**Team X Peer Review Report**

**Assignment # 4 – Blog Assignment**

**Report Date:** Wednesday, October 4, 2017  
**Report Author:** Stephane Mireault

**Prototype Defects and Corrections**

**Prototype author:** Stephane Mireault

The table below lists any defects found in the individual submission prototype according to the assignment rubric. The weight corresponding to each criteria that was deficient is subtracted from the total possible marks.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *Defects found and corrected by the Peer Review Team.* | | |
| **Criteria** | **Weight** | **Details** |
|  |  |  |
|  | 0 | Total deducted for defects. |
|  | 10 | Prototype grade out of 10. |

**Additional Comments:**

**Prototype author:**Komal Kadukar

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | | |
| **Criteria** | **Weight** | **Details** |
|  |  |  |
|  | 0 | Total deducted for defects. |
|  | 10 | Prototype grade out of 10. |

**Additional Comments:**

**Prototype author:**Manvir Dhillon

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | | |
| **Criteria** | **Weight** | **Details** |
|  |  |  |
|  | 0 | Total deducted for defects. |
|  | 10 | Prototype grade out of 10. |

**Additional Comments:**

**Participation Marks**

This is the team's evaluation of the prototype author’s participation in the peer review of everyone’s work on a scale of 0 to 10.   
*(where 0 = did not participate, and 10 = participated fully)*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Member Participation | |
| **Mark** | **Team Member** |
| 10 | Stephane Mireault |
| 10 | Komal Kadukar |
| 10 | Manvir Dhillon |

**Students can participate in the peer review process in a number of ways:**

* Evaluate prototype against assignment specifications and rubric
* Apply corrections to prototype component(s)
* Make improvements to the final team submission
* Upload and submit corrected prototype component(s)
* Author the Peer Review Reports