Chapter 11, Problem 8: Idiomatic kept

A.
$$\begin{bmatrix} part-lxm \\ SYN & \begin{bmatrix} verb \\ FORM & pass \\ AGR & \Box \end{bmatrix} \\ VAL & \begin{bmatrix} SPR & \langle [AGR \ \Box] & \rangle \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\left\langle \text{kept}, ARG-ST & \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} NP \\ FORM & tabs \end{bmatrix}, PP[FORM \text{ on}]_j, (PP[FORM \text{ by}]_i) \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} INDEX & s \\ MODE & prop \\ SEM & \begin{bmatrix} RELN & \text{observe} \\ SIT & s \\ OBSERVER & i \\ OBSERVED & j \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

B. In these sentences, the SPR value of kept is unified with the SPR value of were (in (i)) and was (in (ii)). This is because the lexical entry for be states that the first element of its ARG-ST is unified with the SPR value of the second element of its ARG-ST. By the ARP, this means that forms of be will have SPR values identical to the SPR values of their complements. The lexical entry for kept given in part A states that its first argument is [FORM tabs] – that is, that its SPR value must be headed by the word tabs. Since that SPR value must also be the SPR value of the form of be preceding kept, (i) is licensed, but (ii) is not. In other words, tabs in (i) satisfies the SPR requirements of were (inherited from kept), but advantage in (ii) does not satisfy the SPR requirement of was (likewise inherited from kept).