Stephen Li (Final) 09:30 Monday, December 9, 2019 52 Crude logistic regression of genderon quizscore (OR=1.282, 95% CL 1.064, 1.544)

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Model Information

Data Set TASK1.SURVEYCOMBINED

Response Variable quizscore1 Score on STD prevention quiz

Number of Response Levels 2

Model binary logit
Optimization Technique Fisher's scoring

Number of Observations Read 2423 Number of Observations Used 2401

Response Profile

Ordered		Total
Value	quizscore1	Frequency
1	Fail	749
2	Pass	1652

Probability modeled is quizscore1='Fail'.

NOTE: 22 observations were deleted due to missing values for the response or explanatory variables.

Class Level Information

		Design
Class	Value	Variables
gender	Female	1
	Male	0

Model Convergence Status

Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics

		Intercept
	Intercept	and
Criterion	Only	Covariates
AIC	2982.384	2977.465
SC	2988.167	2989.032

-2 Log L 2980.384 2973.465

Stephen Li (Final) 09:30 Monday, December 9, 2019 53 Crude logistic regression of genderon quizscore (OR=1.282, 95% CL 1.064, 1.544)

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0

Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	6.9187	1	0.0085
Score	6.8420	1	0.0089
Wald	6.8261	1	0.0090

Type 3 Analysis of Effects

		Wald	
Effect	DF	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
gender	1	6.8261	0.0090

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

				Standard	Wald	
Parameter	•	DF	Estimate	Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept		1	-0.9582	0.0786	148.6644	<.0001
gender	Female	1	0.2481	0.0950	6.8261	0.0090

Odds Ratio Estimates

	Point	95% Wald	
Effect	Estimate	Confidence Limits	;
gender Female vs Male	1.282	1.064 1.54	4

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Percent Concordant	24.8	Somers' D	0.054
Percent Discordant	19.3	Gamma	0.123
Percent Tied	55.9	Tau-a	0.023
Pairs	1237348	С	0.527

Model Information

Data Set Response Variable Number of Response Levels

Model Optimization Technique TASK1.SURVEYCOMBINED

quizscore1

2

binary logit Fisher's scoring Score on STD prevention quiz

Number of Observations Read 2423 2396 Number of Observations Used

Response Profile

Ordered		Total
Value	quizscore1	Frequency
1	Fail	748
2	Pass	1648

Probability modeled is quizscore1='Fail'.

NOTE: 27 observations were deleted due to missing values for the response or explanatory variables.

Class Level Information

Class	Value	Design Variables
gender	Female Male	1 0
reuse1	No Yes	1

Model Convergence Status

Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Stephen Li (Final) 09:30 Monday, December 9, 2019 55 Effect of gender (AOR=1.272, 95% CL 1.056, 1.533) andresuse AOR=1.202, 95% CL 0.976, 1.481) on quizsco

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Model Fit Statistics

Intercept Intercept and

Only	Covariates
2977.062	2971.244
2982.844	2988.589
2975.062	2965.244
	2977.062 2982.844

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0

Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	9.8182	2	0.0074
Score	9.7795	2	0.0075
Wald	9.7425	2	0.0077

Type 3 Analysis of Effects

Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
gender	1	6.4053	0.0114
reuse1	1	2.9848	0.0841

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

				Standard	Wald	
Paramete	r	DF	Estimate	Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	t	1	-0.9918	0.0814	148.3756	<.0001
gender	Female	1	0.2408	0.0951	6.4053	0.0114
reuse1	No	1	0.1839	0.1065	2.9848	0.0841

Odds Ratio Estimates

	Point	95% Wald	
Effect	Estimate	Confidence	Limits
gender Female vs Male	1.272	1.056	1.533
reuse1 No vs Yes	1.202	0.976	1.481

Stephen Li (Final) 09:30 Monday, December 9, 2019 56 Effect of gender (AOR=1.272, 95% CL 1.056, 1.533) andresuse AOR=1.202, 95% CL 0.976, 1.481) on quizsco

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Percent Concordant	35.6	Somers' D	0.077
Percent Discordant	27.9	Gamma	0.121
Percent Tied	36.6	Tau-a	0.033
Pairs	1232704	С	0.538

Partition for the Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

		quizscor	quizscore1 = Fail		quizscore1 = Pass	
Group	Total	Observed	Expected	Observed	Expected	
1	657	185	177.75	472	479.25	
2	150	39	46.25	111	103.75	
3	1236	389	396.25	847	839.75	
4	353	135	127.75	218	225.25	

Hosmer and Lemeshow Goodness-of-Fit Test

Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
2.8886	2	0.2359