Warm-up

Assume that the observation Y takes values in $\{1,\dots,M\}$ and that $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The negative loglikelihood to be minimized to estimate the parameters of the model is given by:

$$\theta \mapsto \ell_n^{\text{multi}}(\theta) = -\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbb{1}_{Y_i=k} \log \mathbb{P}_\theta(Y_i = k|X_i)\,,$$

where $\{(X_i,Y_i)\}_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}$ are i.i.d. observations with the same law as (X,Y).

1. Explain the construction of $\mathbb{P}_\theta(Y_i = k|X_i)$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ for the following model. A feed forward neural network with a first hidden layer with dimension d_1 and activation function φ_1, a second hidden layer with dimension d_2 and activation function φ_2, and an output layer of dimension M and activation function given by the softmax function.

   Let X_i be the input and define all layers as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
h_\theta^0(X_i) &= X_i\,,\\
z_\theta^1(X_i) &= b^1 + W^1 h_\theta^0(X_i)\,, \quad b^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}, W^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1\times d}\,,\\
h_\theta^1(x) &= \varphi_1(z_\theta^1(X_i))\,,\\
z_\theta^2(X_i) &= b^2 + W^2 h_\theta^1(X_i)\,, \quad b^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}, W^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2\times d_1}\,,\\
h_\theta^2(x) &= \varphi_2(z_\theta^2(X_i))\,,\\
z_\theta^3(X_i) &= b^3 + W^3 h_\theta^2(X_i)\,, \quad b^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{M}, W^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{M\times d_2}\,,\\
h_\theta^3(X_i) &= \{\mathbb{P}_\theta(Y_i = k|X_i)\}_{1\leq k\leq M} = \text{Softmax}(z_\theta^3(X_i))\,,
\end{aligned}$$

2. What is the unknown parameter θ of the previous model ? Explain how to estimate θ with a stochastic gradient descent.

   The unknown parameter is $\theta = \{(b^j, W^j)\}_{1\leq j\leq 3}$ is estimated iteratively. Let θ_0 be an initial estimate (randomly chosen). Then for each iteration $p \geqslant 1$, the new estimate is computed as follows

$$\theta_p = \theta_{p-1} - \gamma_p \nabla_{\theta=\theta_{p-1}} \left(-\frac{1}{B}\sum_{i=1}^{B}\sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbb{1}_{Y_{I_i}=k} \log \mathbb{P}_\theta(Y_{I_i} = k|X_{I_i}) \right)\,,$$

   where B is the batch size, $(\gamma_p)_{p\geqslant 1}$ are positive step-sizes, and $(I_i)_{1\leqslant i\leqslant B}$ are i.i.d. with uniform distribution on $\{1,\dots,n\}$. Of course, this elementary stochastic gradient descent algorithm can be improved (with for instance Adagrad, Adadelta, Rmsprop, Adam).

3. What is the complexity of an iteration of the previous algorithm ?

   Using B randomly chosen observations to provide each update instead of using all observations allows to reduce the complexity (proportional to B instead of n).

Backpropagation

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be the input of a MLP with L layers and define all layers as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&h_\theta^0(x) = x\,,\\
&z_\theta^k(x) = b^k + W^k h_\theta^{k-1}(x) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leqslant k \leqslant L\,,\\
&h_\theta^k(x) = \varphi_k(z_\theta^k(x)) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leqslant k \leqslant L\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$, $W^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d}$ and for all $2 \leqslant k \leqslant L$, $b^k \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k}$, $W^k \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k \times d_{k-1}}$. For all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant L$, $\varphi_k : \mathbb{R}^{d_k} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_k}$ is a nonlinear activation function. Let $\theta = \{b^1, W^1, \dots, b^L, W^L\}$ be the unknown parameters of the MLP and

$$f_\theta(x) = h_\theta^L(x)$$

be the output layer of the MLP. As there is no modeling assumptions anymore, virtually any activation functions φ^m, $1 \leqslant m \leqslant L-1$ may be used. In this section, it is assumed that these intermediate activation functions apply elementwise and, with a minor abuse of notations, we write for all $1 \leqslant m \leqslant L-1$ and all $z \in \mathbb{R}^{d_m}$,

$$\varphi_m(z) = (\varphi_m(z_1), \dots, \varphi_m(z_{d_m}))\,,$$

with $\varphi_m : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ the selected scalar activation function.

In a classification setting ,the output $h_\theta^L(x)$ is the estimate of the probability that the class is k for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant M$, given the input x. The common choice in this case is the softmax function: for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant M$

$$\varphi_L(z)_i = \mathrm{softmax}(z)_i = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{z_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^M \mathrm{e}^{z_j}}\,.$$

In this case $d_L = M$ and each component k of $h_\theta^L(x)$ contains $\mathbb{P}(Y = k|X)$.

1. Prove that for all $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant M$,

$$\partial_{z_i}(\varphi_L(z))_j = \begin{cases} \mathrm{softmax}(z)_i(1 - \mathrm{softmax}(z)_i) & \text{if } i = j\,,\\ -\mathrm{softmax}(z)_i \mathrm{softmax}(z)_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

   It is enough to write for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant M$*,*

$$\varphi_L(z)_j = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{z_j}}{\sum_{j=1}^M \mathrm{e}^{z_j}}\,.$$

   Therefore,

$$\partial_{z_j}(\varphi_L(z))_j = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{z_j}\sum_{j=1}^M \mathrm{e}^{z_j} - \mathrm{e}^{z_j}\mathrm{e}^{z_j}}{\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^M \mathrm{e}^{z_\ell}\right)^2} = \varphi_L(z)_j - \varphi_L^2(z)_j = \varphi_L(z)_j(1 - \varphi_L(z)_j)\,.$$

   The case $i \neq j$ can be dealt with similarly.

2. Write $\ell_\theta(X, Y) = -\sum_{k=1}^M \mathbb{1}_{Y=k} \log f_\theta(X)_k$ so that

$$\ell_n : \theta \mapsto \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_\theta(X_i, Y_i)\,.$$

   Prove that the gradient with respect to all parameters can be computed as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{W^L}\ell_\theta(X, Y) &= (f_\theta(X) - \mathbb{1}_Y)(h_\theta^{L-1}(X))^\top\,,\\
\nabla_{b^L}\ell_\theta(X, Y) &= f_\theta(X) - \mathbb{1}_Y\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbb{1}_Y$ is the vector where all entries equal to 0 except the entry with index Y which equals 1.

For all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant M$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{(z_\theta^L(X))_j} \ell_\theta(X,Y) &= -\sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbb{1}_{Y=k} \partial_{(z_\theta^L(X))_j} \log f_\theta(X)_k \,, \\
&= -\sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbb{1}_{Y=k} \partial_{(z_\theta^L(X))_j} \log \varphi_L(z_\theta^L(X))_k \,, \\
&= -\sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbb{1}_{Y=k} \frac{\varphi_L(z_\theta^L(X))_j (1 - \varphi_L(z_\theta^L(X))_j) \mathbb{1}_{j=k} - \varphi_L(z_\theta^L(X))_j \varphi_L(z_\theta^L(X))_k \mathbb{1}_{j \neq k}}{\varphi_L(z_\theta^L(X))_k} \,, \\
&= -\sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbb{1}_{Y=k} \left\{ (1 - \varphi_L(z_\theta^L(X))_k) \mathbb{1}_{j=k} - \varphi_L(z_\theta^L(X))_k \mathbb{1}_{j \neq k} \right\} .
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{z_\theta^L(X)} \ell_\theta(X,Y) = f_\theta(X) - \mathbb{1}_Y \,.$$

Then, for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant M$ *and all* $1 \leqslant j \leqslant d_{L-1}$, *by the chain rule, and using that* $z_\theta^L(X) = b^L + W^L h_\theta^{L-1}(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{W_{i,j}^L} \ell_\theta(X,Y) &= \sum_{k=1}^{M} \partial_{(z_\theta^L(X))_k} \ell_\theta(X,Y) \partial_{W_{i,j}^L} (z_\theta^L(X))_k \,, \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{M} (\ell_\theta(X,Y) - \mathbb{1}_Y)_k \mathbb{1}_{i=k} (h_\theta^{L-1}(X))_j \,, \\
&= (f_\theta(X) - \mathbb{1}_Y)_i (h_\theta^{L-1}(X))_j \,.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{W^L} \ell_\theta(X,Y) = (f_\theta(X) - \mathbb{1}_Y)(h_\theta^{L-1}(X))^\top \,.$$

Similarly, for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant M$, *using that* $z_\theta^L(X) = b^L + W^L h_\theta^{L-1}(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{b_i^L} \ell_\theta(X,Y) &= \sum_{k=1}^{M} \partial_{(z_\theta^L(X))_k} \ell_\theta(X,Y) \partial_{b_i^L} (z_\theta^L(X))_k \,, \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{M} (f_\theta(X) - \mathbb{1}_Y)_k \mathbb{1}_{i=k} \,, \\
&= (f_\theta(X) - \mathbb{1}_Y)_i \,.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{b^L} \ell_\theta(X,Y) = f_\theta(X) - \mathbb{1}_Y \,.$$

3. Prove that for all $1 \leqslant m \leqslant L-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{W^m} \ell_\theta(X,Y) &= \nabla_{z_\theta^m(X)} \ell_\theta(X,Y) (h_\theta^{m-1}(X))^\top \,, \\
\nabla_{b^m} \ell_\theta(X,Y) &= \nabla_{z_\theta^m(X)} \ell_\theta(X,Y) \,,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\nabla_{z_\theta^m(X)}$ is computed recursively as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{z^L(X)} \ell_\theta(X,Y) &= \ell_\theta(X,Y) - \mathbb{1}_Y \,, \\
\nabla_{h_\theta^m(X)} \ell_\theta(X,Y) &= (W^{m+1})^\top \nabla_{z_\theta^{m+1}(X)} \ell_\theta(X,Y) \,, \\
\nabla_{z_\theta^m(X)} \ell_\theta(X,Y) &= \nabla_{h_\theta^m(X)} \ell_\theta(X,Y) \odot \varphi_m'(z_\theta^m(X)) \,,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\odot$ is the elementwise multiplication.

To obtain the recursive formulation of the gradient computations, known as the back propagation *of the gradient, write, for all* $1 \leqslant m \leqslant L-1$ *and all* $1 \leqslant j \leqslant d_m$*, using that* $z_\theta^{m+1}(X) = b^{m+1} + W^{m+1} h_\theta^m(X)$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{(h_\theta^m(X))_j} \ell_\theta(X,Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{d_{m+1}} \partial_{(z_\theta^{m+1}(X))_i} \ell_\theta(X,Y) \partial_{(h_\theta^m(X))_j} (z_\theta^{m+1}(X))_i \,, \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{d_{m+1}} \partial_{(z_\theta^{m+1}(X))_i} \ell_\theta(X,Y) W_{i,j}^{m+1} \,.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{h_\theta^m(X)} \ell_\theta(X,Y) = (W^{m+1})^\top \nabla_{z_\theta^{m+1}(X)} \ell_\theta(X,Y) \,.$$

Then, for all $1 \leqslant m \leqslant L-1$ *and all* $1 \leqslant j \leqslant d_m$*, using that* $h_\theta^m(X)_j = \varphi_m(z_\theta^m(X)_j)$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{(z_\theta^m(X))_j} \ell_\theta(X,Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{d_m} \partial_{(h_\theta^m(X))_i} \ell_\theta(X,Y) \partial_{(z_\theta^m(X))_j} (h_\theta^m(X))_i \,, \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{d_m} \partial_{(h_\theta^m(X))_i} \ell_\theta(X,Y) \mathbb{1}_{i=j} \varphi_m'(z_\theta^m(X)_i) \,, \\ &= \partial_{(h_\theta^m(X))_j} \ell_\theta(X,Y) \varphi_m'(z_\theta^m(X)_j) \,.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{z_\theta^m(X)} \ell_\theta(X,Y) = \nabla_{h_\theta^m(X)} \ell_\theta(X,Y) \odot \varphi_m'(z_\theta^m(X)) \,.$$

Then, for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d_m$ *and all* $1 \leqslant j \leqslant d_{m-1}$*, and using that* $z_\theta^m(X) = b^m + W^m h_\theta^{m-1}(X)$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{W_{i,j}^m} \ell_\theta(X,Y) &= \sum_{k=1}^{d_m} \partial_{(z_\theta^m(X))_k} \ell_\theta(X,Y) \partial_{W_{i,j}^m} (z_\theta^m(X))_k \,, \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{d_m} \partial_{(z_\theta^m(X))_k} \ell_\theta(X,Y) \mathbb{1}_{i=k} (h_\theta^{m-1}(X))_j \,, \\ &= \partial_{(z_\theta^m(X))_i} \ell_\theta(X,Y) (h_\theta^{m-1}(X))_j \,.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{W^m} \ell_\theta(X,Y) = \nabla_{z_\theta^m(X)} \ell_\theta(X,Y) (h_\theta^{m-1}(X))^\top \,.$$

Similarly, for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d_m$*, using that* $z_\theta^m(X) = b^m + W^m h_\theta^{m-1}(X)$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{b_i^m} \ell_\theta(X,Y) &= \sum_{k=1}^{d_m} \partial_{(z_\theta^m(X))_k} \ell_\theta(X,Y) \partial_{b_i^m} (z_\theta^m(X))_k \,, \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{d_m} \partial_{(z_\theta^m(X))_k} \ell_\theta(X,Y) \mathbb{1}_{i=k} \,, \\ &= \partial_{(z_\theta^m(X))_k} \ell_\theta(X,Y)_i \,.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{b^m} \ell_\theta(X,Y) = \nabla_{z_\theta^m(X)} \ell_\theta(X,Y) \,.$$