Care of degeneracy Suppose that L[u]=0 hu a nontrivial solution => 2=0 is an eigenvalue of the self-idjoint operator L[:]; in this case well need to remove the degeneracy from ow computation of accept function => To concel the eigensmotion ownerponding to the degenerate-et gennalure me solve the atternature publieur, L[u]=uo(x) uo(3), where uo(x) is the eigendmotion we wish Generalited aveans Suchan Then, we proceed to construct the area's broken K(x, 3) as discousted previously cavidering the above augmented relation. However, it truns and that in this case fle solution will have an arbitrary additive function C(3) uo(x), and to eliminate this we impose the following outhogonality and thou for K(X/3): K(x, 7) $U_0(x) dx = 0 \Rightarrow$

In similarity to the nandegenerate case, if L[u] is a self-adjoint linear operator, then the generalized areen's Smithai is symmetric -> K(x,3)-K(3x) Moreover, If $\lambda = 0$ is a multiple eigenvalue (conserponding to multiple right body modes), then we construct K(X,T) by solving the augmented publicum, $L[u] = U_0(T)U_0(X) + ... + U_n(T)U_n(X)$

where $V_0(x),,U_n(x)$ are the eigenstructions envergending to the degenerate
eigenalues l=0 et multiplicity n+1.
Equivalence of Integral and Differential Equation
Wing aveen's smotions we can convert differential equations and Landa-
my value problems to integral equitions. Let consider the nonhomogeneous
ordinary differential quality
$[L[u] + \lambda \rho u = \psi(x) \Rightarrow L[u] = \psi(x) - \lambda \rho u + BCs (1)$
where L[u] is a self-adjoint operator, $\psi(x)$ is piecewise continuous, and
p(x) is positive and continuous, and its a positive parameter. Considering
homogeneous bound any conditions in the domain [xo, x1], say u(xo) = u(x)=0,
we can immediately write XI
$u(x) = \lambda \int K(x, \overline{s}) \rho(\overline{s}) u(\overline{s}) d\overline{s} - \int_{X_0} K(x, \overline{s}) \psi(\overline{s}) d\overline{s} + BC_{\overline{s}}(2)$
K(x, 3) is the aveen's function of L[u] Howhowageneous term of this
Considering I as the eigenvalue of the vesulting integral equation
boundary value problem (1) and the integral equation (2).
sounding value pour son (1) and the integral equation (2).

Remark 1: Heuristic agument with a string (Generalized Green's buckers)

If I is an eigenvalue with a convergending eigenfunction u, then the influence of an external face of the farm $-\psi(x)e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}t}$ is that the verpose of the solving becames mustable since version are occurs, where the fredholm alternative condition it satisfied, $\int_{x_0}^{x_1} \psi(x) u(x) dx = 0$.

Now suppose that a vigid body mode exist, so that there exists the eigenvalue 2=0) Then the verponse of the string is unstable under the influence of dry Lubitary face - 4(x) = The string response becomes unslable when a point face i) applied at an autihany point => To counterbalance this ushbility when a point face it applied, the string must be balanced by a fixed, true-unde pendent opposing take, which may be chosen arbidacity except that it may not be athe gonal to the eigenstruction No (x) consesponday to 1=0, smee then it may not prevent the excitation of the mode coverpanding to 1=0 (and thus prevent the instability from happening) = I It i) convenient to sumply assume that this balancing face has the

He symmetre form $\psi(x) = -u_0(x) u_0(F) \Rightarrow T(\alpha n)$, the Green's Anchora K(x,3) of a point twice acting at X=F satisfies not only the boundary canditions but also the differential equation,

Generalized $L[K] = u_0(x) u_0(x)$, for all $x \in [x_0, x_1] - \{x = \overline{x}\}$ (*) and at $x = \overline{y}$ the well-linaria discontinuity condition of the slope. Thus, K is called the "generalized averals smaker" and is the volution of (*), composed of homogeneous + park cular solutions. To determine the arbitrary constant of the homogeneous solution $C(\overline{x})u_0(x)$ we regume that $\int_{X_0}^{X_1} K(x,\overline{x})u_0(x) dx = 0 \Rightarrow T(x_0, K(x,\overline{x})) = K(\overline{x},x)$.

Example: for the string with free ends we have $U_0(x) = cont$ is an eigendmetron of $\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow$ for the opposing force that eliminates unablify we take a force that i) constant along the entire length of the string. Note: Suppose that L[u] = 0 has a non-taxtal solution $U_0(x)$ satisfying the boundary could thous (i.e., that $\lambda = 0$ i) an eigenvalue). We can show that $L[u] = U_0(3) U_0(x)$ cannot have any such solution.

To show this, work is follows; suppose that the solution u= uo(x) exists of $L[u_0] = u_0(x) u_0(x) \Rightarrow Multiply by u_0(x) and \int_{x_0}^{x_1} (x_0) dx \Rightarrow$ $\exists \int_{X_0} u_o(x) L[u_o] dx = u_o(x) \int_{X_0}^{X_1} u_o(x) dx = 0 \Rightarrow \int_{X_0}^{X_1} u_o(x) dx = 0 \Rightarrow$ -> Contradictionsma uo(x) being un eigenbuchon substites substites substites Kemale 2 (Generalized Green's Anchous) Let w(x) be & smckar athogand to the eigenvector Uo(x) of 1=0, sakister the boundary conditions, and hu continuous first and piecewise continuous second dévivitues. If w(x) i) the solution et the Solution w(x) determined only up to an additive function cuo(x) Constant C i) determined by imposing $\int_{x_0}^{x_1} w u_0 dx = 0$ cquikm G(x) is precenire continues then, $w(x) = \int_{X_0}^{X_1} \overline{K}(x, \overline{x}) \widetilde{\varphi}(\overline{x}) d\overline{x}.$ Conversely, the latter velation implies the farmer if $\widetilde{\varphi}(x)$ is attroposal to $u_0(x)$.