# **Question #1:**

File to run: Q1 linear-binary search.py

#### How to run the code:

- Code has 5 functions (*linear search*, *merge*, *merge sort*, *binary search*, and *main*)
- In the main function I created a list of elements, S. As well as a list of target values, *target\_values*. There are 2 variables declared n and k, n being the size of the list S and k being the size of the list *target\_values*.
- The lists were populated using random numbers by importing random. To ensure only half of the elements in *target\_values* were in list *S*, the list elements were generated using *random.randrange(2, 20, 2)* and the value of the target elements were generated using *random.randrange(1, 20)*
- In the main function each target value was searched for both using *linear search* and *binary search*
- As binary searching requires the list to be in order, the binary search function also calls upon the *merge sort* function
- The main function returns the time taken to complete the linear search and binary search as well as n and k to display test results in a user friendly fashion

## **Testing (finding smallest possible k value):**

- I tested the code under 3 different cases with 3 different list lengths n = 1000, 5000, 10000
- The amount of target values (k) started at 10 and were increased by various increments for each test

#### Test Results:

	n = 1000	n = 5000	n = 10000
# of target values (k) = 10	Linear Time: 0.0007	Linear Time: 0.0025	Linear Time: 0.0029
	Binary Time: 0.0079	Binary Time: 0.0239	Binary Time: 0.0399
# of target values (k) = 20	Linear Time: 0.0018	Linear Time: 0.0036	Linear Time: 0.0079
	Binary Time: 0.0074	Binary Time: 0.0216	Binary Time: 0.0396
# of target values $(k) = 30$	Linear Time: 0.0021	Linear Time: 0.006	Linear Time: 0.0118
	Binary Time: 0.0081	Binary Time: 0.0216	Binary Time: 0.0399
# of target values (k) = 50	Linear Time: 0.0034	Linear Time: 0.008	Linear Time: 0.0175
	Binary Time: 0.0071	Binary Time: 0.0211	Binary Time: 0.0369
# of target values (k) = 100	Linear Time: 0.0052	Linear Time: 0.0188	Linear Time: 0.029
	Binary Time: 0.0069	Binary Time: 0.0181	Binary Time: 0.0369
# of target values (k) = 125	Linear Time: 0.0074 Binary Time: 0.0075		Linear Time: 0.0341 Binary Time: 0.0342
# of target values (k) = 130	Linear Time: 0.0087 Binary Time: 0.0066		Linear Time: 0.0349 Binary Time: 0.037
# of target values (k) = 135			Linear Time: 0.0374 Binary Time: 0.0371

Per the testing process I came to the conclusion that the smallest value of k that makes binary search faster than linear search is dependent on the size of the list but can be approximate to 100-135 items.

## **Question #2:**

## Files to run:

- 1. Q2 array-based stack.py
- 2. Q2 linkedlist stack.py

## File #1: Q2 array-based stack.py

- This file contains a *class Stack* to build stack for array based lists
- Class contains the methods size, is empty, push, pop, and top
- Main function creates a test stack and calls all of the methods on the stack to ensure proper functionality
  - Note to test functionality, can call methods on *test stack*

## File #2: Q2 linkedlist stack.py

- This file contains a *class Node* to create linked lists
- This file contains a *class Stack* to build stacks for linked lists
- Class contains the methods *size*, *is\_empty*, *push*, *pop*, and *top*
- Main function creates a test stack and calls all of the methods on the stack to ensure proper functionality
  - Note to test functionality, can call methods on *test\_stack*

# **Question #3:**

File to run: Q3 circular queue.py

#### How to run the code:

- This file contains a *class CircularQueue* to build a circular queue (Note requires parameter x, which represents the maximum size of the queue x must be an integer)
- Class contains the methods is empty, is full, enqueue, and dequeue
- Main function creates a test queue and call the *enqueue* and *dequeue* methods on the queue to ensure proper functionality
  - Note to test functionality, can call methods on *test\_queue*