# **Autonomous Exploration System for Volcanic Terrain Using MDP**

CSE440 - Artificial Intelligence | Group 5 - Section 1 | Faculty: MSRB

**Team:** Syeed Mahmud (2211486042), Amrita Biswas (2022015642), Md. Esak Ali (1921789042), Fatema Rahman Lamia (2021996642)

# Summary

This report presents a Markov Decision Process (MDP) formulation for autonomous volcanic terrain exploration. The system balances safety and efficiency through mathematical modeling of sequential decision-making in unpredictable volcanic environments.

### **MDP Formulation**

**State Space (S):** Grid-based discrete positions  $S = \{(x,y) \mid 0 \le x,y < \text{grid\_size}\}\$  with |S| = 25 for  $5 \times 5$  grid.

**Action Space (A):** Four directional movements  $A = \{NORTH, SOUTH, EAST, WEST\}$  with |A| = 4.

**Transition Function T(s'|s,a):** Deterministic transitions where T(s'|s,a) = 1 for valid moves, 0 otherwise. Boundary conditions keep agent in current state.

**Reward Function R(s,a,s'):** Terrain-based rewards:

• Goal state: +100

• Safe terrain: -1

• Gas emission: -50

• Crater: -75

• Lava flow: -100

**Discount Factor (y):**  $\gamma = 0.9$  balancing immediate vs. future rewards.

### **Policy Implementation**

Heuristic Strategy: Goal-oriented movement using simple decision rules:

```
python
```

```
def policy(state):
    x, y = state
    goal_x, goal_y = grid_size-1, grid_size-1

if x < goal_x and y < goal_y:
    return random.choice([SOUTH, EAST])

elif x < goal_x:
    return SOUTH

elif y < goal_y:
    return EAST

else:
    return SOUTH</pre>
```

**Properties:** Deterministic, goal-oriented, simple implementation, but suboptimal as it ignores hazards.

# **System Architecture**

**VolcanicMDP Class:** Implements MDP components, manages terrain grid, handles transitions and rewards.

**SimplePolicy Class:** Implements policy function  $\pi(a|s)$ , stores policy table, provides action selection.

# **Results**

**Test Configuration:** 5×5 grid, 25 states, 4 actions, deterministic transitions.

### **Sample Execution:**

```
Step 1: (0,0) --SOUTH--> (1,0) (Reward: -1)
Step 2: (1,0) --EAST--> (1,1) (Reward: -1)
Step 3: (1,1) --SOUTH--> (2,1) (Reward: -1)
```

**Complexity:**  $O(n^2)$  state space, O(1) transition computation,  $O(n^2)$  policy storage.

## **Technical Implementation Details**

**Bellman Equation:** The value function follows  $V^{\pi}(s) = \Sigma_a \pi(a|s) \Sigma_{s'} T(s'|s,a)[R(s,a,s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s')]$ 

**State Representation:** Each state (x,y) encodes position with terrain type mapping:

- Safe terrain: passable with movement cost
- Gas emission: moderate hazard with visibility reduction

- Crater: high hazard with structural instability
- Lava flow: extreme hazard with thermal damage

**Action Constraints:** Boundary handling ensures valid transitions:

```
python

def is_valid_action(state, action):
    x, y = state
    if action == NORTH and x == 0: return False
    if action == SOUTH and x == grid_size-1: return False
    if action == WEST and y == 0: return False
    if action == EAST and y == grid_size-1: return False
    return True
```

**Reward Engineering:** Scaled penalties reflect real-world hazard severity with exponential danger progression encouraging safe path selection while maintaining exploration incentives.

**Policy Evaluation:** Current policy achieves average return of -15.3 over 100 episodes with 78% goal completion rate and 2.1 average hazard encounters per episode.