	uage Processing - IMDB Movie Re		Number of Feesh	Training !	Training Assures	Tost Assure	Comments
	Description	Hyperparameters	Number of Epochs	Training Loss	Training Accuracy	Test Accuracy	Comments
Part 1a	Given model - Word Embedding Layer + Mean Pooling + Fully Connected Layer + Relu + Output Layer	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=8000, HiddenUnits=500	5	0.1446	94.44	86.73	Might have over-training since the trn loss is much smaller than the tst loss.
	Word Embedding Layer + Mean Pooling + Fully Connected Layer + Relu +	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=8000,					The trn loss decreases and the trn accuracy increases a bit, but the tst accuracy does not
	Output Layer	HiddenUnits=1000	5	0.1248	95.3	86.79	change.
	Word Embedding Layer + Mean Pooling + Fully Connected Layer + Relu + Output Layer	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=8000, HiddenUnits=100	5	0.2313	90.94	87.32	Over-training seems to be improved a bit. The trn accuracy significantly decreases while tst accuracy increases.
	Word Embedding Layer + Mean Pooling + Fully Connected Layer + Relu + Output Layer	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=8000, HiddenUnits=10	5	0.3849	84.41	83.72	Both the trn and tst accuracy have significant drop while over-training is improved a lot.
Part 1b	Given Model - Fully Connected Layer + Relu+Output Layer	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=100000, HiddenUnits=500	5	0.2978	87.72	87.92	The trn loss is close to the tst loss, it seems that over-training do not exist.
	Fully Connected Layer + Relu+Output Layer	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=100000, HiddenUnits=300	5	0.3055	87.18	87.98	There is no big difference.
	Fully Connected Layer + Relu+Output Layer	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=100000, HiddenUnits=1000	5	0.2929	87.68	89.08	The tst accuracy increases with tiny drop of tr loss.
	Fully Connected Layer + Relu+Output Layer	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=100000, HiddenUnits=50	5	0.3278	86.38	87.02	Both the trn and tst accuracy drop a bit.
Part 2a	Given Model - Word Embedding Layer + LSTM Layer + Max Pooling + Fully Connected Layer + Output Layer	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=8000, HiddenUnits=500, SequenceLength=100	20	0.0879	96.94	88 52	Might have over-training since the trn loss is much smaller than the tst loss.
rait 20	Word Embedding Layer + LSTM Layer + Max Pooling + Fully Connected Layer + Output Layer	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=8000, HiddenUnits=500, SequenceLength=50	20		90.42		The trn loss becomes larger and the situation of over-training is improved a bit, but the tst accuracy drops.
	Word Embedding Layer + LSTM Layer + Max Pooling + Fully Connected Layer + Output Layer	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=8000, HiddenUnits=500, SequenceLength=300	20	0.0177	99.49	88.38	The trn loss becomes extremely small but over-training gets more serious.
	Word Embedding Layer + LSTM Layer1 + LSTM Layer2 + Max Pooling + Fully Connected Layer + Output Layer	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=8000, HiddenUnits=100, SequenceLength=100	20	0.2045	92.1	87.96	The trn loss becomes larger and the situation of over-training is improved a bit while tst accuracy does not drop too much.
Part 2b	Given Model - LSTM Layer + Max Pooling + Fully Connected Layer + Output Layer	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=100000, HiddenUnits=500, SequenceLength=100	20	0.2103	91.59	91.16	The trn loss is close to the tst loss, it seems that over-training do not exist.
	LSTM Layer + Max Pooling + Fully Connected Layer + Output Layer	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=100000, HiddenUnits=500, SequenceLength=50	20	0.368	83.25	80.08	Both the trn and tst accuracy decrease significantly.
	LSTM Layer + Max Pooling + Fully Connected Layer + Output Layer	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=100000, HiddenUnits=500, SequenceLength=300	20	0.0766	97.13	89.79	The trn loss decreases a lot, but the phenomenon of over-training appear.
	LSTM Layer1 + LSTM Layer2 + Max Pooling + Fully Connected Layer + Output Layer	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=100000, HiddenUnits=100, SequenceLength=100	20	0.2746	88.56	90.71	Close to the given setting but I little bit worse.

Natural Language	e Processing - IMDB Movie Re	view-Yifan Shi(yifans16)					
5 .0	Description	Hyperparameters	Number of Epochs	Training Loss	Training Accuracy	Test Accuracy	Comments
Part 3a	Given Model - Word Embedding Layer + LSTM Layer1 + LSTM Layer2 +	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=8000, HiddenUnits=500, SequenceLength=50	75		25.7	28.58	
Part 3b	Generated Review	Temperature=1.0	a wars . if you read to film the mentioned or unusual to see how I stunningly was a cor character , and as w story . there is some violence is not that w killer who tongue-in-his husband . with ve but it 's fine when yo i have directly up wit recommend the mow movie . i like robin pe especially the music particularly account v development of [and a navy sensible as w these dialog secondl working on the same who is n't afraid to aconveniently a good	if the showed and ine never can think inplete welles . i fe as the case player pretty doug high I tell done . biased inheek off his sing alley power then hu ire not in 3 people - who vie , if you are being artners and john p. i thought this mowith the original so I woody is a destreell . the flying than y around mary is I day, they are givet exactly like thes emotional			
	Generated Review	Temperature=0.5	a mention comedy . only thing that make: good , and the acting surprise . it is only a . i would recommenc anyone . it is a class who staged movies I horror movie . it 's a story is great , the i 'm sure they would film is so full of holes actors were so bad , funny is that they are movie , but i found it acting " is so bad the trying to make a mov . this movie was terrigave it a four is becar	is this movie watch, is a file in the file			
	Generated Review	Tempreture=1.0 and Tokens=("I hate this movie."," love this movie.")					
	Generated Keview	movie.; Hove this movie.")	going to be about				
Part 3c	Given Model - Word Embedding Layer + LSTM Layer1 + LSTM Layer2 + LSTM Layer3 + Max Pooling + Fully Connected Layer + Output Layer	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=8000, HiddenUnits=500, SequenceLength=100, Trained Parameters for the 3rd LSTM, 3rd BN_LSTM and FC layers	30	0.1451	94.23	92.16	This model gives the best performance.
	+ LSTM Layer3 + Max Pooling + Fully Connected Layer + Output Layer	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=8000, HiddenUnits=500, SequenceLength=100, Trained Parameters for the 2nd&3rd LSTM, 2nd&3rd BN_LSTM and FC layers	30	0.0859	96.98	92.7	Trn loss is reduced a lot but tst accuracy does not increase much which indicates some over-training.
	Word Embedding Layer + LSTM Layer1 + LSTM Layer2 + LSTM Layer3 + Max Pooling + Fully Connected Layer + Output Layer	ADAM optimizer with LR=0.001, BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=8000, HiddenUnits=500, SequenceLength=100, Trained Parameters for all Layers	30	0.0511	98.18	91.41	Situation of over-training gets worse with the result that trn accuracy increases a lot while tst accuracy drops a bit.
	Word Embedding Layer + LSTM Layer1 + LSTM Layer2 + LSTM Layer3 + Max Pooling + Fully Connected Layer + Output Layer	SGO optimizer with LR=0.01 and momentum=0,9 BatchSize=200, VocabularySize=8000, HiddenUnits=500, SequenceLength=100, Trained Parameters for the 3rd LSTM, 3rd BN_LSTM and FC layers	30	0.3549	84.18	89.84	SGD with momentum does not perform as well as Adam in this model.