## DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS

## 1 Classification error

Linear discriminant analysis assumes that the random variables  $(X,Y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \{0,1\}$  have the following distribution. For all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and all  $y \in \{0,1\}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in A; Y = y) = \pi_y \int_A g_y(x) dx,$$

where  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_1$  are positive real numbers such that  $\pi_0 + \pi_1 = 1$  and  $g_0$  (resp.  $g_1$ ) is the probability density of a Gaussian random variable with mean  $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  (resp.  $\mu_1$ ) and positive definite covariance matrix  $\Sigma_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  (resp.  $\Sigma_1$ ). Define the classifier  $h_* : \mathbb{R}^d \to \{0,1\}$  by

$$h_*: x \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{\{\pi_1 g_1(x) > \pi_0 g_0(x)\}}.$$

1. Give the distribution of the random variable X and prove that

$$\mathbb{P}(h_*(X) \neq Y) = \min_{h:\mathbb{R}^d \to \{0,1\}} \left\{ \mathbb{P}(h(X) \neq Y) \right\} .$$

For all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in A) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 0)\mathbb{P}(X \in A | Y = 0) + \mathbb{P}(Y = 1)\mathbb{P}(X \in A | Y = 1),$$
$$= \pi_0 \int_A g_0(x) dx + \pi_1 \int_A g_1(x) dx.$$

The probability density of the random variable X is given, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , by

$$g(x) = \pi_0 g_0(x) + \pi_1 g_1(x)$$
.

Then, note that

$$\eta(X) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X|Y = 1)\,\mathbb{P}(Y = 1)}{g(X)} = \frac{\pi_1 g_1(X)}{\pi_0 g_0(X) + \pi_1 g_1(X)}\,,$$

and the condition  $\eta(x) \leq 1/2$  can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\pi_1 g_1(x)}{\pi_0 g_0(x) + \pi_1 g_1(x)} \leqslant 1/2,$$

that is  $\pi_1 g_1(x) \leq \pi_0 g_0(x)$ .

2. Assume that  $\mu_0 \neq \mu_1$ . Prove that when  $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma_1 = \Sigma$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$h_*(x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow (\mu_1 - \mu_0)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} \left( x - \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_0}{2} \right) > \log(\pi_0 / \pi_1).$$

Provide a geometrical interpretation.

For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\begin{split} \pi_{1}g_{1}(x) &> \pi_{0}g_{0}(x) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \log(\pi_{1}g_{1}(x)) > \log(\pi_{0}g_{0}(x)) \,, \\ &\Leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu_{1})^{\top}\Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu_{1}) + \frac{1}{2}(x-\mu_{0})^{\top}\Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu_{0}) > \log(\pi_{0}/\pi_{1}) \,, \\ &\Leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{2}\left(-\mu_{1}^{\top}\Sigma^{-1}x + \mu_{1}^{\top}\Sigma^{-1}\mu_{1} - x^{\top}\Sigma^{-1}\mu_{1} + \mu_{0}^{\top}\Sigma^{-1}x - \mu_{0}^{\top}\Sigma^{-1}\mu_{0} + x^{\top}\Sigma^{-1}\mu_{0}\right) > \log(\pi_{0}/\pi_{1}) \,, \\ &\Leftrightarrow x^{\top}\Sigma^{-1}\mu_{1} - x^{\top}\Sigma^{-1}\mu_{0} - \frac{1}{2}\mu_{1}^{\top}\Sigma^{-1}\mu_{1} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{0}^{\top}\Sigma^{-1}\mu_{0} > \log(\pi_{0}/\pi_{1}) \,, \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\mu_{1}-\mu_{0})^{\top}\Sigma^{-1}\left(x - \frac{\mu_{1} + \mu_{0}}{2}\right) > \log(\pi_{0}/\pi_{1}) \,. \end{split}$$

Therefore, all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is classified according to its position with respect to an affine hyperplane orthogonal to  $\Sigma^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_0)$ .

3. Prove that when  $\pi_1 = \pi_0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(h_*(X) = 1|Y = 0) = \Phi(-d(\mu_1, \mu_0)/2),$$

where  $\Phi$  is the cumulative distribution function of a standard Gaussian random variable and

$$d(\mu_1, \mu_0)^2 = (\mu_1 - \mu_0)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mu_1 - \mu_0).$$

Let  $Z_0$  be a Gaussian random variable with mean  $\mu_0$  and variance  $\Sigma$ . Note that

$$\mathbb{P}(h_*(X) = 1 | Y = 0) = \mathbb{P}\left(\underbrace{(\mu_1 - \mu_0)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (Z_0 - \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_0}{2})}_{Z} > 0\right),$$

where, using  $\delta = d(\mu_1, \mu_0)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] = (\mu_1 - \mu_0)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} (\frac{\mu_0 - \mu_1}{2}) = -\frac{\delta^2}{2}$$

and

$$\mathbb{V}[Z] = \mathbb{V}\Big[ (\mu_1 - \mu_0)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} X \Big] = \Big( (\mu_1 - \mu_0)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} \Big) \Sigma \left( \Sigma^{-1} (\mu_1 - \mu_0) \right) = \delta^2.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}(h_*(X) = 1 | Y = 0) = \mathbb{P}\Big(-\frac{\delta^2}{2} + \delta\varepsilon > 0\Big) = \mathbb{P}\Big(\varepsilon > \frac{\delta}{2}\Big) = \Phi\Big(-\frac{\delta}{2}\Big),$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a centered Gaussian random variable with unit variance.

4. Assume now that  $\Sigma_1 \neq \Sigma_0$ . What is the nature of the frontier between  $\{x \, ; \, h_*(x) = 1\}$  and  $\{x \, ; \, h_*(x) = 0\}$ ?

In this case, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\begin{split} \pi_1 g_1(x) &> \pi_0 g_0(x) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \log(\pi_1 g_1(x)) > \log(\pi_0 g_0(x)) \,, \\ &\Leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_1)^\top \Sigma_1^{-1} (x - \mu_1) + \frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_0)^\top \Sigma_0^{-1} (x - \mu_0) > \log(\pi_0/\pi_1) \,, \\ &\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2} x^\top \Sigma_0^{-1} x - \frac{1}{2} x^\top \Sigma_1^{-1} x + x^\top \Sigma_1^{-1} \mu_1 - x^\top \Sigma_0^{-1} \mu_0 - \frac{1}{2} \mu_1^\top \Sigma_1^{-1} \mu_1 + \frac{1}{2} \mu_0^\top \Sigma_0^{-1} \mu_0 > \log(\pi_0/\pi_1) \,. \end{split}$$

As the quadratic term does not vanish anymore, the frontier between  $\{x; h_*(x) = 1\}$  and  $\{x; h_*(x) = 0\}$  is a quadric.

## 2 Maximum likelihood estimation

We assume that the joint distribution of (X,Y) belongs to a family of distributions parametrized by a vector  $\theta$  with real components. For  $k \in \{-1,1\}$ , write  $\pi_k = \mathbb{P}(Y=k)$ . Assume that conditionally on the event  $\{Y=k\}$ , X has a Gaussian distribution with mean  $\mu_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ , whose density is denoted  $g_k$ . In this case, the parameter  $\theta = (\pi_1, \mu_1, \mu_{-1}, \Sigma)$  belongs to the set  $\Theta = [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ . The parameter  $\pi_{-1}$  is not part of the components of  $\theta$  since  $\pi_{-1} = 1 - \pi_1$ . In this case, the parameter  $\theta = (\pi_1, \mu_1, \mu_{-1}, \Sigma)$  belongs to the set  $\Theta = [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ . The parameter  $\pi_{-1}$  is not part of the components of  $\theta$  since  $\pi_{-1} = 1 - \pi_1$ .

When  $\Sigma$  and  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_{-1}$  are unknown, the discriminant analysis classifier cannot be computed explicitly. Assume that  $(X_i, Y_i)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}$  are independent observations with the same distribution as (X, Y).

1. Write the joint loglikelihood of the observations.

The loglikelihood of these observations is given by

$$\begin{split} \log \mathbb{P}_{\theta} \left( X_{1:n}, Y_{1:n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \mathbb{P}_{\theta} \left( X_{i}, Y_{i} \right) \,, \\ &= -\frac{nd}{2} \log(2\pi) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k \in \{-1,1\}} \mathbb{1}_{Y_{i}=k} \left( \log \pi_{k} - \frac{\log \det \Sigma}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{i} - \mu_{k} \right)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} \left( X_{i} - \mu_{k} \right) \right) \,, \\ &= -\frac{nd}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log \det \Sigma + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{Y_{i}=1} \right) \log \pi_{1} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{Y_{i}=-1} \right) \log(1 - \pi_{1}) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{Y_{i}=1} \left( X_{i} - \mu_{1} \right)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} \left( X_{i} - \mu_{1} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{Y_{i}=-1} \left( X_{i} - \mu_{-1} \right)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} \left( X_{i} - \mu_{-1} \right) \,. \end{split}$$

2. Let  $M_d$  be the space of real-valued  $d \times d$  symmetric positive matrices. Show that the function  $\Sigma \mapsto \log \det \Sigma$  is concave on  $M_d$ .

Let  $\Sigma, \Gamma \in M_d$  and  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ . Since  $\Sigma^{-1/2}\Gamma\Sigma^{-1/2} \in M_d$ , it is diagonalisable in some orthonormal basis and write  $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d$  the (possibly repeated) entries of the diagonal. Note in particular that  $\det(\Sigma^{-1/2}\Gamma\Sigma^{-1/2}) = \prod_{i=1}^d \mu_i$ . Then,

$$\begin{split} \log \det[(1-\lambda)\Sigma + \lambda\Gamma] &= \log \det[\Sigma^{1/2}\{(1-\lambda)I + \lambda\Sigma^{-1/2}\Gamma\Sigma^{-1/2}\}\Sigma^{1/2}] \\ &= \log \det \Sigma + \log \det[(1-\lambda)I + \lambda\Sigma^{-1/2}\Gamma\Sigma^{-1/2}] \\ &= \log \det \Sigma + \sum_{i=1}^d \log(1-\lambda + \lambda\mu_i) \\ &\geq \log \det \Sigma + \sum_{i=1}^d (1-\lambda)\underbrace{\log(1)}_{=0} + \lambda \log(\mu_i) := D \end{split}$$

where the last inequality follows from the concavity of the log. Now, rewrite the rhs D as:

$$D = (1 - \lambda) \log \det \Sigma + \lambda [\log \det \Sigma^{1/2} + \log \det \Sigma^{-1/2} \Gamma \Sigma^{-1/2} + \log \det \Sigma^{1/2}]$$
  
=  $(1 - \lambda) \log \det \Sigma + \lambda \log \det \Gamma$ 

which completes the proof.

3. Show that the derivative of the real valued function  $\Sigma \mapsto \log \det(\Sigma)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is given by:

$$\partial_{\Sigma} \{ \log \det(\Sigma) \} = \Sigma^{-1}$$
,

where, for all real valued function f defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ ,  $\partial_{\Sigma} f(\Sigma)$  denotes the  $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  matrix such that for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ ,  $\{\partial_{\Sigma} f(\Sigma)\}_{i,j}$  is the partial derivative of f with respect to  $\Sigma_{i,j}$ .

Recall that for all  $i \in \{1, ..., d\}$  we have  $\det(\Sigma) = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \Sigma_{i,k} \Delta_{i,k}$  where  $\Delta_{i,j}$  is the (i,j)-cofactor associated with  $\Sigma$ . For any fixed i, j, the component  $\Sigma_{i,j}$  does not appear anywhere in the decomposition  $\sum_{k=1}^{d} \Sigma_{i,k} \Delta_{i,k}$ , except for the term k = j. This implies

$$\frac{\partial \log \det(\Sigma)}{\partial \Sigma_{i,j}} = \frac{1}{\det \Sigma} \frac{\partial \det(\Sigma)}{\partial \Sigma_{i,j}} = \frac{\Delta_{i,j}}{\det \Sigma}.$$

Recalling the identity  $\Sigma$   $[\Delta_{j,i}]_{1 \leq i,j \leq d} = (\det \Sigma)$   $I_d$  so that  $\Sigma^{-1} = [\Delta_{j,i}]_{1 \leq i,j \leq d}^{\top} / \det \Sigma$ , we finally get

$$\left(\frac{\partial \log \det(\Sigma)}{\partial \Sigma_{i,j}}\right)_{1 \le i,j \le d} = (\Sigma^{-1})^{\top} = \Sigma^{-1},$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that  $\Sigma$  is symmetric.

4. Provide the maximum likehood estimator of  $\theta$ .

The gradient of  $\log \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_{1:n}, Y_{1:n})$  with respect to  $\theta$  is therefore given by

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial \log \mathbb{P}_{\theta}\left(X_{1:n}, Y_{1:n}\right)}{\partial \pi_{1}} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{Y_{i}=1}\right) \frac{1}{\pi_{1}} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{Y_{i}=-1}\right) \frac{1}{1 - \pi_{1}} \,, \\ &\frac{\partial \log \mathbb{P}_{\theta}\left(X_{1:n}, Y_{1:n}\right)}{\partial \mu_{1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{Y_{i}=1} \left(2\Sigma^{-1}X_{i} - 2\Sigma^{-1}\mu_{1}\right) \,, \\ &\frac{\partial \log \mathbb{P}_{\theta}\left(X_{1:n}, Y_{1:n}\right)}{\partial \mu_{-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{Y_{i}=-1} \left(2\Sigma^{-1}X_{i} - 2\Sigma^{-1}\mu_{-1}\right) \,, \\ &\frac{\partial \log \mathbb{P}_{\theta}\left(X_{1:n}, Y_{1:n}\right)}{\partial \Sigma^{-1}} = \frac{n}{2}\Sigma - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{Y_{i}=1} \left(X_{i} - \mu_{1}\right) \left(X_{i} - \mu_{1}\right)^{\top} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{Y_{i}=-1} \left(X_{i} - \mu_{-1}\right) \left(X_{i} - \mu_{-1}\right)^{\top} \,. \end{split}$$

The maximum likelihood estimator is defined as the only parameter  $\widehat{\theta}^n$  such that all these equations are set to 0. For  $k \in \{-1,1\}$ , it is given by

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\pi}_k^n &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbbm{1}_{Y_i = k} \,, \\ \widehat{\mu}_k^n &= \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbbm{1}_{Y_i = k}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbbm{1}_{Y_i = k} \, X_i \,, \\ \widehat{\Sigma}^n &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( X_i - \widehat{\mu}_{Y_i}^n \right) \left( X_i - \widehat{\mu}_{Y_i}^n \right)^\top \,. \end{split}$$