Name: Yuhan Shao Student Number: 1002281327 UTORid: shaoyuha

CSC411 Assignment 2

1. (a) WTP: $H(x) = \sum_{x} p(x) \log_2(\frac{1}{p(x)})$ 7, 0 • Since X is a discrete random variable, probability mass function $p(x) \in [0,1]$ • Case 1: When $p(x) = 0$, by the condition in the question: $p(x) \log_2(\frac{1}{p(x)}) = 0$
· Since X is a discrete random variable, probability mass function D(X) \in E0,1]
· Case 1: When p(x)=0, by the condition in the question:
$p(x) \log_2(p(x)) = 0$
· [ase 2]: When $p(x) \in (0,1] \Leftrightarrow p(x) \in [1,+\infty) \Leftrightarrow log_2(p(x)) > 0$
$\Leftrightarrow p(\alpha) \log_2(p(\alpha)) > 0$
· Therefore, for each possible value of x ∈ X, we have:
$p(x) log_2(p(x)) > 0$
$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{x} p(x) \log_{2}(p(x)) > 0$
$\Leftrightarrow H(x) \ge 0$, i.e.: entropy $H(x)$ is non-negative.
(b) WTP: KL (pllq)= $\sum_{x} p(x) \log_2 \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \geqslant 0$.
· By appendix: log (X) to conclude on the set of positive real number
By appendix: log (X) is containe on the set of positive real number In order to use Jensen's inequality, which states:
if $\phi(t)$ is a convex function of t, then: $\phi(\text{Ect}) \leq \text{E}[\phi(t)]$
$\Phi(E(x)) \leq E[\Phi(x)]$
- We need to transfer comave function log as to convex one.
By the def of concave function, we have:
f is convave if -f is convex
- Therefore - last x is consent fronton no that can the real anywhere
• KL $(D 9) = \sum_{x} D(x) \log_{x} \frac{P(x)}{9(x)}$
• KL (p q) = $\sum_{x} p(x) \log_{x} \frac{1}{q(x)}$ = $\sum_{x} p(x) \left(-\log_{x} \frac{1}{p(x)}\right)$ If transfer to convex function, = $E[-\log_{x} \frac{1}{p(x)}]$ If $\varphi(\pm) = -\log_{x} \pm \frac{1}{p(x)}$ $\Rightarrow -\log_{x} \left(\sum_{x} p(x) \frac{1}{p(x)}\right)$ If By Jensen's inequality. = $-\log_{x} \left(\sum_{x} q(x)\right) = -\log_{x} = 0$
$= E[-log_2, \frac{q_{(x)}}{q_{(x)}}] \qquad \# \Phi(t) = -log_2 t ; t = \frac{q_{(x)}}{p_{(x)}}$
$\geq -\log_2\left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{q_{op}}{p_{oo}}\right)$ # By Jensen's inequality.
$=-\log_2(\Sigma_x q_{(x)})=-\log_2 =0$
· Therefore, KL (P119) > 0, i.e. KL (P119) is non-negative.
71-9 - 12 - 14 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17
(C) WTS: I(Y; X) = KL (pay) paypay)
where $p(x) = \sum_{y} p(x_{i}y)$ is the marginal distribution of X.
· I (Y; X)= H(Y)-H(Y X) #By def of 1 (Y; X).
= (-Zypu) logpus) - (-ZxZypuxy) logpus) # By det of Hcx)
= $(-\Sigma_y (\Sigma_x p_{CX,Y})) \log p_{Cy}) + \Sigma_x \Sigma_y p_{CX,Y}) \log p_{Cy}(x)$ # By marginal distribution of Y = $\Sigma_x \Sigma_y p_{CX,Y}) \log p_{Cy} + \Sigma_x \Sigma_y p_{CX,Y}) \log p_{Cy}(x)$ = $\Sigma_x \Sigma_y p_{CX,Y}) \cdot \log p_{Cy} + \log p_{Cy}(x)$ = $\Sigma_x \Sigma_y p_{CX,Y}) \cdot \log p_{Cy} + \log p_{Cy}(x)$ ($\frac{1}{2}$)
= ZxZx p(x/y) log pan + ZxZx p(x/y) log p(x/x)
$= \sum_{x} \sum_{y} p(x,y) \left(\log_{x} p_{0} + \log_{x} p(y x) \right)$
$= \sum_{x} \sum_{y} p(x_{1}y) \cdot \log_{x} \frac{p(x_{1}y)}{p(x_{1})p(x_{1})} \tag{*}$
· KL (poxy) pox) pcy)) = \(\Sigma_{yy} \) \(\lambda_{yy} \) \(
$P = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} P(X_{1}y) \log_{x} \frac{P(X_{1}y)}{P(X_{2})} $ (\(\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{2}\)
· By (*) and (**), we conclude that:
L(Y;X) = KL(pcx,y) pcx(pcy))

```
2. WIP: \forall x, t \ L(\overline{h}\alpha), t) \leq \frac{1}{m} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L(h(x), t)
                                  where ha) = in = hia)
        · h(x)=前篇hi(x)=E[hi(x)]
                                                                                                                             # expectation of hicks (X)
        • L(\overline{h}(x), t) = \frac{1}{2} (\overline{h}(x) - t)^2
                                                                                                                               # By det of squared error loss function
                                                                                                                                  # By (+), Let \phi(s) = \frac{1}{2}(s-t)^2, s=hico
# By Jemen's inequality,
                                                    = 支 (Echica)]-t)*
                                                     ≤ [[ ±(h;α)-t)]
                                                     = \( \( \( \( \( \( \) \) \) \) = \( \frac{1}{2} \) \( \frac{1} \) \( \frac{1}{2} \) \( \frac{1}{2} \)
                                                                                                                                    # By the property of expected value.
                                                     =\frac{1}{m}\sum_{k=1}^{m}L(h_{k}\alpha_{k},t)
                                                                                                                                  # By det of squared error loss function
3. WTS:
                                             err'_{t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left(\chi^{(\alpha)}\right) \neq t^{(\alpha)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}} = \frac{1}{2}
     · By the condition in the question we have:
                 tes = {-1,15 and he (x (3) = }-1,15
    · Therefore, we can define:
                    j t 6) h+(x 6) = 1 , i ∈ Ec
                                                                                                                               # Correct classify
                    ( t<sup>4)</sup>h₁(χ<sup>6)</sup>)=-1 , i∈ E
                                                                                                                        # misclassity.
     · [ase]. When i ∈ E', by det do wi, we have:
                  w: ~ wexp (-de)
                              ← W. exp(-½ log 1-em)
                                                                                                                                             #By det of a.
                                + Wi exp ( Way I -em. )
                                 ← Winter
     · [ase 2]: When i∈E, by det a of wi', we have:
                  wi' ← wiexp (dt)
                               ← W; exp ( ½ log i-em)
                                ← W; exp ( log ( em; )

← W; (em; )
     · Therefore, err't = \frac{\frac{\text{N}}{2} wilth(\chi^{(\dot)}) \dot t^{(\dot)}}{\frac{\text{T}}{2} willh(\chi^{(\dot)}) \dot t^{(\dot)}}
                                                                                     类wί,
                                                                       E Wi I-em
                                                                En Willem + E Willem
                                                                 \left(\frac{1-em_e}{em_t}\right)^{V_2} \sum_{i \in E} W_i + \left(\frac{1-em_t}{em_t}\right)^{-V_2} \sum_{i \in E} W_i
                                                                                                                                                                                     (<del>X</del>)
                                                                            EWi + ( em. ) EWi
    · We also know that:
                                                    E Wi + E Wi
          ⇒ EEWi = err. ( EEWi + EEWi)
          => \( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} W_i = \left( \frac{1-em_e}{em_e} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} W_i
                                                                                                                                          (XX)
    · Plug (**) into (*), we have:
```

Interpretation:

In the class, we know that a single weak classifier is not capable of making the training error very small. It only performs slightly better than chance, i.e.: the error of classifier h according to the given weights $\{w^{(1)}, ..., w^{(N)}\}$ is at most $\frac{1}{2} - \gamma$ for some $\gamma > 0$.

the given weights $\{w^{(1)}, ..., w^{(N)}\}\$ is at most $\frac{1}{2} - \gamma$ for some $\gamma > 0$. After the tth iteration, reweight all the weights and apply the old weak learn, now we get the error rate proven above: $\text{err}_t' = \frac{1}{2}$, which implies that the error rate is now at its maximum and therefore, we cannot learn anything new with the old weak learner. This result forces us to use a new weak learner in the next iteration in order to decrease the error rate.