**Team X Peer Review Report**

**Assignment # 3 – Server-Side User Input Validation**

**Report Date:** Tuesday, September 19, 2017  
**Report Author:** Stephane Mireault

**Prototype Defects and Corrections**

**Prototype author:** Komal Kadukar

The table below lists any defects found in the individual submission prototype according to the assignment rubric. The weight corresponding to each criteria that was deficient is subtracted from the total possible marks.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *Defects found and corrected by the Peer Review Team.* | | |
| **Criteria** | **Weight** | **Details** |
| Data Validation | 2 | Item quantity should be greater than 0 |
|  | 2 | Total deducted for defects. |
|  | 8 | Prototype grade out of 10. |

**Additional Comments:**

**Prototype author:**Stephane Mireault

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | | |
| **Criteria** | **Weight** | **Details** |
| Data Validation | 2 | Credit card number must be 10 digit |
|  | 2 | Total deducted for defects. |
|  | 8 | Prototype grade out of 10. |

**Additional Comments:**

**Prototype author:**Manvir Dhillon

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | | |
| **Criteria** | **Weight** | **Details** |
|  |  |  |
|  | 0 | Total deducted for defects. |
|  | 10 | Prototype grade out of 10. |

**Additional Comments:**

**Participation Marks**

This is the team's evaluation of the prototype author’s participation in the peer review of everyone’s work on a scale of 0 to 10.   
*(where 0 = did not participate, and 10 = participated fully)*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Member Participation | |
| **Mark** | **Team Member** |
| 10 | Stephane Mireault |
| 10 | Komal Kadukar |
| 10 | Manvir Dhillon |

**Students can participate in the peer review process in a number of ways:**

* Evaluate prototype against assignment specifications and rubric
* Apply corrections to prototype component(s)
* Make improvements to the final team submission
* Upload and submit corrected prototype component(s)
* Author the Peer Review Reports