CSE-302 Database Management Systems Sessional



View

• In database theory, a view is the result set of a stored query on the data, which the database users can query just as they would in a persistent database collection object.

• This pre-established query command is kept in the **database** dictionary.

View[|]

- Based on one or more table (base table) or another view
- Doesn't contain data and is not allocated any storage space
- Result of a sub query that is given a name and can be referenced in a FROM Clause of a SELECT statement, like any table
- Display selectively data contained in other tables
- Can retrieve data from a view as you do with any table

Advantages of Using View

- Provide data independence: If extra columns are added to the original tables, the view does not need to be changed
- Views can be customized to meet user needs: Different users can see the data in different ways
- Views contain only those columns needed by the user
- A collection of tables used by the view will be viewed conceptually as a single table

Advantages of Using View

• Provide a measure of security

 Can be removed without affecting the underlying data

• Views can join and simplify multiple tables into a single virtual table.

Types of View

- Simple View
 - Derives data from only one table
 - No group function

- Complex View
 - Derives data from more than one table
 - Can include group function

constraintname]]

• Syntax:

CREATE [OR REPLACE] [FORCE |
NOFORCE] VIEW viewname (columnname1,
columnname2) AS subquery
[WITH CHECK OPTION [CONSTRAINT
constraintname]]
[WITH READ ONLY [CONSTRAINT

View Creation (Basic)

• Syntax:

CREATE VIEW viewname (columnname1, columnname2) AS subquery

CREATE VIEW RegularCustomer ("Customer ID", "Name")

AS

SELECT cust_id, cust_name from Customer;

CREATE [OR REPLACE] [FORCE | NOFORCE] VIEW viewname (columnname1, columnname2...)

- CREATES the view with the given name
- Name should not be another DB object in the current schema
- There is no way to modify an existing view
- Use OR REPLACE if view already exists

CREATE [OR REPLACE] [FORCE | NOFORCE] VIEW viewname (columnname, ...)

- (columnname, ...) is used if you want to give different names (aliases) to the columns of the view
- The number of names which are in the list, must match the number of columns returned by the subquery
- If column aliases are given in the subquery, the aliases will be used as the names of columns in the view

CREATE [OR REPLACE] [FORCE | NOFORCE] VIEW viewname (columnname, ...)

- NOFORCE
 - Is the default
 - The view cannot be created if the referenced tables don't exist or currently unavailable

CREATE [OR REPLACE] [FORCE | NOFORCE] VIEW viewname (columnname, ...)

FORCE

- Creates the view even if the referenced table does not exist or the data is invalid.
- Usually used when a new DB is being developed and the data have not been loaded into the DB

CREATE FORCE VIEW RegularCustomer ("Customer ID", "Name")

AS

SELECT cust_id, cust_name from Customer;

• Syntax:

CREATE [OR REPLACE] [FORCE |
NOFORCE] VIEW viewname (columnname..)
AS Subquery
[WITH CHECK OPTION [CONSTRAINT

[WITH CHECK OPTION [CONSTRAINT constraintname]]

[WITH READ ONLY [CONSTRAINT constraintname]]

AS clause:

- The subquery must be a complete subquery
- It can reference more than one table, can include single-row & multiple-row functions, WHERE, GROUP BY, and nested subqueries

• The subquery cannot include ORDER BY clause

Why does ORDER BY not belong in a View?

A view is not materialized - the data isn't stored, so how could it be sorted? A view is kind of like a stored procedure that just contains a SELECT with no parameters... it doesn't hold data, it just holds the definition of the query. Since different references to the view could need data sorted in different ways, the way that you do this - just like selecting from a table, which is also an unsorted collection of rows, by definition - is to include the order by on the outer query.

Also to give a little insight into the history. You could *never* put order by in a view, without also including TOP. And in this case the order by dictated which rows were included by TOP, not how they would be presented. It just so happened that in SQL Server 2000, if TOP was 100 PERCENT or {some number >= number of rows in the table}, the optimizer was fairly simplistic and it ended up producing a plan with a sort that matched the TOP/ORDER BY. But this behavior was *never* guaranteed or documented - it was just relied upon based on observation, which is a bad habit. When SQL Server 2005 came out, this behavior started "breaking" because of changes in the optimizer that led to different plans and operators being used - among other things, the TOP / ORDER BY would be ignored completely if it was TOP 100 PERCENT. Some customers complained about this so loudly that Microsoft issued a trace flag to reinstate the old behavior. I'm not going to tell you what the flag is because I don't want you to use it and I want to make sure that the intent is correct - if you want a predictable sort order, use ORDER BY on the outer query.

To summarize and just as much to clarify a point you made: Microsoft didn't remove anything. They made the product better, and as a side effect this undocumented, non-guaranteed behavior became less reliable. Overall, I think the product is better for it.

Ref: http://dba.stackexchange.com/questions/21434/why-does-order-by-not-belong-in-a-view

WITH CHECK OPTION Constraint

Limited usage

- Check referential integrity through the view
- Enforce constraints at the DB level: INSERTS & UPDATES performed through the view can't create rows which the view can't select

WITH CHECK OPTION Constraint

• If used, prevents data changes that will make the data subsequently inaccessible to the view

• Ensures that any valid DML is allowed, even if the result is that the row(s) being changed would no longer be included in the view

- CUSTOMER (cust_id, cust_name, cust_dob, cust_city, cust_street)
- ACCOUNT (account_id, balance, type)
- LOAN (loan_id, amount)
- BRANCH (branch_name, branch_city, assets)
- BORROWER (cust_id, loan_id)
- DEPOSITOR (cust_id, account_id)

Example1: Simple View Creation

```
CREATE VIEW CUSTOMER_VIEW
("Customer ID", "Name", "Date of Birth",
"City") AS

SELECT Cust_id, Cust_name, Cust_dob,
Cust_city FROM CUSTOMER
```

Example2: Modify Existing View

CREATE OR REPLACE VIEW

CUSTOMER_VIEW ("Customer ID", "Name",
"Date of Birth", "City") AS

SELECT Cust_id, Cust_name, Cust_dob,
Cust_city FROM CUSTOMER

Example3: Use Constraints

CREATE OR REPLACE VIEW

CUSTOMER_VIEW ("Customer ID", "Name",
"Date of Birth", "City") AS

SELECT Cust_id, Cust_name, Cust_dob,
Cust_city FROM CUSTOMER WHERE

CUST_DOB> to_date('01-JAN-1975', 'DD-MON-YYYY')

WITH CHECK OPTION CONSTRAINT *Birthday_Check*;

INSERT INTO *CUSTOMER_VIEW* VALUES ('C000000110', 'Sadia', to_date('12-04-1990','DD-MM-YYYY'),'Dhaka');

INSERT INTO *CUSTOMER_VIEW* VALUES ('C000000110', 'Sadia', to_date('12-04-1990','DD-MM-YYYY'),'Dhaka');

1 ROW INSERTED.

INSERT INTO *CUSTOMER_VIEW* VALUES ('C000000110', 'Sadia', to_date('12-04-1890','DD-MM-YYYY'),'Dhaka');

INSERT INTO *CUSTOMER_VIEW* VALUES ('C000000110', 'Sadia', to_date('12-04-1890','DD-MM-YYYY'),'Dhaka');

Error report -

SQL Error: ORA-01402: view WITH CHECK OPTION where-clause violation

Example4:

CREATE OR REPLACE VIEW

CUSTOMER_VIEW ("Customer ID", "Name",
"Date of Birth", "City") AS

SELECT Cust_id, Cust_name, Cust_dob,
Cust_city FROM CUSTOMER WHERE

CUST_DOB> to_date('01-JAN-1975', 'DD-MON-YYYY')

WITH READ ONLY CONSTRAINT

View_Read_Only;

Example4:

INSERT INTO *CUSTOMER_VIEW* VALUES ('C000000110', 'Sadia', to_date ('12-04-1890', 'DD-MM-YYYY'), 'Dhaka');

Example4:

INSERT INTO *CUSTOMER_VIEW* VALUES ('C000000110', 'Sadia', to_date ('12-04-1890', 'DD-MM-YYYY'), 'Dhaka');

SQL Error: ORA-42399: cannot perform a DML operation on a read-only view

- CUSTOMER (cust_id, cust_name, cust_dob, cust_city, cust_street)
- ACCOUNT (account_id, balance, type)
- LOAN (loan_id, amount)
- BRANCH (branch_name, branch_city, assets)
- BORROWER (cust_id, loan_id)
- DEPOSITOR (cust_id, account_id)

Example5: Complex View Creation

```
CREATE OR REPLACE VIEW
CUSTOMER VIEW ("Customer ID", "Name",
"Date of Birth", "City", "Account ID",
"Balance") AS
SELECT Cust id, Cust name, Cust dob,
Cust city, Account id, Balance
FROM CUSTOMER JOIN DEPOSITOR
USING(CUST ID) JOIN ACCOUNT USING
(ACCOUNT ID)
WHERE balance > 500;
```

INSERT Query on Simple View

INSERT INTO *CUSTOMER_VIEW* VALUES ('C000000110', 'Sadia', to_date ('12-04-1890', 'DD-MM-YYYY'), 'Dhaka');

- Column name SHOULD BE the View's column name, NOT the actual table's column name

SELECT Query on View

```
SELECT "Name", "Balance" FROM

CUSTOMER_VIEW WHERE "Date of Birth"

> to_date('01-01-1980','DD-MM-YYYY');
```

- Column name SHOULD BE the View's column name, NOT the actual table's column name

Dropping a View

DROP VIEW view_name

Self Study (Imp)

Insertion in Complex View

References

- 1. Oracle_Database_11g_The_Complete Reference
- 2. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/View_(SQL)
- 3. http://dba.stackexchange.com/
- 4. http://www.w3schools.com/sql
- 5. Book: Database System Concepts written by Avi Silberschatz, Henry F. Korth, S. Sudarshan

Thankyou