FEED FORWARD NEURAL NETWORKS

1 Warm-up

Assume that the observation Y takes values in $\{1, ..., M\}$ and that $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The negative loglikelihood to be minimized to estimate the parameters of the model is given by:

$$\theta \mapsto \ell_n^{\text{multi}}(\theta) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^M \mathbb{1}_{Y_i = k} \log \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(Y_i = k | X_i),$$

where $\{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ are i.i.d. observations with the same law as (X, Y).

1. Explain the construction of $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(Y_i = k|X_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ for the following model. A feed forward neural network with a first hidden layer with dimension d_1 and activation function φ_1 , a second hidden layer with dimension d_2 and activation function φ_2 , and an output layer of dimension M and activation function given by the softmax function.

Let X_i be the input and define all layers as follows.

$$h_{\theta}^{0}(X_{i}) = X_{i},$$

$$z_{\theta}^{1}(X_{i}) = b^{1} + W^{1}h_{\theta}^{0}(X_{i}), \quad b^{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{1}}, W^{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{1} \times d},$$

$$h_{\theta}^{1}(x) = \varphi_{1}(z_{\theta}^{1}(X_{i})),$$

$$z_{\theta}^{2}(X_{i}) = b^{2} + W^{2}h_{\theta}^{1}(X_{i}), \quad b^{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{2}}, W^{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{2} \times d_{1}},$$

$$h_{\theta}^{2}(x) = \varphi_{2}(z_{\theta}^{2}(X_{i})),$$

$$z_{\theta}^{3}(X_{i}) = b^{3} + W^{3}h_{\theta}^{2}(X_{i}), \quad b^{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{M}, W^{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times d_{2}},$$

$$h_{\theta}^{3}(X_{i}) = \{\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(Y_{i} = k|X_{i})\}_{1 \leq k \leq M} = \text{Softmax}(z_{\theta}^{3}(X_{i})),$$

2. What is the unknown parameter θ of the previous model? Explain how to estimate θ with a stochastic gradient descent.

The unknown parameter is $\theta = (b^1, W^1, b^2, W^2, b^3, W^3)$ is estimated iteratively. Let θ_0 be an initial estimate (randomly chosen). Then for each iteration $p \ge 1$, the new estimate is computed as follows

$$\theta_p = \theta_{p-1} - \gamma_p \nabla_{\theta = \theta_{p-1}} \left(-\frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^B \sum_{k=1}^M \mathbb{1}_{Y_{I_i^p} = k} \log \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(Y_{I_i^p} = k | X_{I_i^p}) \right),$$

where B is the batch size, $(\gamma_p)_{p\geqslant 1}$ are positive step-sizes, and $(I_i)_{1\leqslant p,1\leqslant i\leqslant B}$ are i.i.d. with uniform distribution on $\{1,\ldots,n\}$. Of course, this elementary stochastic gradient descent algorithm can be improved (with for instance Adagrad, Adadelta, Rmsprop, Adam).

3. What is the complexity of an iteration of the previous algorithm?

Using B randomly chosen observations to provide each update instead of using all observations allows to reduce the complexity (proportional to B instead of n).

2 Backpropagation

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be the input of a MLP with L layers and define all layers as follows.

$$\begin{split} &h_{\theta}^{0}(x) = x\,,\\ &z_{\theta}^{k}(x) = b^{k} + W^{k}h_{\theta}^{k-1}(x) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leqslant k \leqslant L\,,\\ &h_{\theta}^{k}(x) = \varphi_{k}(z_{\theta}^{k}(x)) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leqslant k \leqslant L\,, \end{split}$$

where $b^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$, $W^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d}$ and for all $2 \leq k \leq L$, $b^k \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k}$, $W^k \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k \times d_{k-1}}$. For all $1 \leq k \leq L$, $\varphi_k : \mathbb{R}^{d_k} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_k}$ is a nonlinear activation function. Let $\theta = (b^1, W^1, \dots, b^L, W^L)$ be the unknown parameters of the MLP and

$$f_{\theta}(x) = h_{\theta}^{L}(x)$$

be the output layer of the MLP. As there is no modeling assumptions anymore, virtually any activation functions φ^m , $1 \le m \le L-1$ may be used. In this section, it is assumed that these intermediate activation functions apply elementwise and, with a minor abuse of notations, we write for all $1 \le m \le L-1$ and all $z \in \mathbb{R}^{d_m}$,

$$\varphi_m(z) = (\varphi_m(z_1), \dots, \varphi_m(z_{d_m})),$$

with $\varphi_m : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ the selected scalar activation function.

In a classification setting , the output $h_{\theta}^L(x)$ is the estimate of the probability that the class is k for all $1 \le k \le M$, given the input x. The common choice in this case is the softmax function: for all $1 \le i \le M$

$$\varphi_L(z)_i = \operatorname{softmax}(z)_i = \frac{e^{z_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^M e^{z_j}}.$$

In this case $d_L = M$ and each component k of $h_{\theta}^L(x)$ contains $\mathbb{P}(Y = k|X)$.

1. Prove that for all $1 \leq i, j \leq M$,

$$\partial_{z_i}(\varphi_L(z))_j = \begin{cases} \text{softmax}(z)_i (1 - \text{softmax}(z)_i) & \text{if } i = j, \\ -\text{softmax}(z)_i \text{softmax}(z)_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is enough to write for all $1 \leq j \leq M$,

$$\varphi_L(z)_j = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{z_j}}{\sum_{j=1}^M \mathrm{e}^{z_j}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\partial_{z_j}(\varphi_L(z))_j = \frac{e^{z_j} \sum_{j=1}^M e^{z_j} - e^{z_j} e^{z_j}}{\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^M e^{z_\ell}\right)^2} = \varphi_L(z)_j - \varphi_L^2(z)_j = \varphi_L(z)_j (1 - \varphi_L(z)_j).$$

The case $i \neq j$ can be dealt with similarly.

2. Write $\ell_{\theta}(X,Y) = -\sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbb{1}_{Y=k} \log f_{\theta}(X)_k$ so that

$$\ell_n: \theta \mapsto \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_{\theta}(X_i, Y_i).$$

Prove that the gradient with respect to all parameters can be computed as follows.

$$\nabla_{W^L} \ell_{\theta}(X, Y) = (f_{\theta}(X) - \mathbb{1}_Y) (h_{\theta}^{L-1}(X))^{\top},$$

$$\nabla_{h^L} \ell_{\theta}(X, Y) = f_{\theta}(X) - \mathbb{1}_Y,$$

where $\mathbb{1}_Y$ is the vector where all entries equal to 0 except the entry with index Y which equals 1.

For all $1 \leq j \leq M$,

$$\begin{split} \partial_{(z_{\theta}^{L}(X))_{j}}\ell_{\theta}(X,Y) &= -\sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbbm{1}_{Y=k} \partial_{(z_{\theta}^{L}(X))_{j}} \log f_{\theta}(X)_{k} \,, \\ &= -\sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbbm{1}_{Y=k} \partial_{(z_{\theta}^{L}(X))_{j}} \log \varphi_{L}(z_{\theta}^{L}(X))_{k} \,, \\ &= -\sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbbm{1}_{Y=k} \frac{\varphi_{L}(z_{\theta}^{L}(X))_{j} (1 - \varphi_{L}(z_{\theta}^{L}(X))_{j}) \mathbbm{1}_{j=k} - \varphi_{L}(z_{\theta}^{L}(X))_{j} \varphi_{L}(z_{\theta}^{L}(X))_{k} \mathbbm{1}_{j\neq k}}{\varphi_{L}(z_{\theta}^{L}(X))_{k}} \,, \\ &= -\sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbbm{1}_{Y=k} \left\{ (1 - \varphi_{L}(z_{\theta}^{L}(X))_{k}) \mathbbm{1}_{j=k} - \varphi_{L}(z_{\theta}^{L}(X))_{k} \mathbbm{1}_{j\neq k} \right\} \,. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{z_{\theta}^{L}(X)} \ell_{\theta}(X, Y) = f_{\theta}(X) - \mathbb{1}_{Y}.$$

Then, for all $1 \leq i \leq M$ and all $1 \leq j \leq d_{L-1}$, by the chain rule, and using that $z_{\theta}^{L}(X) = b^{L} + W^{L}h_{\theta}^{L-1}(X)$,

$$\begin{split} \partial_{W_{i,j}^L} \ell_{\theta}(X,Y) &= \sum_{k=1}^M \partial_{(z_{\theta}^L(X))_k} \ell_{\theta}(X,Y) \partial_{W_{i,j}^L} (z_{\theta}^L(X))_k \,, \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^M (\ell_{\theta}(X,Y) - \mathbbm{1}_Y)_k \mathbbm{1}_{i=k} (h_{\theta}^{L-1}(X))_j \,, \\ &= (f_{\theta}(X) - \mathbbm{1}_Y)_i (h_{\theta}^{L-1}(X))_j \,. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{W^L} \ell_{\theta}(X, Y) = (f_{\theta}(X) - \mathbb{1}_Y)(h_{\theta}^{L-1}(X))^{\top}.$$

Similarly, for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant M$, using that $z_{\theta}^L(X) = b^L + W^L h_{\theta}^{L-1}(X)$,

$$\begin{split} \partial_{b_i^L} \ell_{\theta}(X,Y) &= \sum_{k=1}^M \partial_{(z_{\theta}^L(X))_k} \ell_{\theta}(X,Y) \partial_{b_i^L} (z_{\theta}^L(X))_k \,, \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^M (f_{\theta}(X) - \mathbb{1}_Y)_k \mathbb{1}_{i=k} \,, \\ &= (f_{\theta}(X) - \mathbb{1}_Y)_i \,. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{b^L} \ell_{\theta}(X, Y) = f_{\theta}(X) - \mathbb{1}_Y.$$

3. Prove that for all $1 \leq m \leq L - 1$,

$$\nabla_{W^m} \ell_{\theta}(X, Y) = \nabla_{z_{\theta}^m(X)} \ell_{\theta}(X, Y) (h_{\theta}^{m-1}(X))^{\top},$$

$$\nabla_{b^m} \ell_{\theta}(X, Y) = \nabla_{z_{\theta}^m(X)} \ell_{\theta}(X, Y),$$

where $\nabla_{z_{\mu}^{m}(X)}$ is computed recursively as follows.

$$\begin{split} & \nabla_{z^L(X)} \ell_{\theta}(X,Y) = \ell_{\theta}(X,Y) - \mathbb{1}_Y \,, \\ & \nabla_{h^m_{\theta}(X)} \ell_{\theta}(X,Y) = (W^{m+1})^{\top} \nabla_{z^{m+1}_{\theta}(X)} \ell_{\theta}(X,Y) \,, \\ & \nabla_{z^m_{\theta}(X)} \ell_{\theta}(X,Y) = \nabla_{h^m_{\theta}(X)} \ell_{\theta}(X,Y) \odot \varphi'_m(z^m_{\theta}(X)) \,, \end{split}$$

where \odot is the elementwise multiplication.

To obtain the recursive formulation of the gradient computations, known as the back propagation of the gradient, write, for all $1 \leq m \leq L-1$ and all $1 \leq j \leq d_m$, using that $z_{\mu}^{m+1}(X) = b^{m+1} + W^{m+1}h_{\mu}^{m}(X)$,

$$\begin{split} \partial_{(h_{\theta}^{m}(X))_{j}}\ell_{\theta}(X,Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{d_{m+1}} \partial_{(z_{\theta}^{m+1}(X))_{i}}\ell_{\theta}(X,Y)\partial_{(h_{\theta}^{m}(X))_{j}}(z_{\theta}^{m+1}(X))_{i}\,, \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{d_{m+1}} \partial_{(z_{\theta}^{m+1}(X))_{i}}\ell_{\theta}(X,Y)W_{i,j}^{m+1}\,. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{h_{\theta}^m(X)}\ell_{\theta}(X,Y) = (W^{m+1})^{\top}\nabla_{z_{\theta}^{m+1}(X)}\ell_{\theta}(X,Y)\,.$$

Then, for all $1 \leq m \leq L-1$ and all $1 \leq j \leq d_m$, using that $h_{\theta}^m(X)_j = \varphi_m(z_{\theta}^m(X)_j)$,

$$\begin{split} \partial_{(z_{\theta}^m(X))_j}\ell_{\theta}(X,Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{d_m} \partial_{(h_{\theta}^m(X))_i}\ell_{\theta}(X,Y)\partial_{(z_{\theta}^m(X))_j}(h_{\theta}^m(X))_i\,,\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{d_m} \partial_{(h_{\theta}^m(X))_i}\ell_{\theta}(X,Y)\mathbbm{1}_{i=j}\varphi_m'(z_{\theta}^m(X)_i)\,,\\ &= \partial_{(h_{\theta}^m(X))_j}\ell_{\theta}(X,Y)\varphi_m'(z_{\theta}^m(X)_j)\,. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{z_{\theta}^{m}(X)}\ell_{\theta}(X,Y) = \nabla_{h_{\theta}^{m}(X)}\ell_{\theta}(X,Y) \odot \varphi'_{m}(z_{\theta}^{m}(X)).$$

Then, for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d_m$ and all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant d_{m-1}$, and using that $z_{\theta}^m(X) = b^m + W^m h_{\theta}^{m-1}(X)$,

$$\begin{split} \partial_{W^m_{i,j}} \ell_{\theta}(X,Y) &= \sum_{k=1}^{d_m} \partial_{(z^m_{\theta}(X))_k} \ell_{\theta}(X,Y) \partial_{W^m_{i,j}}(z^m_{\theta}(X))_k \,, \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{d_m} \partial_{(z^m_{\theta}(X))_k} \ell_{\theta}(X,Y) \mathbbm{1}_{i=k} (h^{m-1}_{\theta}(X))_j \,, \\ &= \partial_{(z^m_{\theta}(X))_i} \ell_{\theta}(X,Y) (h^{m-1}_{\theta}(X))_j \,. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{W^m} \ell_{\theta}(X, Y) = \nabla_{z_{\theta}^m(X)} \ell_{\theta}(X, Y) (h_{\theta}^{m-1}(X))^{\top}.$$

Similarly, for all $1 \leq i \leq d_m$, using that $z_{\theta}^m(X) = b^m + W^m h_{\theta}^{m-1}(X)$,

$$\begin{split} \partial_{b_i^m} \ell_{\theta}(X,Y) &= \sum_{k=1}^{d_m} \partial_{(z_{\theta}^m(X))_k} \ell_{\theta}(X,Y) \partial_{b_i^m}(z_{\theta}^m(X))_k \,, \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{d_m} \partial_{(z_{\theta}^m(X))_k} \ell_{\theta}(X,Y) \mathbb{1}_{i=k} \,, \\ &= \partial_{(z_{\theta}^m(X))_i} \ell_{\theta}(X,Y)_i \,. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{b^m}\ell_{\theta}(X,Y) = \nabla_{z_{\theta}^m(X)}\ell_{\theta}(X,Y)$$
.