

1 Warm-up

Consider a model given by

$$Y = X\theta_* + \varepsilon,$$

where $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and $\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_*^2 I_n)$. The Ridge estimator is defined for all $\lambda > 0$ by:

$$\hat{\theta}_\lambda \in \operatorname{Argmin}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}(\theta) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{L}(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \|Y - X\theta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\theta\|_2^2.$$

For all $\lambda > 0$, the excess risk is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[R(\hat{\theta}_\lambda) - R(\theta_*) \right] &= \lambda^2 \theta_*^\top \left(\frac{1}{n} X^\top X + \lambda I_d \right)^{-2} \frac{1}{n} X^\top X \theta_* \\ &\quad + \frac{\sigma_*^2}{n} \operatorname{Trace} \left((n^{-1} X^\top X)^2 (n^{-1} X^\top X + \lambda I_d)^{-2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

1. Prove that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[R(\hat{\theta}_\lambda) - R(\theta_*) \right] \leq \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\theta_*\|_2^2 + \frac{\sigma_*^2}{2n^2\lambda} \operatorname{Trace} (X^\top X).$$

Proof in lecture notes.

2. Propose an "optimal" value for λ and compute the associated excess risk upper bound.

Proof in lecture notes.

2 Elastic-Net

Consider a model given by

$$Y = X\theta_* + \varepsilon,$$

where $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and $\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_*^2 I_n)$. The Elastic-Net estimator involves both L^1 and L^2 penalties. It is defined for all $\lambda, \mu > 0$ by:

$$\hat{\theta}_{\lambda, \mu} \in \operatorname{Argmin}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}(\theta) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{L}(\theta) = \|Y - X\theta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\theta\|_2^2 + \mu \|\theta\|_1.$$

In the following, we assume that for all $1 \leq j \leq d$, the j -th column of X satisfies $\|\mathbf{X}_j\|_2 = 1$.

1. For all $1 \leq j \leq d$ provide the partial derivative of \mathcal{L} with respect to θ_j for $\theta_j \neq 0$.

Note that for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\nabla_\theta (\|Y - X\theta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\theta\|_2^2) = 2X^\top X\theta - 2X^\top Y + 2\lambda\theta = 2X^\top \left(\sum_{k=1}^d \theta_k \mathbf{X}_k - Y \right) + 2\lambda\theta.$$

Therefore, for $1 \leq j \leq d$ such that $\theta_j \neq 0$,

$$\partial_j \mathcal{L}(\theta) = 2\mathbf{X}_j^\top \left(\sum_{k=1}^d \theta_k \mathbf{X}_k - Y \right) + 2\lambda\theta_j + \mu \operatorname{sign}(\theta_j).$$

2. Provide an expression of the answer of the first question with $R_j(\theta) = \mathbf{X}_j^\top (Y - \sum_{k \neq j} \theta_k \mathbf{X}_k)$.

Since $\|\mathbf{X}_j\|_2 = 1$, for $1 \leq j \leq d$ such that $\theta_j \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_j \mathcal{L}(\theta) &= 2\theta_j - 2R_j(\theta) + 2\lambda\theta_j + \mu \text{sign}(\theta_j) \\ &= 2 \left((1 + \lambda)\theta_j - R_j(\theta) + \frac{\mu}{2} \text{sign}(\theta_j) \right). \end{aligned}$$

3. Assume that θ_k , $1 \leq k \neq j \leq d$ are fixed and assume that the minimum of $\theta_j \mapsto \mathcal{L}(\theta)$ is reached at a $\theta_j^* \neq 0$. Prove that the sign of θ_j^* is the same as the sign of R_j and conclude.

If the minimum of $\theta_j \mapsto \mathcal{L}(\theta)$ is reached at some $\theta_j^* \neq 0$ it means that $\partial_j \mathcal{L}((\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{j-1}, \theta_j^*, \theta_{j+1}, \dots, \theta_d)) = 0$. Since

$$\partial_j \mathcal{L}((\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{j-1}, \theta_j^*, \theta_{j+1}, \dots, \theta_d)) = 2 \left((1 + \lambda)\theta_j^* - R_j(\theta) + \frac{\mu}{2} \text{sign}(\theta_j^*) \right),$$

θ_j^* and $R_j(\theta)$ have the same sign. Indeed, if $\theta_j^* > 0$ and $R_j(\theta) \leq 0$ then $\partial_j \mathcal{L}((\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{j-1}, \theta_j^*, \theta_{j+1}, \dots, \theta_d)) > 0$ and $\theta_j^* < 0$ and $R_j(\theta) \geq 0$ then $\partial_j \mathcal{L}((\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{j-1}, \theta_j^*, \theta_{j+1}, \dots, \theta_d)) < 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_j^* &= \frac{R_j(\theta)}{1 + \lambda} \left(1 - \frac{\mu \text{sign}(\theta_j^*)}{2R_j(\theta)} \right), \\ &= \frac{R_j(\theta)}{1 + \lambda} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2|R_j(\theta)|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

4. Provide an algorithm to obtain an approximation of $\hat{\theta}_{\lambda, \mu}$.

The estimator $\hat{\theta}_{\lambda, \mu}$ can be approximated recursively coordinate by coordinate. Starting from a random vector, at each iteration, a coordinate $1 \leq j \leq d$ is chosen at random and we update θ_j , keeping all other coordinates fixed.

- Compute $R_j(\theta)$.
- If $1 - \mu/(2|R_j(\theta)|) > 0$ set $\theta_j = \frac{R_j(\theta)}{1 + \lambda} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2|R_j(\theta)|} \right)$.
- If $1 - \mu/(2|R_j(\theta)|) \leq 0$ set $\theta_j = 0$.