
DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS

1 Classification error

Linear discriminant analysis assumes that the random variables $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \{0, 1\}$ have the following distribution. For all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $y \in \{0, 1\}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in A; Y = y) = \pi_y \int_A g_y(x) dx,$$

where π_0 and π_1 are positive real numbers such that $\pi_0 + \pi_1 = 1$ and g_0 (resp. g_1) is the probability density of a Gaussian random variable with mean $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (resp. μ_1) and positive definite covariance matrix $\Sigma_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ (resp. Σ_1). Define the classifier $h_* : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ by

$$h_* : x \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{\{\pi_1 g_1(x) > \pi_0 g_0(x)\}}.$$

1. Give the distribution of the random variable X and prove that

$$\mathbb{P}(h_*(X) \neq Y) = \min_{h: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \{0, 1\}} \{\mathbb{P}(h(X) \neq Y)\}.$$

For all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X \in A) &= \mathbb{P}(Y = 0)\mathbb{P}(X \in A|Y = 0) + \mathbb{P}(Y = 1)\mathbb{P}(X \in A|Y = 1), \\ &= \pi_0 \int_A g_0(x) dx + \pi_1 \int_A g_1(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

The probability density of the random variable X is given, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by

$$g(x) = \pi_0 g_0(x) + \pi_1 g_1(x).$$

Then, note that

$$\eta(X) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X|Y = 1)\mathbb{P}(Y = 1)}{g(X)} = \frac{\pi_1 g_1(X)}{\pi_0 g_0(X) + \pi_1 g_1(X)},$$

and the condition $\eta(x) \leq 1/2$ can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\pi_1 g_1(x)}{\pi_0 g_0(x) + \pi_1 g_1(x)} \leq 1/2,$$

that is $\pi_1 g_1(x) \leq \pi_0 g_0(x)$.

2. Assume that $\mu_0 \neq \mu_1$. Prove that when $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma_1 = \Sigma$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$h_*(x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow (\mu_1 - \mu_0)^\top \Sigma^{-1} \left(x - \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_0}{2} \right) > \log(\pi_0/\pi_1).$$

Provide a geometrical interpretation.

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1 g_1(x) &> \pi_0 g_0(x) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \log(\pi_1 g_1(x)) > \log(\pi_0 g_0(x)), \\
&\Leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_1)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_1) + \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_0)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_0) > \log(\pi_0/\pi_1), \\
&\Leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{2}\left(-\mu_1^\top \Sigma^{-1}x + \mu_1^\top \Sigma^{-1}\mu_1 - x^\top \Sigma^{-1}\mu_1 + \mu_0^\top \Sigma^{-1}x - \mu_0^\top \Sigma^{-1}\mu_0 + x^\top \Sigma^{-1}\mu_0\right) > \log(\pi_0/\pi_1), \\
&\Leftrightarrow x^\top \Sigma^{-1}\mu_1 - x^\top \Sigma^{-1}\mu_0 - \frac{1}{2}\mu_1^\top \Sigma^{-1}\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_0^\top \Sigma^{-1}\mu_0 > \log(\pi_0/\pi_1), \\
&\Leftrightarrow (\mu_1 - \mu_0)^\top \Sigma^{-1}\left(x - \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_0}{2}\right) > \log(\pi_0/\pi_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is classified according to its position with respect to an affine hyperplane orthogonal to $\Sigma^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_0)$.

3. Prove that when $\pi_1 = \pi_0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(h_*(X) = 1 | Y = 0) = \Phi(-d(\mu_1, \mu_0)/2),$$

where Φ is the cumulative distribution function of a standard Gaussian random variable and

$$d(\mu_1, \mu_0)^2 = (\mu_1 - \mu_0)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_0).$$

Let Z_0 be a Gaussian random variable with mean μ_0 and variance Σ . Note that

$$\mathbb{P}(h_*(X) = 1 | Y = 0) = \mathbb{P}\left(\underbrace{(\mu_1 - \mu_0)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(Z_0 - \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_0}{2})}_Z > 0\right),$$

where, using $\delta = d(\mu_1, \mu_0)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] = (\mu_1 - \mu_0)^\top \Sigma^{-1}\left(\frac{\mu_0 - \mu_1}{2}\right) = -\frac{\delta^2}{2}$$

and

$$\mathbb{V}[Z] = \mathbb{V}\left[(\mu_1 - \mu_0)^\top \Sigma^{-1}X\right] = \left((\mu_1 - \mu_0)^\top \Sigma^{-1}\right)\Sigma\left(\Sigma^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_0)\right) = \delta^2.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}(h_*(X) = 1 | Y = 0) = \mathbb{P}\left(-\frac{\delta^2}{2} + \delta\varepsilon > 0\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\varepsilon > \frac{\delta}{2}\right) = \Phi\left(-\frac{\delta}{2}\right).$$

4. Assume now that $\Sigma_1 \neq \Sigma_0$. What is the nature of the frontier between $\{x; h_*(x) = 1\}$ and $\{x; h_*(x) = 0\}$?

In this case, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1 g_1(x) &> \pi_0 g_0(x) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \log(\pi_1 g_1(x)) > \log(\pi_0 g_0(x)), \\
&\Leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_1)^\top \Sigma_1^{-1}(x - \mu_1) + \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_0)^\top \Sigma_0^{-1}(x - \mu_0) > \log(\pi_0/\pi_1), \\
&\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2}x^\top \Sigma_0^{-1}x - \frac{1}{2}x^\top \Sigma_1^{-1}x + x^\top \Sigma_1^{-1}\mu_1 - x^\top \Sigma_0^{-1}\mu_0 - \frac{1}{2}\mu_1^\top \Sigma_1^{-1}\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_0^\top \Sigma_0^{-1}\mu_0 > \log(\pi_0/\pi_1).
\end{aligned}$$

As the quadratic term does not vanish anymore, the frontier between $\{x; h_*(x) = 1\}$ and $\{x; h_*(x) = 0\}$ is a quadric.

2 Maximum likelihood estimation

We assume that the joint distribution of (X, Y) belongs to a family of distributions parametrized by a vector θ with real components. For $k \in \{-1, 1\}$, write $\pi_k = \mathbb{P}(Y = k)$. Assume that conditionally on the event $\{Y = k\}$, X has a Gaussian distribution with mean $\mu_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and covariance matrix $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, whose density is denoted g_k . In this case, the parameter $\theta = (\pi_1, \mu_1, \mu_{-1}, \Sigma)$ belongs to the set $\Theta = [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. The parameter π_{-1} is not part of the components of θ since $\pi_{-1} = 1 - \pi_1$. In this case, the parameter $\theta = (\pi_1, \mu_1, \mu_{-1}, \Sigma)$ belongs to the set $\Theta = [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. The parameter π_{-1} is not part of the components of θ since $\pi_{-1} = 1 - \pi_1$.

When Σ and μ_1 and μ_{-1} are unknown, the discriminant analysis classifier cannot be computed explicitly. Assume that $(X_i, Y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ are independent observations with the same distribution as (X, Y) .

1. Write the joint loglikelihood of the observations.

The loglikelihood of these observations is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathbb{P}_\theta (X_{1:n}, Y_{1:n}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log \mathbb{P}_\theta (X_i, Y_i) , \\ &= -\frac{nd}{2} \log(2\pi) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \in \{-1, 1\}} \mathbb{1}_{Y_i=k} \left(\log \pi_k - \frac{\log \det \Sigma}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (X_i - \mu_k)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (X_i - \mu_k) \right) , \\ &= -\frac{nd}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log \det \Sigma + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{Y_i=1} \right) \log \pi_1 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{Y_i=-1} \right) \log(1 - \pi_1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{Y_i=1} (X_i - \mu_1)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (X_i - \mu_1) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{Y_i=-1} (X_i - \mu_{-1})^\top \Sigma^{-1} (X_i - \mu_{-1}) . \end{aligned}$$

2. Let M_d be the space of real-valued $d \times d$ symmetric positive matrices. Show that the function $\Sigma \mapsto \log \det \Sigma$ is concave on M_d .

Let $\Sigma, \Gamma \in M_d$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Since $\Sigma^{-1/2} \Gamma \Sigma^{-1/2} \in M_d$, it is diagonalisable in some orthonormal basis and write μ_1, \dots, μ_d the (possibly repeated) entries of the diagonal. Note in particular that $\det(\Sigma^{-1/2} \Gamma \Sigma^{-1/2}) = \prod_{i=1}^d \mu_i$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \det[(1 - \lambda)\Sigma + \lambda\Gamma] &= \log \det[\Sigma^{1/2} \{(1 - \lambda)I + \lambda\Sigma^{-1/2} \Gamma \Sigma^{-1/2}\} \Sigma^{1/2}] \\ &= \log \det \Sigma + \log \det[(1 - \lambda)I + \lambda\Sigma^{-1/2} \Gamma \Sigma^{-1/2}] \\ &= \log \det \Sigma + \sum_{i=1}^d \log(1 - \lambda + \lambda\mu_i) \\ &\geq \log \det \Sigma + \sum_{i=1}^d (1 - \lambda) \underbrace{\log(1)}_{=0} + \lambda \log(\mu_i) := D \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the concavity of the log. Now, rewrite the rhs D as:

$$\begin{aligned} D &= (1 - \lambda) \log \det \Sigma + \lambda [\log \det \Sigma^{1/2} + \log \det \Sigma^{-1/2} \Gamma \Sigma^{-1/2} + \log \det \Sigma^{1/2}] \\ &= (1 - \lambda) \log \det \Sigma + \lambda \log \det \Gamma \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

3. Show that the derivative of the real valued function $\Sigma \mapsto \log \det(\Sigma)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is given by:

$$\partial_{\Sigma} \{\log \det(\Sigma)\} = \Sigma^{-1},$$

where, for all real valued function f defined on $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $\partial_{\Sigma} f(\Sigma)$ denotes the $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ matrix such that for all $1 \leq i, j \leq d$, $\{\partial_{\Sigma} f(\Sigma)\}_{i,j}$ is the partial derivative of f with respect to $\Sigma_{i,j}$.

Recall that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ we have $\det(\Sigma) = \sum_{k=1}^d \Sigma_{i,k} \Delta_{i,k}$ where $\Delta_{i,j}$ is the (i,j) -cofactor associated with Σ . For any fixed i, j , the component $\Sigma_{i,j}$ does not appear anywhere in the decomposition $\sum_{k=1}^d \Sigma_{i,k} \Delta_{i,k}$, except for the term $k = j$. This implies

$$\frac{\partial \log \det(\Sigma)}{\partial \Sigma_{i,j}} = \frac{1}{\det \Sigma} \frac{\partial \det(\Sigma)}{\partial \Sigma_{i,j}} = \frac{\Delta_{i,j}}{\det \Sigma}.$$

Recalling the identity $\Sigma [\Delta_{j,i}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} = (\det \Sigma) I_d$ so that $\Sigma^{-1} = [\Delta_{j,i}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}^{\top} / \det \Sigma$, we finally get

$$\left(\frac{\partial \log \det(\Sigma)}{\partial \Sigma_{i,j}} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} = (\Sigma^{-1})^{\top} = \Sigma^{-1},$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that Σ is symmetric.

4. Provide the maximum likelihood estimator of θ .

The gradient of $\log \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_{1:n}, Y_{1:n})$ with respect to θ is therefore given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \log \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_{1:n}, Y_{1:n})}{\partial \pi_1} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{Y_i=1} \right) \frac{1}{\pi_1} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{Y_i=-1} \right) \frac{1}{1 - \pi_1}, \\ \frac{\partial \log \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_{1:n}, Y_{1:n})}{\partial \mu_1} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{Y_i=1} (2\Sigma^{-1} X_i - 2\Sigma^{-1} \mu_1), \\ \frac{\partial \log \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_{1:n}, Y_{1:n})}{\partial \mu_{-1}} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{Y_i=-1} (2\Sigma^{-1} X_i - 2\Sigma^{-1} \mu_{-1}), \\ \frac{\partial \log \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_{1:n}, Y_{1:n})}{\partial \Sigma^{-1}} &= \frac{n}{2} \Sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{Y_i=1} (X_i - \mu_1)(X_i - \mu_1)^{\top} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{Y_i=-1} (X_i - \mu_{-1})(X_i - \mu_{-1})^{\top}. \end{aligned}$$

The maximum likelihood estimator is defined as the only parameter $\hat{\theta}^n$ such that all these equations are set to 0. For $k \in \{-1, 1\}$, it is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\pi}_k^n &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{Y_i=k}, \\ \hat{\mu}_k^n &= \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{Y_i=k}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{Y_i=k} X_i, \\ \hat{\Sigma}^n &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \hat{\mu}_{Y_i}^n)(X_i - \hat{\mu}_{Y_i}^n)^{\top}. \end{aligned}$$