**Team X Peer Review Report**

**Assignment # 0 - Course Intro**

**Report Date:** Friday, September 4, 2015  
**Report Author:** Dusty Miller  
**Prototype author:**Buster Laroux (complete one per team member member)

**Prototype Defects and Corrections**

The table below lists any defects found in the individual submission prototype according to the assignment rubric. The weight corresponding to each criteria that was deficient is subtracted from the total possible marks.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *Defects found and corrected by the Peer Review Team.* | | |
| **Criteria** | **Weight** | **Details** |
| HTML | 2 | A couple img elements were missing the alt attribute. |
|  | 2 | Total deducted for defects. |
|  | 8 | Prototype grade out of 10. |

**Additional Comments:**

This assignment was exceptionally well done, except for one small mistake, which is corrected in our team submission. Cam wasn’t there when we did our peer review and didn’t let us know.

**Participation Marks**

This is the team's evaluation of the prototype author’s participation in the peer review of everyone’s work on a scale of 0 to 10.   
*(where 0 = did not participate, and 10 = participated fully)*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Member Participation | |
| **Mark** | **Team Member** |
| 10 | Buster Laroux |

**Students can participate in the peer review process in a number of ways:**

* Evaluate prototype against assignment specifications and rubric
* Apply corrections to prototype component(s)
* Make improvements to the final team submission
* Upload and submit corrected prototype component(s)
* Author the Peer Review Reports