## MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

6.436J/15.085J Fall 2020 Problem Set 1 due Wednesday 9/9/2020

## **Readings:**

- (a) Notes from Lecture 1.
- (b) Handout on background material on sets and real analysis (Recitation 1).

## **Supplementary readings:**

[C], Sections 1.1-1.4.

[GS], Sections 1.1-1.3.

[W], Sections 1.0-1.5, 1.9.

**Exercise 1.** Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of positive integers.

- (a) A function  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \{0,1\}$  is said to be *eventually zero* if there exists some N such that f(n) = 0, for all n > N. Show that the set of eventually zero functions is countable.
- (b) Does the result from part (a) remain valid if we consider rational-valued eventually zero functions  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$ ?
- (c) Does the result from part (a) remain valid if we consider real-valued eventually zero functions  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ ?
- (d) A function  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$  is said to be *eventually constant* if there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that f(n) = q, for all n > N. Are the eventually constant functions also countable?

**Exercise 2.** Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be real sequences that converge to x and y, respectively. Provide a formal proof of the fact that  $x_n + y_n$  converges to x + y.

**Exercise 3.** We are given a function  $f:A\times B\to \mathbb{R}$ , where A and B are nonempty sets.

(a) Assuming that the sets A and B are finite, show that

$$\max_{x \in A} \min_{y \in B} f(x, y) \le \min_{y \in B} \max_{x \in A} f(x, y).$$

(b) For general nonempty sets (not necessarily finite), show that

$$\sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} f(x, y) \le \inf_{y \in B} \sup_{x \in A} f(x, y).$$

**Exercise 4.** A probabilistic experiment involves an infinite sequence of trials. For k = 1, 2, ..., let  $A_k$  be the event that the kth trial was a success. Write down a set-theoretic expression that describes the following event:

B: For every k there exists an  $\ell$  such that trials  $k\ell$  and  $k\ell^2$  were both successes.

*Note*: A "set theoretic expression" is an expression like  $\bigcup_{k>5} \bigcap_{\ell < k} A_{k+\ell}$ .

**Exercise 5.** Let  $f_n, f, g: [0,1] \to [0,1]$  and  $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$ . Derive the following set theoretic expressions:

(a) Show that

$${x \in [0,1] \mid \sup_{n} f_n(x) \le a} = \bigcap_{n} {x \in [0,1] \mid f_n(x) \le a},$$

and use this to express  $\{x \in [0,1] \mid \sup_n f_n(x) < a\}$  as a countable combination (countable unions, countable intersections and complements) of sets of the form  $\{x \in [0,1] \mid f_n(x) \leq b\}$ .

- (b) Express  $\{x \in [0,1] \mid f(x) > g(x)\}$  as a countable combination of sets of the form  $\{x \in [0,1] \mid f(x) > c\}$  and  $\{x \in [0,1] \mid g(x) < d\}$ .
- (c) Express  $\{x \in [0,1] \mid \limsup_n f_n(x) \le c\}$  as a countable combination of sets of the form  $\{x \in [0,1] \mid f_n(x) \le c\}$ .
- (d) Express  $\{x \in [0,1] \mid \lim_n f_n(x) \text{ exists} \}$  as a countable combination of sets of the form  $\{x \in [0,1] \mid f_n(x) < c\}$ ,  $\{x \in [0,1] \mid f_n(x) > c\}$ , etc. (Hint: think of  $\{x \in [0,1] \mid \limsup_n f_n(x) > \liminf_n f_n(x)\}$ ).

**Exercise 6.** (a) Give an example of set  $\Omega$  with two  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$  is NOT a  $\sigma$ -algebra. *Hint:* Consider  $\Omega = \{a, b, c\}$ .

- (b) Let  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  be a set. Let  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset ...$  be an increasing sequence of  $\sigma$ -algebras. Show that  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i$  is an algebra.
- (c) Consider the same situation as in part (b). Give an example where  $\mathcal{F}$  is not a  $\sigma$ -algebra. *Hint*: Consider  $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \cdots, \{n\}\})$ . (Recall that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  is the smallest sigma algebra containing the collection of sets  $\mathcal{C}$ .) Then consider the set of even numbers for the union.

**Remark:** In fact a stronger statement is true: If  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_2 \subsetneq ...$  is a *strictly increasing* sequence of  $\sigma$ -algebras then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i$  is NOT a  $\sigma$  algebra.

## Exercise 7. Optional — not to be graded

This exercise develops an example that is meant to illustrate the following: if we work with fields instead of  $\sigma$ -fields, and if we only require finite additivity, then countable additivity will not be an automatic consequence, and the model may not correspond to any intuitive notion of probabilities.

Let  $\Omega=\mathbb{N}$  (the positive integers), and let  $\mathcal{F}_0$  be the collection of subsets of  $\Omega$  that either have finite cardinality or their complement has finite cardinality. For any  $A\in\mathcal{F}_0$ , let  $\mathbb{P}(A)=0$  if A is finite, and  $\mathbb{P}(A)=1$  if  $A^C$  is finite.

- (a) Show that  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is a field but not a  $\sigma$ -field.
- (b) Show that  $\mathbb{P}$  is finitely additive on  $\mathcal{F}_0$ ; that is, if  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}_0$ , and A, B are disjoint, then  $\mathbb{P}(A \cup B) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B)$ .
- (c) Show that  $\mathbb{P}$  is not countably additive on  $\mathcal{F}_0$ ; that is, construct a sequence of disjoint sets  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_0$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}_0$  and  $\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \neq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(A_i\right)$ .
- (d) Construct a decreasing sequence of sets  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_0$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \emptyset$  for which  $\lim_{i \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i) \neq 0$ .