

Applied Mathematics

DATE :

ASSIGNMENT - 3

Syedra Reeha Ansari
14114802719
407

Details

- 1) By the method of least square fit a parabola from the following data

x	1	2	3	4	5
y	2	6	4	5	2

As the eqⁿ for parabola is : $y = a + bx + cx^2$
so normal equations :

$$\sum y_i = na + b \sum x_i + c \sum x_i^2 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\sum x_i y_i = a \sum x_i + b \sum x_i^2 + c \sum x_i^3 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\sum x_i^2 y_i = a \sum x_i^2 + b \sum x_i^3 + c \sum x_i^4 \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

where $n = 5$

x	y	x^2	x^3	x^4	$x \cdot y$	$x^2 y$
1	2	1	1	1	2	2
2	6	4	8	16	12	24
3	4	9	27	81	12	36
4	5	16	64	256	20	80
5	2	25	125	625	10	50
$\sum x = 15$	$\sum y = 19$	$\sum x^2 = 55$	$\sum x^3 = 225$	$\sum x^4 = 956$	$\sum xy = 56$	$\sum x^2 y = 192$

putting these values in (1), (2) and (3)

$$\begin{aligned} 19 &= 5a + 15b + 55c \quad \text{--- (1)} \\ 57 &= 15a + 55b + 225c \quad \text{--- (2)} \\ 192 &= 55a + 225b + 975c \quad \text{--- (3)} \end{aligned}$$

solving these eqⁿ

(1) $\times 3$ (2)

$$\begin{array}{r} 57 = 15a + 45b + 165c \\ 57 = 15a + 55b + 225c \\ \hline 0 = -10b - 60c \end{array}$$

$$1 = -10b - 60c$$

$$\Rightarrow 10b + 60c = 1 \quad \text{--- (7)}$$

(1) $\times 11$ (3)

$$\begin{array}{r} 192 = 55a + 225b + 975c \\ 209 = 55a + 165b + 605c \\ \hline -17 = 60b + 370c \end{array}$$

$$-17 = 60b + 370c$$

$$-17 = 60b + 274c \quad \text{--- (8)}$$

solving (7) and (8)

$$\begin{array}{r} 60b + 360c = -6 \\ 60b + 274c = -17 \\ \hline -86c = 11 \end{array}$$

$$-86c = 11$$

$$C = -11/86 \approx -0.128$$

solving further

$$a = -1.4, \quad b = 4.6, \quad c = -0.128$$

therefore the parabola that will fit in

$$y = a + bx + cx^2$$

$$\Rightarrow y = -1.4 + 4.6x - 0.128x^2$$

2) Two Random Variables have the regression lines with eqⁿ $3x + 2y = 26$ and $6x + y = 31$. Find the mean values of x and y .

Also find correlation coefficient between x and y .

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 3x + 2y & = & 26 \quad \text{--- (1)} \\ 6x + y & = & 31 \quad \text{--- (2)} \end{array}$$

Solving (1) and (2)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 3x & + & 2y = 26 \\ \underline{6x} & + & \underline{y} = 31 \\ & & -y = -5 \\ & & y = 5 \end{array}$$

$$y = 7$$

Substituting value of y in (1)

$$3x + 2(7) = 26$$

$$3x = 12$$

$$x = 4$$

Since, the point of regression of lines is intersection point of two lines

$$\bar{x} = 4, \bar{y} = 7 \text{ mean of } x \text{ and } y$$

Correlation coefficients

Let $3x + 2y = 26$ be the regression eqⁿ of x on y
 \therefore The eqⁿ becomes $dy = -\frac{3}{2}x + \frac{26}{2}$
 $\Rightarrow y = -\frac{3}{2}x + \frac{26}{2}$

Comparing eqⁿ $N = by + a$
 $b = -\frac{3}{2}$

Now let $6x + y = 31$ be the regression equation of x on y
 \therefore The eqⁿ becomes : $6x = -y + 31$

$$x = -\frac{1}{6}y + \frac{31}{6}$$

Comparing this with $X = b_{yx} Y + a$

$$b_{yx} = 1/6$$

$$\therefore r = \pm \sqrt{b_{yx} b_{xy}} = \pm \sqrt{(-1/6)(-1/2)} \\ = \pm \sqrt{1/12} = \pm 1/2$$

As the value of b_{yx} or b_{xy} are (-ve) r will be also -ve

$$r = -1/2 = -0.5$$

3) If θ is the acute angle between two regression lines. Show that

$$\tan \theta = \frac{1-r^2}{r} \left(\frac{s_x s_y}{s_x^2 + s_y^2} \right) \text{ where}$$

s_x, s_y are the s.d.s of x and y series respectively and r is the correlation coefficient

Let's find the line of regression y on x :

$$y - \bar{y} = r \frac{s_y}{s_x} (x - \bar{x})$$

Now, to find slope = $y = r \frac{s_y}{s_x} (x + \frac{s_x \bar{y}}{s_y} - \bar{x})$

$$\text{slope } m = \boxed{r \frac{s_y}{s_x}}$$

value of regression x only

$$x - \bar{x} = r \frac{s_x}{s_y} (y - \bar{y})$$

$$\text{slope } m = \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{s_x}{s_y} \right)$$

Now θ is the angle b/w regression lines

$$\tan \theta = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{r_1} - r_1\right) \cdot (\sigma_y / \sigma_x)}{1 + \frac{\sigma_y^2}{\sigma_x^2}}$$

$$\tan \theta = \frac{(1 - r^2) / r_1 \cdot \sigma_y / \sigma_x (\sigma_x^2)}{(\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2)}$$

$$\tan \theta = \left(\frac{1 - r^2}{r_1} \right) \left(\frac{\sigma_y \sigma_x}{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2} \right)$$

- 4) The equations of two lines of regression are $4x + 3y + 7 = 0$ & $3x + 4y + 8 = 0$. Find the regression coefficients b_{yx} , b_{xy} , & the correlation coefficient r . Also, find the standard deviation of y , if variance of x is 4.

Sol.

$$4x + 3y + 7 = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$3x + 4y + 8 = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Let (1) be regression eqn. of y on x

Let (2) be regression eqn. of x on y .

from (1) $y = -4/3x - 7/3$

comparing with $y = b_{yx}x + a$

$$b_{yx} = -4/3$$

from (2) $x = -4/3y - 8/3$

comparing with $x = b_{xy}y + a' \Rightarrow$

$$b_{xy} = -4/3$$

\Rightarrow But $r^2 = b_{yx} \cdot b_{xy} \Rightarrow (-4/3)(-4/3)$ which is > 1
This is impossible.

Hence eqn. (1) is regression line of x on y

& eqn. (2) is regression line of y on x .

So, now from ①.

$$x = -\frac{3}{4}y - \frac{7}{4} \Rightarrow b_{yx} = -3/4$$

from ② $y = -3/4x - 8/4 \Rightarrow b_{xy} = -3/4$

Also $r^2 = b_{xy} \cdot b_{yx}$

$$r = \pm \sqrt{(-\frac{3}{4})(-\frac{3}{4})} = \pm \frac{3}{4}$$

As both b_{yx} & b_{xy} are -ve, so r will also be (-ve)

$$r = -3/4$$

Standard deviation of y

$$b_{yx} = r \frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_y} \quad (\text{given the } \sigma_x^2 = 4) \\ \sigma_x = 2$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{3}{4} = (-\frac{3}{4}) \left(\frac{2}{\sigma_y} \right) \Rightarrow \boxed{\sigma_y = 2}$$

5) Calculate the rank correlation coefficient from foll. data showing results ranks of 5 students in 2 subjects

<u>Maths</u>	3	2	4	1	5
<u>Chem</u>	5	4	3	2	1

Soln

Rank correlation coefficient: $\frac{\sum d^2}{N(N^2-1)}$

Maths	(1 st) Rank	Chem.	(2 nd) Rank	1 st - 2 nd d	d ²
3	3	5	1	2	4
2	4	4	2	2	4
4	2	3	3	1	1
1	5	2	4	1	1
5	1	1	5	4	16

$$\sum d^2 = 26$$

These ranks are not tied, so

$$d = 1 - \frac{6 \sum d^2}{N(N^2 - 1)}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{6 \times 96}{5(25 - 1)} = 1 - \frac{(6 \times 96)}{5 \times 24} \Rightarrow 1 - \frac{13}{10}$$

$$= 1 - 1.3$$

$$d = -0.3$$

6) The mean of two large samples of 1000 & 2000 members are 168.75 cm & 170 cm. respectively. Can the samples be regarded as drawn from the same population of standard deviation 6.25 cm?

Soln. To test the null hypothesis:

$$H_0 \Rightarrow \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0 \text{ (means are equal)}$$

$$H_1 \Rightarrow \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0 \text{ at significance level } \alpha$$

$$\text{If } \alpha = 0.05 \Rightarrow Z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$$

$$\therefore -1.96 < Z < 1.96 \Rightarrow \text{the acceptance region}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{If } \alpha = 0.01 \Rightarrow Z_{\alpha/2} = 2.575$$

$$\therefore -2.575 < Z < 2.575 \Rightarrow \text{the acceptance region}$$

$$\text{here } n_1 = 1000, \bar{x}_1 = 168.75, \sigma_1 = 6.25$$

$$n_2 = 2000, \bar{x}_2 = 170, \sigma_2 = 6.25$$

The test statistic,

$$Z = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} = \frac{(168.75 - 170) - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{(6.25)^2}{1000} + \frac{(6.25)^2}{2000}}}$$

$$Z \Rightarrow -5.165$$

\Rightarrow both cases are rejected.

Therefore, samples are not from same population

7) A sample of 9 items has the following values \rightarrow 45, 47, 50, 52, 48, 49, 47, 53, 51. Does the mean of sample differ significantly from the population mean 47.5?

Sol. \Rightarrow let $\mu_0 = \mu_p = 47.5$.

\Rightarrow let $\mu_1: \mu \neq 47.5$.

Given: $n=9$, $\mu = 47.5$

X	45	47	50	52	48	47	49	53	51	$\Sigma X = 440$
$X - \bar{X}$	-0.1	-0.1	0.9	2.9	-1.1	-0.1	0.1	3.9	1.9	
$(X - \bar{X})^2$	0.01	0.01	0.81	8.41	1.21	0.01	0.01	15.21	3.61	$\Sigma = 54.89$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\Sigma X}{n} = \frac{440}{9} = 49.11$$

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma (X - \bar{X})^2 = 54.89$$

$$\Rightarrow S^2 = \frac{\Sigma (X - \bar{X})^2}{n-1} = \frac{54.89}{9-1} \Rightarrow \boxed{S^2 = 6.86}$$

Applying the t-test

$$t = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_1}{S/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{49.1 - 47.5}{2.661 \sqrt{8}} = \frac{1.6}{2.61}$$

$$t = 1.7279$$

$$t_{0.05} = 2.31 \text{ for } r=8$$

Since $|t| < t_{0.05}$, the hypothesis is accepted. so

\Rightarrow there is no significant difference in the mean.

8) The theory predicts population of bean in four groups A, B, C & D. should be 9:3:3:1. In an experiment among 1600 beans, the no. in four groups were 882, 313, 287 & 118. Does the experimental result support the theory?

Soln.

To set up the null hypothesis.

H_0 : The result supports the theory.

Total no. of beans = $882 + 313 + 287 + 118 = 1600$

Now, to calculate the expected frequencies.

These are divided into the ratio $\Rightarrow 9:3:3:1$

Thus, $E(882) = \frac{9}{16} \times 1600 = 900$

$E(313) = \frac{3}{16} \times 1600 = 300$

$E(287) = \frac{3}{16} \times 1600 = 300$

$E(118) = \frac{1}{16} \times 1600 = 100$

Hence,

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

$$= \frac{(882-900)^2}{900} + \frac{(313-300)^2}{300} + \frac{(287-300)^2}{300} + \frac{(118-100)^2}{100}$$

$$= 0.3600 + 0.533 + 0.5633 + 3.2400$$

$$= 4.7261$$

The degree of freedom: 3 $\Rightarrow \chi^2_{0.05} = 7.815$

Now, as the calculated value of $\chi^2 (4.7266)$ is less than the tabulated χ^2 , the null hypothesis is accepted. Therefore, YES, experimental result supports the theory.

- 9) A survey of 800 families with four children, each recorded the foll. data.

no. of boys	0	1	2	3	4
no. of girls	4	3	2	1	0
no. of families	32	178	290	236	64

Test the hypothesis that male & female births are equally likely.

Soln.

$$P(\text{all boys}) = \frac{1}{2^4} = \frac{1}{16}$$

$$P(3 \text{ boys, 1 girl}) = {}^4C_3 \frac{1}{2^3} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P(2 \text{ boys, 2 girls}) = {}^4C_2 = \frac{1}{2^2} \times \frac{1}{2^2} = \frac{3}{8}$$

$$P(1 \text{ boy, 3 girls}) = {}^4C_1 \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2^3} = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P(\text{all girls}) = {}^4C_0 \frac{1}{2^4} = \frac{1}{16}$$

Let: H_0 : male & female births are equally likely.

no. of families with

$$\text{all boys} = \frac{1}{16} \times 800 = 50$$

$$3 \text{ boys} = \frac{1}{4} \times 800 = 200$$

$$2 \text{ boys} = \frac{3}{8} \times 800 = 300$$

$$1 \text{ boy} = \frac{1}{4} \times 800 = 200$$

$$\text{no boy} = \frac{1}{16} \times 800 = 50$$

Observed frequency (O_i)	Expected frequency (E_i)	$(O_i - E_i)^2$	$\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$
32	50	324	6.48
178	200	484	2.42
240	350	100	0.333
236	200	1296	6.48
64	50	196	3.92
$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} = 19.633$			

The value of χ^2 at 5% level of significance for $df = 4$ is difference is 9.49.

∴ Since the calculated value of χ^2 is greater than the tabulated value, H_0 is rejected.

Hence, the hypothesis that male & female birds are equally likely is rejected.

10) Two random samples from two normal populations are given below? Do the estimates of population variance differ significantly?

Sample 1	16	26	27	23	24	22
Sample 2	33	42	35	32	28	31

$$F_{0.05}(5,5) = 5.05 \quad F_{0.05}(5,6) = 4.39$$

$$F_{0.05}(6,5) = 4.95$$

sol.

let

H_0 : The samples are of equal means & hence the population variance doesn't differ significantly.

$$\mu_1 = \mu_2$$

$$H_1: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2 \text{ (two tailed test)}$$

$$n_1 = 6$$

$$n_2 = 6$$

$$\bar{x}_1 = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^6 x_i \Rightarrow \frac{1}{6} \times 138 \Rightarrow \bar{x}_1 = 23$$

$$\bar{x}_2 = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{i=1}^6 x_i \Rightarrow \frac{1}{6} \times 201 \Rightarrow \bar{x}_2 = 33.5$$

x_1	$x_1 - \bar{x}_1$	$(x_1 - \bar{x}_1)^2$	x_2	$x_2 - \bar{x}_2$	$(x_2 - \bar{x}_2)^2$
16	-7	49	33	-0.5	0.25
26	3	9	42	8.5	72.25
24	1	1	35	1.5	2.25
23	0	0	32	-1.5	2.25
24	1	1	28	-5.5	30.25
22	-1	1	31	-2.5	6.25
$\Sigma x_1 = 138$					

$$\Sigma (x_2 - \bar{x}_2)^2 = 113.5$$

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{S \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} = \frac{23 - 33.5}{8 \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6}}} = \frac{-10.5}{S \sqrt{\frac{2}{6}}}$$

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n_1 + n_2 - 2} [\Sigma (x_1 - \bar{x}_1)^2 + \Sigma (x_2 - \bar{x}_2)^2]$$

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{6+6-2} [76 + 113.5] = \frac{1}{10} \times 189.5$$

$$S^2 = 18.95$$

$$S = 4.35$$

from (1)

$$t = \frac{10.5}{5\sqrt{6.33}} = \frac{-10.5}{4.35(0.57)}$$

$$|t| = 4.23$$

given at significant level α at d.f. 55

$$F_{0.05}(5, 5) = 5.05 \text{ and etc}$$

As the value of $|t|$ $[0.01]$ of tabulated value, the hypothesis is accepted so no the population variance doesn't differ significantly.