

# Functional constructs with java8 (and haskell)

# Goal

- avoid confusing terms
- generate more question than answers
- notice similarities in the patterns between extremely different languages (the knowledge is transferable!)
- be frustrated by the limitation of java

# Overview

- base of fp
- functions as a first class citizen
  - as a parameter
  - composition
- chaining functions
  - error handling

# Required: few concepts

We need functions, immutable data structures and types

Yep. That much.

**Functions are first class  
objects**

# First-class and Higher-order functions

- java :
  - `java.util.Function<T, R>`
  - `Function<Integer, Integer> fun = (n) -> n*2;`
  
- can be passed as parameter to another function
- can be returned from a function
- can be stored in a variable/field
- See Example1.higherOrder

# First-class and Higher-order functions

Haskell:

- $t \rightarrow r$
- `let fun n = n * 2`
- `fun` has type `(::)` : **fun :: Num a => a -> a**
  - Simple interpretation
    - `a` must be an instance of `Num` (e.g: `Integer`, ...)
    - the function has a parameter of type `a` and return the same type

# Function

Every function in Haskell officially only takes one parameter. Let's see if we can do the same in Java and why it's interesting.

- modularity, composition, partial application
- Example2.curryExample

# Tools: function composition

- it's our main “design pattern”
  - build a pipeline, the types will guide you
- 
- `Function<Apple, SlicedApple> f1;`
  - `Function<SlicedApple, ApplePie> f2;`
  - `f2.compose(f1) -> Function<Apple, ApplePie>`
  - `f1.andThen(f2) -> Function<Apple, ApplePie>`

# Types for modelling

- Types represent constraints on input and output
- Avoid passing primitive types for declaring our intent more clearly
- Unfortunate in java: type declaration is not compact and has a cost :(
- (types are a form of proof)

# Function design

- strive for purity: no side effects
- use descriptive types
- from simple to complex use cases,  
composition is the way to go

# COMPOSED FUNCTIONS

## FUNCTIONS

IN GOD WE TRUST

ONE

ONE

UNITED STATES

ANXIT COEPTUS

ACQUISICORDO SEQUITUR  
QUIETUS EST

ONE

56

THE UNITED STATES

ONE

ONE

ONE DOLLAR

# Lists/Stream

Important abstraction:

- see Stream in java (examples will be skipped)
- list in haskell

# Tools: map/fmap

Wrapped types (`Optional<T>`, `Stream<T>`) provide a `map/flatMap` function, for lifting a “normal” function inside the container.

Examples: `Example3.map`

# Tools: reduce/fold

Reducing/Folding is one of the main operation available on a collection.

Map can be built from reduce (not beautiful in java)

See Example04.reduce

# Chaining functions and error handling

- the best case are functions that return only one “type” of result: no failure
- the external world is full of possible failure
- expose the type of error with a... type

# Optional / Maybe

- Function<Integer, Optional<Integer>>
  - from the type signature it's clear that something can fail
- Now we have a small problem, how can we chain them without creating a pyramid of checks? See Example5

# **Result type: when we care about the errors**

Not present out of the box in java:  
comprehensible choice, the type system will be  
really hard on us here.

We want “2 types of return”

- the value
- the error

# Result type

Partially based on the Either type, but simplified to the core for a “decent” experience with java

See Example6

**monad**

## Few java libraries for “functional style” programming and others links

- <http://javaslang.com/>
- <http://www.functionaljava.org/>
- <http://learnyouahaskell.com/chapters>
- <https://www.haskell.org/hoogle/>

# Questions ? :D

- preemptive answers (personal opinions):
  - java need: local type inference, sum types and pattern matching
  - use scala (?)
  - I'm not a fan of the scala type system
  - Haskell laziness is a blessing and a curse
  - checked exceptions are a good idea, but not how java has implemented (see effect systems)