

Ethics and Social Responsibility Case Study

Sylvia Yang

University of Maine at Presque Isle

Legal & Ethical Environment of Business

Dr.

June 8, 2023

Ethics and Social Responsibility Case Study

Introduction

Stakeholder analysis

Individual Stakeholders

John, an employee at Comp Core, discovered the unethical practices of Vail, Inc., which has put him in a moral dilemma. He is torn between his loyalty to the company and his ethical obligation to the environment and the affected communities. His actions demonstrate the concept of individual moral responsibility, where he feels compelled to act upon his ethical beliefs, even at the risk of his job security (Carroll, 1991). His reaction might be to continue pushing the issue internally or to leak the information to the public or an environmental organization. As a suggestion, Comp Core should establish a transparent and safe process for employees to voice ethical concerns, demonstrating a commitment to ethical leadership and corporate social responsibility (CSR). This could involve training on ethical decision-making and CSR, fostering a culture of open communication, and ensuring that ethical concerns are taken seriously (Palazzo & Scherer, 2006).

Susan, as John's superior, is also a significant individual stakeholder. Her decision to silence John reflects a management approach that prioritizes the protection of the company's reputation over ethical transparency. This decision places her in a difficult position as it could lead to internal conflict and damage her leadership reputation. Susan's reaction might be to continue suppressing the issue, demonstrating a defensive approach to ethical dilemmas. However, she might also reconsider her stance if the issue escalates, reflecting the dynamic nature of ethical decision-making in organizations (Carroll, 1991). As a suggestion, Comp Core should encourage ethical leadership among its managers. This could involve providing training on how to handle ethical dilemmas and fostering a culture of open communication. By doing so, Comp Core could ensure that its managers are equipped to handle ethical issues effectively and in a manner that aligns with the company's commitment to CSR (Palazzo & Scherer, 2006).

The CEO of Comp Core is another key individual stakeholder. He is aware of the unethical practices of Vail, Inc., but is also concerned about the financial implications of finding a new supplier. His reaction might be to continue ignoring the issue to protect the company's interests, demonstrating a prioritization of economic objectives over ethical obligations. However, he might also consider finding a new supplier or pressuring Vail, Inc. to change their practices, reflecting a potential shift towards ethical decision-making (Carroll, 1991). As a suggestion, Comp Core should consider the long-term implications of their decisions. They could look for sustainable suppliers or invest in sustainable methods of construction, demonstrating a commitment to CSR and corporate sustainability. They should also communicate openly with all

stakeholders about the situation, reflecting a commitment to transparency and stakeholder engagement (Palazzo & Scherer, 2006).

Corporate stakeholders

Comp Core, Inc., as a corporate entity, faces a significant ethical dilemma. The company's reputation, financial stability, and philanthropic mission are all at stake. The situation reflects the complex interplay between corporate profitability and social responsibility. The company might initially try to suppress the information to protect its reputation. However, if the information becomes public, it might be forced to cut ties with Vail, Inc. and find a new supplier, even if it means higher costs. This reaction demonstrates the tension between economic objectives and ethical obligations in corporate decision-making (Carroll, 1991). As a suggestion, Comp Core should consider the long-term implications of their decisions. They could look for sustainable suppliers or invest in sustainable methods of construction, demonstrating a commitment to CSR and corporate sustainability. They should also communicate openly with all stakeholders about the situation, reflecting a commitment to transparency and stakeholder engagement (Palazzo & Scherer, 2006).

Vail, Inc., as the supplier of wood to Comp Core, is a significant corporate stakeholder. The revelation of their environmentally damaging practices could lead to a loss of business, not only from Comp Core but also from other clients who might discontinue their association due to ethical concerns. Vail's reaction might be to deny the allegations or promise to change their practices. If the pressure continues, they might have to invest in more sustainable methods of obtaining wood to retain their clients. This situation reflects the increasing pressure on businesses to adopt sustainable practices in response to stakeholder concerns about environmental issues (Carroll, 1991). As a suggestion, Comp Core should hold Vail accountable and demand transparency. They could also consider helping Vail transition to more sustainable practices, demonstrating a commitment to corporate sustainability and responsible supply chain management (Palazzo & Scherer, 2006).

Subcontractors who depend on Comp Core for their business are also significant corporate stakeholders. If Comp Core's reputation is damaged or if it loses revenue, their business could be adversely affected. The subcontractors' reaction might be to feel insecure about their contracts with Comp Core. Some might start looking for other companies to work with, while others might pressure Comp Core to resolve the issue quickly. This situation reflects the interdependence between businesses in a supply chain and the potential impacts of ethical issues on business relationships (Carroll, 1991). As a suggestion, Comp Core should reassure the subcontractors and involve them in the decision-making process. They could also consider long-term contracts to provide stability, demonstrating a commitment to responsible business practices and stakeholder engagement (Palazzo & Scherer, 2006).

Finally, if Comp Core is a publicly-traded company, shareholders would be another group of corporate stakeholders. They would be affected by any changes in the company's financial performance or reputation. Negative publicity could lead to a drop in share prices, affecting their investments. Shareholders might react negatively to the

news, leading to a drop in share prices. They might demand a swift resolution to the issue and may push for more transparency and ethical business practices from Comp Core in the future. This reaction reflects the increasing importance of corporate social responsibility and ethical business practices in investor decision-making (Carroll, 1991). As a suggestion, Comp Core should communicate openly with its shareholders about the situation and its plans to address it. The company could also consider implementing more robust corporate social responsibility initiatives and reporting on these in its annual reports and other communications with shareholders. This would demonstrate a commitment to transparency and responsible business practices, which could help to restore investor confidence (Palazzo & Scherer, 2006).

In conclusion, the situation faced by Comp Core highlights the complex interplay between economic objectives and ethical obligations in business. It underscores the importance of corporate social responsibility, ethical leadership, and stakeholder engagement in managing such dilemmas. By taking these factors into account, Comp Core can navigate this situation in a way that balances its business objectives with its ethical obligations to its stakeholders.

Rules for ethical decision-making

Identifying ethical dilemmas often requires a systematic approach that involves several steps (Rest, 1986). In the context of Comp Core, the first step would be to recognize the existence of an ethical problem. This is a critical step as it sets the stage for the subsequent process. In this case, the ethical problem is the company's continued association with a supplier causing environmental damage and negatively impacting local communities. This issue raises questions about the company's responsibility towards the environment and the communities affected by its business operations. The recognition of this problem by John, an employee of Comp Core, is a testament to the importance of individual moral responsibility in identifying ethical issues in a corporate context (Carroll, 1991).

The next crucial step is gathering information, which involves collecting as much relevant data as possible about the problem (Rest, 1986). This could include the stakeholders involved, the context, and the potential impacts of different decisions. In the case of Comp Core, this would involve understanding the extent of the environmental damage caused by the supplier, the impact on the local communities, and the potential consequences of continuing or severing the relationship with the supplier. This step is crucial as it provides the basis for informed decision-making and ensures that all relevant factors are considered.

In this situation, the stakeholders include John, Susan, the CEO, other employees, the company itself, the supplier, the subcontractors, and the affected communities. Identifying these stakeholders and understanding their perspectives, interests, and rights is essential in ethical decision-making (Freeman, 1984). Each stakeholder has a different relationship with the company and is affected differently by the company's decisions. For instance, while the employees and subcontractors might be concerned about the financial stability of the company, the affected communities might be more concerned about the environmental impact of the company's operations.

Understanding these diverse perspectives can help the company make decisions that are fair and balanced.

The next step would be to consider all possible courses of action and their potential consequences for all stakeholders. This involves balancing the economic objectives of the company with its ethical obligations to its stakeholders and the environment (Carroll, 1991). In the case of Comp Core, this could involve continuing the relationship with the supplier, severing the relationship, or finding a new supplier. Each of these options has different implications for the company and its stakeholders. For instance, continuing the relationship might be financially beneficial for the company in the short term, but it could damage the company's reputation and have long-term financial costs. On the other hand, severing the relationship could lead to immediate financial costs but could enhance the company's reputation and lead to long-term financial benefits.

For John, based on an integrative ethical decision-making framework (Banks, Knapp, Lin, Sanders and Grand, 2022), his best course of action is to continue voicing his concerns about the supplier's practices. He could gather more evidence to support his claims and present this to the management. If the management continues to ignore the issue, John could consider other options, such as reporting the issue to an environmental organization or the media (Rest, 1986). This would involve a significant risk, as it could lead to retaliation from the company or damage his professional relationships. However, it could also lead to positive change by bringing the issue to the attention of a wider audience and putting pressure on the company to address the issue.

For Susan, she should reconsider her decision to silence John. Recognizing that John's concerns are valid and that addressing them is in the best interest of the company is crucial (Freeman, 1984). She could facilitate a discussion between John and the management to find a solution to the problem. This would involve acknowledging the validity of John's concerns and advocating for a transparent and open discussion about the issue. It would also involve managing the potential conflict between John and the management and ensuring that the discussion is conducted in a respectful and constructive manner.

The CEO should take John's concerns seriously and consider the long-term implications of continuing to use the supplier. Initiating an investigation into the supplier's practices and exploring alternatives could be beneficial. This would involve a careful assessment of the potential financial costs and benefits of each option, as well as the potential impacts on the company's reputation and relationships with its stakeholders (Carroll, 1991). Open communication with all stakeholders about the situation and the company's response is also important. This could involve issuing a public statement about the issue, including information about the issue in the company's annual report, or holding town hall meetings to discuss the issue with employees. This would demonstrate the company's commitment to transparency and accountability and could help to restore trust among its stakeholders (Palazzo & Scherer, 2006).

In conclusion, ethical decision-making involves a careful balance between economic objectives and ethical obligations. It requires a systematic approach that

considers the perspectives of all stakeholders and the potential impacts of different decisions (Rest, 1986; Freeman, 1984; Carroll, 1991). By following this approach, Comp Core can navigate this ethical dilemma in a way that is both ethically sound and aligned with its business objectives.

Value and ROI through sustainability efforts

Applying the concept of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and the triple bottom line approach, I would advise Comp Core to consider the broader impacts of its business operations on society and the environment. CSR suggests that businesses have a responsibility to contribute positively to society and the environment, beyond their economic obligations (Carroll, 1991). The triple bottom line approach emphasizes three dimensions of performance: social, environmental, and financial (Elkington, 1999).

In terms of business sustainability and ROI, research has shown that companies that implement CSR practices and consider the triple bottom line often experience improved financial performance, increased customer loyalty, and enhanced reputation (Luo & Bhattacharya, 2006). Therefore, Comp Core should consider the long-term benefits of adopting more sustainable business practices, even if it involves short-term costs.

As it decides what to do about Vail, Inc., Comp Core should consider its ethical obligations to all its stakeholders, including the local communities affected by Vail's practices. It should also consider the potential impacts on its reputation and customer relationships. If Comp Core continues to associate with a company causing environmental damage, it could harm its reputation and customer relationships, which could ultimately affect its ROI. Therefore, it might be in Comp Core's best interest to find a more sustainable supplier or to pressure Vail to change its practices.

In terms of managing employees who disobey their managers, Comp Core should foster a culture of open communication and ethical decision-making. Employees should feel comfortable voicing their concerns and should not be punished for doing so. Instead, these concerns should be taken seriously and addressed appropriately. This could involve providing training on ethical decision-making and establishing a code of conduct that outlines the company's ethical standards and expectations (Treviño & Nelson, 2021).

To create a culture of ethical business practices, Comp Core could start by clearly communicating its commitment to ethics and CSR. This could involve developing a mission statement that includes a commitment to ethical business practices and CSR, and integrating these principles into all aspects of the company's operations. Comp Core could also provide training on ethics and CSR, and establish a code of conduct that outlines the company's ethical standards and expectations. To foster this attitude in its employees, Comp Core could recognize and reward ethical behavior, and ensure that unethical behavior is not tolerated (Treviño & Nelson, 2021).

To improve its civic identity outside the organization, Comp Core could engage in community service activities, sponsor local events, or donate to local charities. These actions could help to improve the company's reputation and build strong relationships with the community. They could also provide benefits to individuals or communities,

such as providing employment opportunities, supporting local businesses, or contributing to community development (Porter & Kramer, 2002).

Civic Reflection and Corporate Social Responsibility

The importance of an organization's continued involvement in matters of public concern and social responsibility cannot be overstated. In the contemporary business environment, organizations are not isolated entities but are part of a larger socio-economic system. Their actions and decisions have far-reaching impacts on various stakeholders, including the community, environment, and society at large. Therefore, organizations have a moral and ethical obligation to consider the broader impacts of their operations and to contribute positively to society and the environment. This is the essence of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) (Fatima & Elbanna, 2023).

Moreover, involvement in public concern and social responsibility can also bring significant benefits to organizations. Research has shown that CSR can enhance an organization's reputation, increase customer loyalty, and even improve financial performance (Carroll, 2021). Therefore, CSR is not just about doing the right thing; it can also be a strategic business decision that contributes to an organization's long-term success.

Consider a situation where I was part of a team at a local non-profit organization that was working on a project to improve access to clean water in a disadvantaged community. This experience involved a range of activities, including fundraising, community outreach, project planning, and implementation.

Through this experience, I learned about the significant challenges that disadvantaged communities face in accessing basic necessities like clean water. I also learned about the potential solutions to these challenges and the role that individuals and organizations can play in implementing these solutions. This experience gave me a deeper understanding of the social issues affecting our society and the importance of taking action to address these issues.

In terms of my civic identity, this experience reinforced my belief in the importance of active participation in community and social issues. I realized that I could make a significant difference in the community through my actions and that I had a responsibility to use my skills and resources to contribute positively to society. This sense of responsibility and the satisfaction I derived from making a positive impact on the community became integral parts of my civic identity. In the context of CSR, this experience highlighted the potential role of organizations in addressing social issues. If a small team at a non-profit organization could make a significant difference in a disadvantaged community, imagine the impact that a large organization with significant resources could make. This reinforced my belief in the importance of CSR and the potential benefits it can bring to society.

Conclusion

References

- Banks, G. C., Knapp, D. J., Lin, L., Sanders, C. S., & Grand, J. A. (2022). Ethical decision making in the 21st century: A useful framework for industrial-organizational psychologists. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 15(2), 220–235. <https://doi.org/10.1017/iop.2021.143>
- Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. *Business Horizons*, 34(4), 39-48. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813\(91\)90005-g](https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813(91)90005-g)
- Palazzo, G., & Scherer, A. G. (2006). Corporate legitimacy as deliberation: A communicative framework. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 66(1), 71-88. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-006-9044-2>
- Rest, J. R. (1986). *Moral development: Advances in research and theory*. Praeger.

- Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Pitman.
- Trevino, L. K., & Nelson, K. A. (2021). *Managing business ethics: Straight talk about how to do it right*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2002). The competitive advantage of corporate philanthropy. *Harvard Business Review*, 80(12), 56-68.
- Luo, X., & Bhattacharya, C. B. (2006). Corporate social responsibility, customer satisfaction, and market value. *Journal of Marketing*, 70(4), 1-18.
<https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.70.4.1>
- Elkington, J., & Rowlands, I. H. (1999). Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business. *Alternatives Journal*, 25(4), 42.
- Carroll, A. B. (2021). Corporate social responsibility: Perspectives on the CSR construct's development and future. *Business & Society*, 60(6), 1103–1128.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/00076503211001765>
- Fatima, T., & Elbanna, S. (2023). Corporate social responsibility (CSR) implementation: A review and a research agenda towards an integrative framework. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 183, 105–121.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-022-05047-8>