

Fixed-Point Decoding

First Author and Second Author and Third Author

Singapore University of Technology and Design

{blah_bleh, ..., bleh_blue}@mymail.sutd.edu.sg

Abstract

Main contributions and findings (200 words).

1 Introduction

Blah.

2 Related Work

Blah.

3 Methodology

Fix a uniform-cost random-access machine model of computation.

Let ℓ denote $\log \Pr$, and juxtaposition denote concatenation.

Let V be a finite vocabulary totally ordered by \preceq , and \Pr be a next-token kernel, and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{(0,1)}$ be a discount factor, and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{(0,1)}$ be a machine epsilon, and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{(0,1)}$ be a confidence tolerance.

We define the update operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} : \mathbb{R}^{V^*} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{V^*} \\ \mathcal{U}(G)[x] &= \max_{y \in V} [\ell(y | x) + \gamma G(xy)] \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Proposition 1 (Existence and Uniqueness). There exists a unique fixed point of \mathcal{U} .

Proof. Trivial. □

We define the decoding rule

$$\begin{aligned} f : V^* &\rightarrow V \\ f(x) &\in \arg \max_{y \in V} [\ell(y | x) + \gamma G(xy)] \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{(0,1)}$, and $G = \mathcal{U}_\gamma(G)$.

We define the lower bounding sequence

$$l_t : V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ l_t(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\sup_{x \in V^*, y \in V} |\ell(y|x)|}{1-\gamma}, & t = 0 \\ \mathcal{U}(l_{t-1}), & t \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

We define the upper bounding sequence

$$u_t : V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ u_t(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & t = 0 \\ \min [u_{t-1}, \mathcal{U}(u_{t-1})], & t \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 2 (Convergence). Let $G \in \mathbb{R}^{V^*}$ be the fixed point of \mathcal{U} . Then,

$$l_t(x) \leq l_{t+1}(x) \leq G(x) \leq u_{t+1}(x) \leq u_t(x)$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} l_t(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_t(x) = G(x)$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{N}$, and for each $x \in V^*$.

Proof. Trivial. □

Let $Q_G(x, y)$ denote

$$Q_G(x, y) = \ell(y | x) + \gamma G(xy) \quad (5)$$

Algorithm 1 DECODE(x)

Input: $x \in V^*$ # Current prefix

Output: $\hat{y} \in V$ # Next token

Initialise step

$$t \leftarrow 0$$

while true:

Get candidate

$$\hat{y} \leftarrow \arg \max_{y \in V} Q_{l_t}(x, y)$$

Get candidate-competitor gap

$$\delta \leftarrow Q_{l_t}(x, \hat{y}) - \max_{z \neq \hat{y}} Q_{u_t}(x, z)$$

Return candidate if gap is tolerable

if $\delta > \varepsilon$:

return \hat{y}

Tighten lower bound

$$l_{t+1} \leftarrow \mathcal{U}(l_t)$$

Tighten upper bound

$$u_{t+1} \leftarrow \min [u_t, \mathcal{U}(u_t)]$$

Increment step

$$t \leftarrow t + 1$$

Proposition 3 (Soundness). Let $x \in V^*$. If DECODE halts on x , then $\text{DECODE}(x) = f(x)$.

Proposition 4 (Completeness). Let $x \in V^*$. If $f(x)$ exists, then DECODE halts on x .

For tractability, we propose a Probably Approximately Correct (PAC) variant of our algorithm.

We define the error decomposition

$$e = \varepsilon(p_{\text{tail}}, p_{\text{stat}}, p_{\text{gap}}) \quad (6)$$

where $(p_{\text{tail}}, p_{\text{stat}}, p_{\text{gap}})$ lies on the 2-simplex.

Algorithm 2 PAC-DECODE(x)

Input: $x \in V^*$ # Current prefix

Output: $\hat{y} \in V$ # Next token

$$S \leftarrow \sup_{x \in V^*, y \in V} |\ell(y | x)|$$

$$H \leftarrow \min \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \frac{\gamma^{n+1} M}{1-\gamma} \leq e_1 \right\}$$

for $y \in V$:

$$U_\infty(x, y) \leftarrow \frac{\ell(y | x)}{1-\gamma}$$

$$\tau(x) \leftarrow \max_{z \in V} [U(x, z)] - (1 - \gamma)e_3$$

$$C(x) \leftarrow \{y \in V \mid \tau(x) \leq U_\infty(x, y)\}$$

for $y \in C(x)$:

$$n(y) \leftarrow 0$$

$$\mu_y(x) \leftarrow 0$$

while not $\Delta \leq \varepsilon$:

for $y \in C(x)$:

$$n(y) \leftarrow n(y) + 1$$

$$x_0 \leftarrow xy$$

$$r \leftarrow 0$$

for $t \in \mathbb{N}_{[0, H-1]}$:

$$y_t \leftarrow \arg \max_{v \in V} \ell(v | x_t)$$

$$r \leftarrow r + \gamma^t \ell(y_t | x_t)$$

$$x_{t+1} \leftarrow x_t y_t$$

$$\mu_y(x) \leftarrow \mu_y(x) + \frac{r - \mu_y(x)}{n(y)}$$

for $y \in C(x)$:

$$\beta(x, y) \leftarrow \frac{S}{1-\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln(4|C(x)|/\delta)}{n(y)}}$$

$$L(x, y) \leftarrow \mu_y(x) - \beta(x, y) - e_2$$

$$U(x, y) \leftarrow U_\infty(x, y)$$

$$\hat{y} \leftarrow \arg \max_{y \in C(x)} L(x, y)$$

$$\Delta \leftarrow L(x, \hat{y}) - \max_{z \in C(x), z \neq \hat{y}} U(x, z)$$

return \hat{y}

4 Experimentation

5 Conclusion

References

John Doe and Jane Roe. 2025. An example paper. *Journal of Examples*.

A Appendix Title

Appendix content goes here ([Doe and Roe, 2025](#)).