**Grading rubric for final project presentations**

Spatial Ecology

WILD 7970

36/40 points

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **30 points** | **Content and organization** | |
|  |  | |
| 4/5 |  | Introduction outlining the purpose and goals of the presentation |
|  |  | It wasn’t terribly clear why you wanted to quantify landscape metrics based on your landcover classifications. |
|  |  |  |
| 5/5 |  | Clear explanation of the research question’s scientific background |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 4/6 |  | Adequate description of methods, particularly of tools/principles learned in class |
|  |  | You sort of brushed over the concepts of spatial extrapolation from fitted models to the region, and why it’s important to quantify spatial composition/structure of the landscape. These were important topics in class. |
|  |  |  |
| 5/6 |  | Presentation of results that demonstrate your comprehension of tools/principles from class |
|  |  | How you validated your model was not clear (i.e., where the literal validation data came from) |
|  |  |  |
| 5/5 |  | Analysis and interpretation of your findings in the context of your question and relevant literature |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 3/3 |  | Effective conclusion of take-home message |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| **10 points** | **Clarity and delivery** | |
|  |  | |
| 3/3 |  | Use of graphics and figures that enhance understanding |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 2/2 |  | Minimal text |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 1/1 |  | Appropriate pace of delivery (roughly hits the 12-minute mark) |
|  |  | You were a bit hurried, but we’ll excuse it given the time crunch with the end of class |
|  |  |  |
| 2/2 |  | Engages with audience to maintain interest (i.e., not reading off the slides or paper) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 2/2 |  | Answers questions effectively |