

Grape Bulletin Operation Use Case Specification Version 1.0

By:

Group Undefined

2015-04

Group Member:

Hunter Lin

Birdy

Listen

Morning

Syachi

Document Language:

English

Revision History

Date	Version	Description	Author
2015.4.10	1.0	Use case specification of Ban Group	Birdy
Final Date			

Content

1.	Ban Group	3
1.1.	Definition	3
1.2.	Pre-condition	3
1.3.	Post-condition	3
1.4.	Basic Scenarios	3
1.5.	Exceptions and Branches	3
1.6.	Note	4
2.	Ban User	4
2.1.	Definition	4
2.2.	Pre-condition	4
2.3.	Post-condition	4
2.4.	Basic Scenarios	4
2.5.	Exceptions and Branches	4
2.6.	Note	5

1. Ban Group

1.1. Definition

If the group is accused of having broken laws or rules, the Admin has the duty to check out the truth and take measures, like ban, to deal with those invalid group.

1.2. Pre-condition

The user has logged into the system. Otherwise the user is forbidden to see any details in the group.

The user must be authorized by the system as admin. Only admin can operate the ban function.

The user should also in the manage interface at the beginning of the use case.

1.3. Post-condition

The system is still in the manage interface and the group chosen has been banned.

1.4. Basic Scenarios

User case name	BanGroup
Actor	Initiated by Admin Communicated with User, Leader
Entry Condition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The Admin finds the user suspicious. •The user is accused by many users. (limit = 10)he user has logged in and in one of the group interface
Flow of events	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Admin look through the group's whole history to inspect whether there is action that break the rule between users or the law. 2. If there is, the Admin will send the Leader a warning to let him correct the mistake. 3. If the group still has the same problem after some time. The Admin will dismiss the group and send the Leader a letter to elaborate the situation.
Exit Condition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The group was dismissed. •There is some misunderstanding. •The group is correct and improved after warning.
Special Requirements	None

1.5. Exceptions and Branches

The group has corrected his behaviors. The admin should be able to remove the ban on the group.

1.6. Note

2. Ban User

2.1. Definition

If the user is accused of having broken laws or rules, the Admin has the duty to check out the truth and take measures, like ban, to deal with those invalid user.

2.2. Pre-condition

The user has logged into the system. Otherwise the user is forbidden to see any details in the group.

The user must be authorized by the system as admin. Only admin can operate the ban function.

The user should also in the manage interface at the beginning of the use case.

2.3. Post-condition

The system is still in the manage interface and the user chosen has been banned..

2.4. Basic Scenarios

User case name	BanUser
Actor	Initiated by Admin Communicated with User
Entry Condition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The Admin finds the user suspicious. •The user is accused by many users. (limit = 10)he user has logged in and in one of the group interface
Flow of events	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Admin look through the user's whole history to inspect whether there is action that break the rule between users or the law. 2. If there is, the Admin will send the User a warning to let him correct the mistake. 3. If the user still has the same problem after some time. The Admin will ban the user's account and send him a letter to elaborate the situation.
Exit Condition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The Admin finds the user suspicious. •The user is accused by many users. (limit = 10)
Special Requirements	None

2.5. Exceptions and Branches

The user has corrected his behaviors. The admin should be able to remove the ban on the user.

2.6. Note

None