# Choice Matters: Contrasting Package Manager User Experience



Syful Islam



Raula Gaikovina Kula









#### Package Managers are Crucial to Most Technology Stack

- Automates the process of
  - installing
  - upgrading
  - configuring and








## Package Managers are Automated Solution for Applications that Heavily Rely on Third-party Packages

- Package Managers act as a broker of packages
  - Web building &
  - Mobile application development





Year

Serve over 5 million open source packages

**Ensuring the integrity and authenticity of the package** 

Grouping packages by function to reduce user confusion



## Diversity of Technology Stack has Led to Variety of Package Manager

•Libraries.io monitors 4,189,420 open source packages across 37 different package managers [1]





#### Related Research on Package Manager

- Studies on package dependency update
  - Bogart et al. investigates the reasons why developers do not update dependency [1]
  - Kula et al. found that 69% of the developers are unaware of the need to update dependency and perceived to extra workload [2].
  - Dietrich et. al, reported that developers are facing challenge on which version of package to depend [3].
- The common assumption is
  - Package manager itself does not factor when developers manage their dependencies.

- 1. Bogart, Christopher, et al. "How to break an API: cost negotiation and community values in three software ecosystems." Proceedings of the 2016 24th ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on Foundations of Software Engineering. 2016.
- 2. Kula, Raula Gaikovina, et al. "Do developers update their library dependencies?." Empirical Software Engineering 23.1 (2018): 384-417.
- 3. Dietrich, Jens, et al. "Dependency versioning in the wild." 2019 IEEE/ACM 16th International Conference on Mining Software Repositories (MSR). IEEE, 2019.



#### Study Design: Objective and Data Source

#### Objective

- Mining information need on package manager
  - Characterize package manager issues faced by users
  - Investigate how the choice of package manager impacts the users experience of software developers.

#### Data Source




- Libraries.io
  - 16 Package managers
    - Dedicated webpage achieve and not a operating system manager
- Stack Overflow



Developers question posts






**Developers** 






## Collecting Package Manager Related Posts from Stack Overflow [1/2]






## Topic Modeling on Package Manager Posts from Stack Overflow [2/2]






### Naming Process of the Unlabeled Topics





## Characterizing Package Manager Issues




**Contrasts in user experience: Question Topics** 



**Contrasts in features: Topics and Features** 




**Contrasts in responses: Popularity and Difficulty** 





## Contrasts in User Experience: Topics [1/5]





## Contrasts in Features: Topics [2/5]



NuGet, CPAN, PyPI, Conda users have configuration related issues

Packagist, Maven, CRAN users have dependency related issues

RubyGems, Puppet users have dependency related issues

**-** 30

**-** 15

GO, Meteor, and Elm users have package usage and functionalies related issues



#### Contrasts in Features: Theme [3/5]




GO, Meteor, and Elm users may face different issues compared to other PM users



### Contrasts in Features: Environment [4/5]

#### **Package Managers Environment**



.NET users face configuration related issues.

**-** 60

**-** 45

**-** 30

**-** 15

Ruby users face error related issues.

PhP users face dependency related issues.

Go users face package function related issues.



### Contrasts in Features: Dependency Tree [5/5]

#### Package Managers Dependency Tree

Flat 14 Dependency Build 15 5.8 15 Configuration 11 Error 12 12 Testing 7.3 4.1 **-** 15 Interface 8.9 5.4 Client-server 6.8 4.4 **-** 10 Services 6.4 4.9 Package usage 6.5 29 Package function 2.6

PM with nested dependency tree have high package usage issues

PM with flat dependency tree have high library dependency issues



## Contrast in Response: Popularity & Difficulty [1/2]

Characterize PM topics popularity and difficulty based on Yang et al.[1].

#### **Popularity**

Post Score (median)

Post Views (median)

**Favorite count (median)** 

#### **Difficulty**


Accepted answer count (avg.)


PD Score (%)

[1]. Yang et al., 2016



## Contrasts in Response: Popularity & Difficulty [2/2]







#### Implications for Developers

Contrasts in Features: Topics



Contrasts in Features: Theme



Developers should be conscious that their choice of a PM will impact user experience


12

Contrasts in Features: Environment



Contrasts in Features: Dependency Tree






SoHeal



#### Implications for PM Designers





#### Contrasts in Features: Theme




Designers should be proactive on issues frequently encountered by PM users.

C<mark>ontrasts in reatures. Environment</mark>




Contrasts in Features: Dependency Tree



Package Managers Dependency Tree

SoHeal

#### Implications for Researchers



Researchers can investigate the trade-offs between design features and potential issues to understand what an ideal PM would look like.



12

SoHeal

18

#### Conclusions and Future works

- •We explore 16 PMs
  - In terms of features correlate with user experience.
- Developers ask PM questions
  - 10 different topics,
  - 3 themes (Package management, Input/Output, Package).
- The next logical step is further exploration of PM into
  - Underlying causes and
  - Benefits and drawbacks

