

Written Assignment 1 — Solutions

CS 440 September 18, 2025

Name: Yat Long Szeto BU ID: 90479281

Question 1: Shortest Path Composition

Proof

$p^* = p_1 \cdot p_2$	split $a \rightarrow b$ path at c
$\text{cost}(p^*) = \text{cost}(p_1) + \text{cost}(p_2)$	path cost additivity
$\exists \tilde{p}_1 : \text{cost}(\tilde{p}_1) < \text{cost}(p_1)$	assume p_1 not shortest
$\text{cost}(\tilde{p}_1 \cdot p_2) = \text{cost}(\tilde{p}_1) + \text{cost}(p_2)$	concatenate paths
$< \text{cost}(p_1) + \text{cost}(p_2)$	by assumption
$= \text{cost}(p^*)$	substitution

This contradicts the optimality of p^* . Therefore p_1 must be a shortest $a \rightarrow c$ path and there is no such \tilde{p}_1 exists. WLOG, replace the prefix p_1 by the suffix p_2 and c by b . The same reasoning shows that if p_2 were not shortest $c \rightarrow b$, then replacing it with a shorter path would also contradict the optimality of p^* . Hence p_2 is a shortest $c \rightarrow b$ path as well.

Question 2: Iterative Deepening Returns Shortest Paths

Proof

$\ell(v) = \min\{\text{length}(P) : P \text{ is an } s \rightarrow v \text{ path}\}$	define shortest distance
When IDDFS, $d < \ell(v) \Rightarrow v$ not found	too shallow
$d = \ell(v) \Rightarrow v$ reachable	path length fits
IDDFS finishes depth $d = \ell(v)$ before $d + 1$	order of search
v first discovered = at depth $\ell(v)$	cannot appear earlier

Therefore, the first time v is returned by Iterative Deepening is exactly at depth $\ell(v)$, and the path has the minimum number of edges.

Question 3: Diameter Bound

Proof

Fix $u, v \in V, u \neq v$.	setup
$W = (v_0, \dots, v_k), v_0 = u, v_k = v$	a $u \rightarrow v$ walk of minimum length
$\exists i < j : v_i = v_j \Rightarrow W' = (v_0, \dots, v_i, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_k)$	delete cycle
$\text{len}(W') = k - (j - i) < k = \text{len}(W)$	strictly shorter
$\Rightarrow \neg \exists i < j : v_i = v_j$	contradiction to minimality
$\Rightarrow W$ is simple	no repetitions
Let $P = W, P = m$ (edges) $\Rightarrow P$ visits $m + 1$ distinct vertices	path has one more vertex
$m + 1 \leq V \Rightarrow m \leq V - 1$	counting bound
$\text{dist}(u, v) = P \leq V - 1$	shortest path equals $ P $
$\text{diam}(G) = \max_{u \neq v} \text{dist}(u, v) \leq V - 1$	take max

Question 4: Dijkstra's Algorithm and Shortest Paths

Notation. For vertices $u, v \in V$:

$$\delta(u, v) = \min\{\text{cost}(P) : P \text{ is a path from } u \text{ to } v\}$$

denotes the true shortest-path cost. Dijkstra maintains tentative labels $d[v]$ which are upper bounds on $\delta(s, v)$. At each step, the algorithm extracts the vertex v with minimal $d[v]$ among unvisited vertices.

Answer

$\forall v : d[v] \geq \delta(s, v)$	tentative labels are upper bounds
Suppose $d[v] > \delta(s, v)$	assume contradiction
$\exists P : s = x_0, \dots, x_k = v, \text{cost}(P) = \delta(s, v)$	true shortest path
Let x_j = first vertex of P not yet settled	prefix in settled set S
$x_{j-1} \in S : d[x_{j-1}] = \delta(s, x_{j-1})$	inductive assumption
$d[x_j] \leq d[x_{j-1}] + w(x_{j-1}, x_j)$	relaxation
$= \delta(s, x_{j-1}) + w(x_{j-1}, x_j)$	
$= \delta(s, x_j)$	shortest-path property
$\Rightarrow d[x_j] \leq \delta(s, x_j) < \delta(s, v) < d[v]$	contradiction

Thus $d[v] = \delta(s, v)$ when v is extracted. By induction over the extraction steps, every vertex is settled with its true shortest-path distance.

Conclusion. When Dijkstra returns a path from s to v , it is guaranteed to be the shortest path because: 1. vertices are processed in nondecreasing order of distance, 2. once extracted, $d[v] = \delta(s, v)$, 3. no unvisited vertex can have a smaller true distance.