## **EAS 595 Project**

## You can work in a group of 2

In an experiment involving 1000 participants, we recorded two different measurement ( $F_1$  and  $F_2$ ) while participants performed 5 different tasks ( $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $\cdots$   $C_5$ ). The two measurements are independent and for each class they can be considered to have a normal distribution as follow:

$$P(F_1|C_i) = N(m_{1i}, \sigma_{1i}^2)$$
 and  $P(F_2|C_i) = N(m_{2i}, \sigma_{2i}^2)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots 5$ 

where,  $m_{1i}$ ,  $\sigma_{1i}^2$  are the mean and variance of  $F_1$  for the i<sup>th</sup> class. Similarly,  $m_{2i}$ ,  $\sigma_{2i}^2$  are the mean and variance of  $F_2$  for the i<sup>th</sup> class.

The goal of this project is to construct a classifier such that for any given values of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , it can predict the performed task  $(C_1, C_2, \cdots C_5)$ . Let's assume that the classifier calculate the probability of each class given the measurement data, and output the most probable class as the predicted class.

Predicted Class = 
$$argmax[P(C_i|X)]$$
,  $i = 1,2,\dots 5$ 


The file 'data.m' contains measurements F1 and F2 that are both matrices with the size of 1000x5. Each column contains the information of one of the subjects and each row corresponds to one of the tasks (1<sup>st</sup> row: 1<sup>st</sup> task, 2<sup>nd</sup> row: 2<sup>nd</sup> task, etc.)

To find the best classifier, perform the following tasks:

- Step 1.Training: Use the data of the first 100 subjects to estimate  $m_{1i}$ ,  $\sigma_{1i}^2$  and  $m_{2i}$ ,  $\sigma_{2i}^2$
- Step 2.1.Testing: Assume that  $X = F_1$ . Using the Bayes' theorem, calculate the probability of each class for data of the remaining subjects (columns 101-1000 of  $F_1$ ) and consequently predict the class for each data point. Note that each subject performed 5 different tasks so you need to predict the class of 4500 data points.
- Step 2.2.Calculating the accuracy of the classifier: You need to check the percentage of the data whose class are correctly predicted. The true class is the row number of the data. So if you classify  $F_1(3,131)$  as class 3, it is correctly classified otherwise you have wrongly predict the class.

Classification accuracy = correct predictions / total predictions (which is 4500 in this case) Error rate = incorrect predictions / total predictions

• Step 3. Standard Normal (Z-Score): Assume  $F_1$  to be a subjective measure. In this case the mean value and the range of data reported by one subject will not be consistent with another subject. In other to remove the effect of individual differences, you have to normalize the data of each subject using the standard normal formulation (removing the mean and dividing by standard deviation). Calculate  $Z_1$  (the standard normal of  $F_1$ ) and plot the distribution of the data using  $Z_1$  and  $F_2$ , and compare it to the distribution in  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  shown on right.



- Step 4. Repeat 2.1 and 2.2 for the following cases:
  - Case 2:  $X = Z_1$  (Note for this case you need to repeat the training step as well)
  - o Case 3:  $X = F_2$
  - $\circ$  Case 4:  $X = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ F_2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Note that this is a multivariate normal distribution and you need to use the independence assumption.
- Step 5. Compare the classification rate of the four cases.
- **Step 6.** Write a report in IEEE format (maximum 2 pages) summarizing and discussing the results. Particularly, identify which case works the best and why.

## Note:

- You need to submit your code and report on UBlearn
- You can find the IEEE template at the following link https://www.ieee.org/conferences/publishing/templates.html