

# Radiant object integrity

John D.H. Pritchard \*

December 18, 2020

## Abstract

Radiant object theory [1], topology [5], applications [2], continuity [3], and security [4] opened a perspective on social peer trust networks. This effort is a continuation of that examination.

## 1 Character

The integrity of actor or network might be qualified as *intentional* or *extentional*. An intentional causality is a success of integrity. An extentional causality is a failure of integrity. The distinction is inclusive of both physical and metaphysical integrity.

The extentional violation of the metaphysical integrity of an *individual* would be psychological. The extentional violation of the physical integrity of an *actor* would be subterfuge.

A simple and effective comprehension of logical integrity serves the nano-economics of link integrity [4], and thereby the macroscope of network integrity.

## References

- [1] J. Pritchard. Introducing radiant object theory. Technical Report 20201212, Syntelos, December 2020.
- [2] J. Pritchard. Radiant object applications. Technical Report 20201214, Syntelos, December 2020.
- [3] J. Pritchard. Radiant object continuity. Technical Report 20201215, Syntelos, December 2020.
- [4] J. Pritchard. Radiant object security. Technical Report 20201217, Syntelos, December 2020.
- [5] J. Pritchard. Radiant object topology. Technical Report 20201213, Syntelos, December 2020.

---

\*@syntelos, logicalexistentialism@gmail.com