Unsupervised learning

K means algorithm

Let $n \ge 1$ and X_1, \ldots, X_n in \mathbb{R}^d . The K-means algorithm aims at minimizing over all partitions $G = (G_1, \ldots, G_K)$ of $\{1, \ldots, p\}$ the criterion

$$\mathcal{L}(G) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i \in G_k} \|X_i - \bar{X}_{G_i}\|^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{X}_{G_k} = \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{a \in G_k} X_a .$$

1. Prove that

$$\mathcal{L}(G) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{a,b \in G_k} \langle X_a, X_a - X_b \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{a,b \in G_k} \|X_a - X_b\|^2.$$

By definition,

$$\mathcal{L}(G) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{a \in G_k} ||X_a - \bar{X}_{G_k}||^2$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{a \in G_k} \langle X_a - \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{b \in G_k} X_b, X_a - \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{c \in G_k} X_c \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|^2} \sum_{a,b,c \in G_k} \langle X_a - X_b, X_a - X_c \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|^2} \sum_{a,b,c \in G_k} \langle X_a - X_b, X_a \rangle - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|^2} \sum_{a,b,c \in G_k} \langle X_a - X_b, X_c \rangle,$$

where

$$\sum_{a,b,c \in G_k} \langle X_a - X_b, X_c \rangle = |G_k| \sum_{a,c \in G_k} \langle X_a, X_c \rangle - |G_k| \sum_{b,c \in G_k} \langle X_b, X_c \rangle = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{L}(G) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{a,b \in G_k} \langle X_a, X_a - X_b \rangle.$$

For the second equality, note that

$$\mathcal{L}(G) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{a,b \in G_k} \langle X_a - X_b, X_a - X_b \rangle + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{a,b \in G_k} \langle X_b, X_a - X_b \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{a,b \in G_k} ||X_a - X_b||^2 - \mathcal{L}(G),$$

which concludes the proof.

2. Assume now that the observations are independent. Write $\mathbb{E}[X_a] = \mu_a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ so that $X_a = \mu_a + \varepsilon_a$ with $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ centered and independent. Define $v_a = \operatorname{trace}(\mathbb{V}[X_a])$. Prove that

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(G)] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{a,b \in G_k} (\|\mu_a - \mu_b\|^2 + v_a + v_b) \mathbf{1}_{a \neq b}.$$

What is the value of $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(G)]$ when all the within-group variables have the same mean?

The expectation of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{L}(G)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{\substack{a,b \in G_k}} \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_a - X_b\|^2\right].$$

Let $a, b \in G_k, a \neq b$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|X_a - X_b\|^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\|\mu_a - \mu_b + \varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_b\|^2\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\|\mu_a - \mu_b\|^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\|\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_b\|^2\right] + 2\mathbb{E}\left[\langle\mu_a - \mu_b, \varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_b\rangle\right]$$

$$= \|\mu_a - \mu_b\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\left[\|\varepsilon_a\|^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\|\varepsilon_b\|^2\right] + 2\mathbb{E}\left[\langle\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_b\rangle\right],$$

since ε_a and ε_b are independent and centred. Finally, since for all $a \in G_k$, $\mathbb{E}\left[\|\varepsilon_a\|^2\right] = v_a$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{L}(G)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{\substack{a,b \in G, \\ a \neq b}} \left(\|\mu_a - \mu_b\|^2 + v_a + v_b \right) \mathbb{1}_{a \neq b}.$$

If all the within-group variables have the same mean, for all k, there exists μ_k such that, for all $a \in G_k$, $\mu_a = \mu_k$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{L}(G)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{a,b \in G_k} (v_a + v_b) \, \mathbb{1}_{a \neq b}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{a,b \in G_k} (v_a + v_b) \, \mathbb{1}_{a \neq b},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{a,b \in G_k} (v_a + v_b) \, \mathbb{1}_{a \neq b} = \frac{1}{|G_k|} \left(\sum_{a,b \in G_k} (v_a + v_b) - \sum_{a,b \in G_k} (v_a + v_b) \, \mathbb{1}_{a = b} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G_k|} \left(2|G_k| \sum_{a \in G_k} v_a - 2 \sum_{a \in G_k} v_a \right)$$

$$= \frac{2(|G_k| - 1)}{|G_k|} \sum_{a \in G_k} v_a.$$

Consequently, if, for all $a \in G_k$, $\mu_a = \mu_k$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{L}(G)\right] = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{|G_k| - 1}{|G_k|} \sum_{a \in G_k} v_a.$$

3. We assume now that there exists a partition $G^* = (G_1^*, \ldots, G_K^*)$ such that there exist $m_1, \ldots, m_K \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_K > 0$ satisfying $\mu_a = m_k$ and $v_a = \gamma_k$ for all $a \in G_k^*$ and $k = 1, \ldots, K$. Compute $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(G^*)]$.

By definition of G^* ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{L}(G^*)\right] = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{|G_k^*| - 1}{|G_k^*|} \sum_{a \in G_k^*} v_a$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{|G_k^*| - 1}{|G_k^*|} |G_k^*| \gamma_k$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} (|G_k^*| - 1) \gamma_k.$$

4. In the special case where $\gamma_1 = \ldots = \gamma_K = \gamma$, which partition $G = (G_1, \ldots, G_K)$ minimizes $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(G)]$?

Assume that $\gamma_1 = \ldots = \gamma_K = \gamma$. Then, for any partition G,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{L}(G)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{a,b \in G_k} \left(\|\mu_a - \mu_b\|^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{a,b \in G_k} \left(v_a + v_b \right) \mathbb{1}_{a \neq b}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{a,b \in G_k} \left(\|\mu_a - \mu_b\|^2 \right) + \sum_{k} \frac{|G_k| - 1}{|G_k|} \sum_{a \in G_k} \gamma$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{a,b \in G_k} \left(\|\mu_a - \mu_b\|^2 \right) + \gamma(n - K).$$

In particular, for G^* we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{L}(G^*)\right] = \gamma(n - K),$$

which leads to

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{L}(G)\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{L}(G^*)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|G_k|} \sum_{a,b \in G_k} \left(\|\mu_a - \mu_b\|^2 \right) \ge 0.$$

The minimum of $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(G)]$ is reached at $G = G^*$. To prove that this minimum is unique, choose G such that $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(G)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(G^*)]$. Then, for all k, and for all $a, b \in G_k$, $\mu_a = \mu_b$ which implies that $G = G^*$ (if all μ_k are different).

EM algorithm (bonus)

In the case where we are interested in estimating unknown parameters $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ characterizing a model with missing data, the Expectation Maximization (EM) algorithm (Dempster et al. 1977) can be used when the joint distribution of the missing data X and the observed data Y is explicit. For all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^m$, let p_θ be the probability density function of (X,Y) when the model is parameterized by θ with respect to a given reference measure μ . The EM algorithm aims at computing iteratively an approximation of the maximum likelihood estimator which maximizes the observed data loglikelihood:

$$\ell(\theta; Y) = \log p_{\theta}(Y) = \log \int f_{\theta}(x, Y) \mu(\mathrm{d}x).$$

As this quantity cannot be computed explicitly in general cases, the EM algorithm finds the maximum likelihood estimator by iteratively maximizing the expected complete data loglikelihood. Start with an inital value $\theta^{(0)}$ and let $\theta^{(t)}$ be the estimate at the t-th iteration for $t \ge 0$, then the next iteration of EM is decomposed into two steps.

1. **E step**. Compute the expectation of the complete data loglikelihood, with respect to the conditional distribution of the missing data given the observed data parameterized by $\theta^{(t)}$:

$$Q(\theta, \theta^{(t)}) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta^{(t)}} \left[\log p_{\theta}(X, Y) | Y \right].$$

2. M step. Determine $\theta^{(t+1)}$ by maximizing the function Q:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} \in \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} Q(\theta, \theta^{(t)})$$
.

1. Prove the following crucial property motivates the EM algorithm. For all $\theta, \theta^{(t)}$,

$$\ell(Y;\theta) - \ell(Y;\theta^{(t)}) \geqslant Q(\theta,\theta^{(t)}) - Q(\theta^{(t)},\theta^{(t)}).$$

This may be proved by noting that

$$\ell(Y; \theta) = \log \left(\frac{p_{\theta}(X, Y)}{p_{\theta}(X|Y)} \right).$$

Considering the conditional expectation of both terms given Y when the parameter value is $\theta^{(t)}$ yields

$$\ell(Y;\theta) = Q(\theta,\theta^{(t)}) - \mathbb{E}_{\theta^{(t)}}[\log p_{\theta}(X|Y)|Y].$$

Then,

$$\ell(Y;\theta) - \ell(Y;\theta^{(t)}) = Q(\theta,\theta^{(t)}) - Q(\theta^{(t)},\theta^{(t)}) + H(\theta,\theta^{(t)}) - H(\theta^{(t)},\theta^{(t)}),$$

where

$$H(\theta, \theta^{(t)}) = -\mathbb{E}_{\theta^{(t)}}[\log p_{\theta}(X|Y)|Y].$$

The proof is completed by noting that

$$H(\theta, \theta^{(t)}) - H(\theta^{(t)}, \theta^{(t)}) \geqslant 0$$

as this difference if a Kullback-Leibler divergence.

In the following, $X=(X_1,\ldots,X_n)$ and $Y=(Y_1,\ldots,Y_n)$ where $\{(X_i,Y_i)\}_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}$ are i.i.d. in $\{-1,1\}\times\mathbb{R}^d$. For $k\in\{-1,1\}$, write $\pi_k=\mathbb{P}(X_1=k)$. Assume that, conditionally on the event $\{X_1=k\}$, Y_1 has a Gaussian distribution with mean $\mu_k\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and covariance matrix $\Sigma\in\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$. In this case, the parameter $\theta=(\pi_1,\mu_1,\mu_{-1},\Sigma)$ belongs to the set $\Theta=[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$.

1. Write the complete data loglikelihood.

The complete data loglikelihood is given by

$$\begin{split} \log p_{\theta}\left(X,Y\right) &= -\frac{nd}{2}\log(2\pi) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k \in \{-1,1\}} \mathbbm{1}_{X_{i}=k} \left(\log \pi_{k} - \frac{\log \det \Sigma}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(Y_{i} - \mu_{k}\right)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} \left(Y_{i} - \mu_{k}\right)\right) \,, \\ &= -\frac{nd}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2}\log \det \Sigma + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbbm{1}_{X_{i}=1}\right) \log \pi_{1} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbbm{1}_{X_{i}=-1}\right) \log(1 - \pi_{1}) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbbm{1}_{X_{i}=1} \left(Y_{i} - \mu_{1}\right)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} \left(Y_{i} - \mu_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbbm{1}_{X_{i}=-1} \left(Y_{i} - \mu_{-1}\right)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} \left(Y_{i} - \mu_{-1}\right) \,. \end{split}$$

2. Let $\theta^{(t)}$ be the current parameter estimate. Compute $\theta \mapsto Q(\theta, \theta^{(t)})$.

Write $\omega_t^i = \mathbb{P}_{\theta^{(t)}}(X_i = 1|Y_i)$. The intermediate quantity of the EM algorithm is given by

$$Q(\theta, \theta^{(t)}) = -\frac{nd}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2}\log\det\Sigma + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\omega_{t}^{i}\right)\log\pi_{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1 - \omega_{t}^{i}\right)\log(1 - \pi_{1})$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\omega_{t}^{i}\left(Y_{i} - \mu_{1}\right)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}\left(Y_{i} - \mu_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1 - \omega_{t}^{i}\right)\left(Y_{i} - \mu_{-1}\right)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}\left(Y_{i} - \mu_{-1}\right).$$

3. Compute $\theta^{(t+1)}$.

The gradient of $Q(\theta, \theta^{(t)})$ with respect to θ is therefore given by

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial Q(\theta,\theta^{(t)})}{\partial \pi_{1}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{t}^{i}}{\pi_{1}} - \frac{n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{t}^{i}}{1 - \pi_{1}}, \\ &\frac{\partial Q(\theta,\theta^{(t)})}{\partial \mu_{1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{t}^{i} \left(2\Sigma^{-1}Y_{i} - 2\Sigma^{-1}\mu_{1}\right), \\ &\frac{\partial Q(\theta,\theta^{(t)})}{\partial \mu_{-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \omega_{t}^{i}) \left(2\Sigma^{-1}Y_{i} - 2\Sigma^{-1}\mu_{-1}\right), \\ &\frac{\partial Q(\theta,\theta^{(t)})}{\partial \Sigma^{-1}} = \frac{n}{2}\Sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{t}^{i} \left(Y_{i} - \mu_{1}\right) \left(Y_{i} - \mu_{1}\right)^{\top} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \omega_{t}^{i}) \left(Y_{i} - \mu_{-1}\right) \left(Y_{i} - \mu_{-1}\right)^{\top}. \end{split}$$

Then, $\theta^{(t+1)}$ is defined as the only parameter such that all these equations are set to 0. It is given by

$$\widehat{\pi}_{1}^{(t+1)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{t}^{i},$$

$$\widehat{\mu}_{1}^{(t+1)} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{t}^{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{t}^{i} Y_{i},$$

$$\widehat{\Sigma}^{(t+1)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{t}^{i} (Y_{i} - \mu_{1}) (Y_{i} - \mu_{1})^{\top} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \omega_{t}^{i}) (Y_{i} - \mu_{-1}) (Y_{i} - \mu_{-1})^{\top}.$$