choice for  $a_1, \dots, a_{3d-1} \in H^*(\mathbb{CP}^2; \mathbb{Z})$  so that we can consider its Gromov–Witten invariant? By definition,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3d-1} \deg a_i = 4 + 2c_1(T\mathbb{CP}^2)(dL) + 2(3d-1) - 6 = 4(3d-1),$$

so  $\deg a_i = 4$  and  $a_i$  is a Poincaré dual of a point. Therefore  $\text{GW}_{A, 3d-1}^{\mathbb{CP}^2}$  counts degree  $d$  curves in  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  passing through  $3d-1$  points. One may ask what this number is. This famous number is denoted by  $N_d$  and we have seen that  $N_1 = N_2 = 1, N_3 = 12$ . We will see how to compute it later.

**Exercise 9.1.** A Frobenius algebra is semi-simple if it can be decomposed into a direct sum of fields (not necessarily the same fields). There is a criterion by Abram: a Frobenius algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \langle -, - \rangle)$  is semi-simple if and only if the following class (called the Euler class)

$$\mathcal{E} = \sum_{\text{basis}} e_i * e_i^\vee$$

is invertible in  $\mathcal{A}$ , where  $e_i^\vee$  is the dual of  $e_i$  with respect to  $\langle -, - \rangle$ .

For  $(M, \omega) = (\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \omega_{\text{prod}})$ , denote  $a = \text{PD}([\text{pt} \times \mathbb{S}^2]), b = \text{PD}([\mathbb{S}^2] \times \text{pt})$ .

- (1) Compute all non-trivial 3-points constraint Gromov–Witten invariants.
- (2) Compute the quantum products  $a * a, b * b$  and  $a * b$ .

- (3) Check that the quantum cohomology group  $QH^*(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \omega_{\text{prod}})$  (over  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ), as an algebra, is semi-simple.

## 10. COMPUTING GROMOV–WITTEN INVARIANT

Today we consider the Gromov–Witten invariant under some special cases.

**Proposition 10.1.**

$$\text{GW}_{0,k}^M(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \begin{cases} \int_M a_1 \cup a_2 \cup a_3 & k = 3, \\ 0 & k \neq 3. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \deg a_i = \dim M + 2c_1(TM)(A) + 2k - 6 = \dim M + 2k - 6.$$

Since any curve  $u$  has  $[u] = 0$ ,  $u$  is a constant map. Let  $N_i = \text{PD}(a_i)$ . Then  $N_1 \cap \dots \cap N_k \neq \emptyset$ , and

$$\dim M \geq \text{codim}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k N_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{codim } N_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \deg a_i.$$

Therefore  $k \leq 3$ . Moreover, as we count stable maps, there is at least 3 marked points so  $k \geq 3$ . The only possibility is  $k = 3$  and

$$\text{GW}_{0,3}^M = \int_{\mathcal{M}_k^*(A,J)} \text{ev}_1^*(a_1) \cup \text{ev}_2^*(a_2) \cup \text{ev}_3^*(a_3) = \int_M a_1 \cup a_2 \cup a_3.$$

□

The second thing to mention is that if we arrange, index then the Gromov–Witten invariant may differ by a sign.

**Proposition 10.2.** We have

$$\text{GW}_{A,k}^M(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, 1) = 0$$

if  $(A, k) \neq (0, 3)$ .

*Proof.*  $1 \in H^0(M; \mathbb{K})$  has Poincaré dual  $[M]$ , so geometrically

$$\text{GW}_{A,k}^M(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, 1) = \text{GW}_{A,k-1}^M(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}).$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \deg a_i = \dim M + 2c_1(TM)(A) + 2k - 6.$$

Hence the identity cannot hold unless they are all zero. □

**Remark 10.3.**  $\text{GW}_{A,2}^M$  could be nonzero.

**Proposition 10.4.** If  $\deg a_1 = 2$ , then

$$\text{GW}_{A,k}^M(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \text{GW}_{A,k-1}^M(a_2, \dots, a_k) \cdot \int_A a_1.$$

**Exercise 10.1.** Compute the Gromov–Witten potential of  $(\mathbb{CP}^1, \omega_{\text{FS}})$  with respect to the standard complex structure  $J_0$ . Please provide all the details.

**Definition 10.5.** Suppose  $m + 1 = \dim H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$  with basis  $1, e_1, \dots, e_d$ . The Gromov–Witten potential of  $(M, \omega)$  is defined as the exponential generating function

$$\Phi(t_0, \dots, t_m) = \sum_{A \in H_2^S(M)} \left( \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \text{GW}_{A,k}^M \left( \sum_{i=1}^m t_i e_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^m t_i e_i \right) \right) e^A.$$

By definition, the key to compute Gromov–Witten potential lies in calculating the term

$$\frac{1}{k!} \text{GW}_{A,k}^M \left( \sum_{i=1}^m t_i e_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^m t_i e_i \right),$$

which by the multilinear property can be expressed as

$$\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k} c(i) (-1)^{s(i)} \text{GW}_{A,k}^M (e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}) t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_k},$$

where  $c(i), s(i)$  are to be determined. However, this expression turns out not convenient for calculations. We can write the term in another way:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{k!} \text{GW}_{A,k}^M \left( \sum_{i=1}^m t_i e_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^m t_i e_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_m = k} \frac{1}{k!} (-1)^{s(\alpha)} \text{GW}_{A,k}^M (e_0^{\alpha_0}, \dots, e_m^{\alpha_m}) \frac{k!}{\alpha_0! \dots \alpha_m!} t_0^{\alpha_0} \dots t_m^{\alpha_m} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{s(\alpha)} \frac{\text{GW}_{A,k}^M (e^\alpha)}{\alpha!} t^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if  $e_i$  all have even degrees, then the sign  $(-1)^{s(\alpha)}$  is always 1.

**Example 10.6.** Let's calculate the Gromov–Witten potential  $\Phi$  of  $(M, \omega) = (\mathbb{CP}^2, \omega_{\text{FS}})$ . Recall that  $H^*(\mathbb{CP}^2; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}c \oplus c^2$ , where  $c$  is the Poincaré dual for the line class  $L$ . There are two main cases. (1)  $A = 0$ . All terms vanishe except for

$$\text{GW}_{0,3}^M(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \int_{\mathbb{CP}^2} a_1 \cup a_2 \cup a_3$$

with  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = (1, 2, 0), (2, 0, 1)$ . Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{CP}^2} 1 \cup c \cup c = \int_{\mathbb{CP}^2} 1 \cup 1 \cup c^2 = 1,$$

we see that

$$\Phi|_{A=0} = \left( \frac{1}{2!0!1!} t_0^2 t_2 + \frac{1}{1!2!0!} t_0 t_1^2 \right) e^0 = \frac{1}{2} t_0^2 t_2 + \frac{1}{2} t_0 t_1^2.$$

(2)  $A = dL$  with  $d \neq 0$ . By definition,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \deg a_i = \dim \mathbb{CP}^2 + 2c_1(T\mathbb{CP}^2)(dL) + 2k - 6 = 6d + 2k - 2.$$

By Proposition 10.2, if  $a_i = 1$  for some  $i$  then this term vanishes. It suffices to consider  $(a_1, \dots, a_k) = (c, \dots, c, c^2, \dots, c^2)$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 &= k, \\ 2\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2 &= 6d + 2k - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Solving the two linear equations, we obtain  $\alpha_1 = k - 3d + 1, \alpha_2 = 3d - 1$ . Note that the number  $3d - 1$  appears naturally. By Proposition 10.4, we see that

$$\text{GW}_{dL,k}^{\mathbb{CP}^2}(c, \dots, c, c^2, \dots, c^2) = \text{GW}_{dL,3d-1}^{\mathbb{CP}^2}(c^2, \dots, c^2) \left( \int_{dL} c \right)^{k-3d+1} = N_d \cdot d^{k-3d+1}.$$

As a consequence,

$$\Phi|_{dL} = \sum_{d \geq 3d-1} \left( \frac{N_d}{(3d-1)!} t_2^{3d-1} \frac{1}{(k-3d+1)!} t_1^{k-3d+1} d^{k-3d+1} \right) e^{dL} = \frac{N_d}{(3d-1)!} t_2^{3d-1} e^{dt_1} e^{dL}.$$

The full expression of the Gromov–Witten potential is

$$\Phi(t_0, t_1, t_2) = \frac{1}{2} t_0^2 t_2 + \frac{1}{2} t_0 t_1^2 + \sum_{d \geq 1} \frac{N_d}{(3d-1)!} t_2^{3d-1} e^{dt_1} e^{dL}.$$

The Gromov–Witten potential of  $(\mathbb{CP}, \omega_{\text{FS}})$  contains the interesting and mysterious ingredients we discussed before. If we can compute  $\Phi$ , then we get to know a lot of information about  $N_d$ . Surprisingly, it is possible to compute  $\Phi$  for every  $(M, \omega)$  because they satisfy the famous WDVV equations.