

Test of the WEASEL algorithm

Szymon Górski

16 January 2022

Introduction

The test is to determine if the implemented WEASEL algorithm works in the same way as the original implementation. The "Beef" data set from 2015 UCR Time Series Classification Archive was chosen. Unfortunately, due to R language and environment limitations it was impossible to perform the full test of the data set. The issue is probably caused by prolonged time of modification and reassignment of large objects in R. Therefore, the test was limited to a smaller number of windows' lengths.

The code against which the new implementation was checked was the Python implementation shared by the algorithm designer (Patrick Schäfer) on his GitHub account. To make the comparison possible, the following modifications to the original code were introduced:

- The maximal window length to check was lowered from 249 to 19 (files `WEASELClassifier.py`, `WEASEL.py`),
- A piece of code responsible for printing calculated values was added in function `predict` (file `WEASELClassifier.py`),
- The randomization of trained samples were turned off to achieve identical results with both programs (file `WEASELClassifier.py`):

```
# random.shuffle(perm)
perm = [11, 13, 18, 9, 28, 29, 5, 15, 3, 6, 26, 25, 4, 2,
        22, 1, 21, 0, 17, 7, 10, 20, 27, 23, 8, 19, 16, 24, 14, 12]
```

The same modifications were introduced in R implementation:

```
# perm <- sample(perm)
perm = c(12, 14, 19, 10, 29, 30, 6, 16, 4, 7, 27, 26, 5, 3, 23, 2,
        22, 1, 18, 8, 11, 21, 28, 24, 9, 20, 17, 25, 15, 13)
```

Evaluation

Separated Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) coefficients with respect to their position and labels of independent windows:

<pre>[Python: LINE 284] ORDER LINE: [(-2.82, 2), (-2.81, 1), (-2.81, 2), (-2.81, 3), (-2.81, 3), (-2.81, 3), (-2.81, 5), (-2.81, 5), (-2.8, 2), (-2.8, 2), (-2.79, 1), (-2.79, 4), (-2.79, 5), (-2.79, 5), (-2.78, 1), (-2.78, 3), (-2.77, 2), (-2.77, 2), (-2.77, 4), (-2.77, 4), (-2.76, 1), (-2.76, 1), (-2.76, 2), (-2.75, 1), (-2.75, 3), (-2.74, 1), (-2.74, 3), (-2.74, 3), (-2.74, 3), (-2.74, 4), (-2.73, 1), (-2.73, 1), (-2.73, 3), (-2.73, 5), (-2.72, 1), (-2.72, 2), (-2.72, 5), (-2.72, 5), (-2.71, 1), (-2.71, 2), (-2.71, 3), (-2.71, 3), (-2.71, 4), (-2.7, 2), (-2.7, 5), (-2.69, 3), (-2.68, 2), (-2.68, 2), (-2.68, 5), (-2.67, 5), (-2.66, 1), (-2.66, 1), (-2.66, 2), (-2.66, 2), (-2.66, 3), (-2.66, 5), (-2.65, 1), (-2.65, 1), (-2.65, 4), (-2.65, 4), (-2.65, 5), (-2.65, 5), (-2.65, 5), (-2.64, 4), (-2.64, 5), (-2.64, 5), (-2.63, 1), (-2.63, 2), (-2.63, 4), (-2.63, 4), (-2.62, 1), (-2.62, 2), ...</pre>	<pre>[R: LINE 210] \$wordModel\$signature[[1]] \$orderLine \$wordModel\$signature[[1]] \$orderLine[[1]] \$wordModel\$signature[[1]] \$orderLine[[1]][[1]] \$wordModel\$signature[[1]] \$orderLine[[1]][[1]]\$value [1] -2.82 \$wordModel\$signature[[1]] \$orderLine[[1]][[1]]\$label [1] 2 \$wordModel\$signature[[1]] \$orderLine[[1]][[2]] \$wordModel\$signature[[1]] \$orderLine[[1]][[2]]\$value [1] -2.81 \$wordModel\$signature[[1]] \$orderLine[[1]][[2]]\$label [1] 1 \$wordModel\$signature[[1]] \$orderLine[[1]][[3]] \$wordModel\$signature[[1]] \$orderLine[[1]][[3]]\$value [1] -2.81 \$wordModel\$signature[[1]] \$orderLine[[1]][[3]]\$label [1] 2 ...</pre>
--	--

Values most efficiently separating the set of independent windows with respect to information gain (IG), defining letters:

[Python: LINE 317]	[R: LINE 112,540]
SPLIT POINTS:	\$wordModel\$signature[[1]]
[702, 1404, 2106]	\$splitPoints
	[1] 703 1405 2107
[564, 1128, 1692]	
	[LINE 225,536]
[468, 936, 1404]	\$wordModel\$signature[[2]]
	\$splitPoints
[402, 804, 1206]	[1] 565 1129 1693
[348, 696, 1044]	[LINE 338,062]
	\$wordModel\$signature[[3]]
[312, 624, 936]	\$splitPoints
	[1] 469 937 1405
[282, 564, 846]	
	[LINE 450,830]
[252, 504, 756]	\$wordModel\$signature[[4]]
	\$splitPoints
[234, 468, 702]	[1] 403 805 1207
[216, 432, 648]	[LINE 562,401]
	\$wordModel\$signature[[5]]
[198, 396, 594]	\$splitPoints
	[1] 349 697 1045
[186, 372, 558]	
	[LINE 674,929]
[174, 348, 522]	\$wordModel\$signature[[6]]
	\$splitPoints
[162, 324, 486]	[1] 313 625 937
[156, 312, 468]	[LINE 787,941]
	\$wordModel\$signature[[7]]
[144, 288, 432]	\$splitPoints
	[1] 283 565 847
	[LINE 899,035]
	\$wordModel\$signature[[8]]
	\$splitPoints
	[1] 253 505 757
	...

Separation of values of independent windows with respect to split points and Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) coefficients:

[Python: LINE 1]

BINS:

	0	1	2	3
0 -inf	-2.25	-2.03	2.13	
1 -inf	2.67	2.78	2.78	
2 -inf	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3 -inf	0.00	0.00	0.00	

	0	1	2	3
0 -inf	1.73	1.75	1.75	
1 -inf	-3.38	-3.35	3.36	
2 -inf	-3.18	-3.01	3.13	
3 -inf	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4 -inf	0.00	0.00	0.00	

	0	1	2	3
0 -inf	-3.65	-3.40	3.67	
1 -inf	-4.06	-3.72	3.70	
2 -inf	-1.86	-1.86	1.98	
3 -inf	-1.10	1.32	1.32	
4 -inf	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5 -inf	0.00	0.00	0.00	

	0	1	2	3
0 -inf	-0.63	0.01	0.01	
1 -inf	-4.75	-4.58	4.49	
2 -inf	-2.39	-2.30	2.58	
3 -inf	1.92	3.08	3.09	
4 -inf	-2.78	-2.21	2.68	
5 -inf	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6 -inf	0.00	0.00	0.00	

	0	1	2	3
0 -inf	-4.83	-4.56	5.12	
1 -inf	-4.60	-4.59	4.78	
2 -inf	-1.79	2.64	2.65	
3 -inf	-2.29	-2.23	2.16	
4 -inf	2.17	2.18	2.18	
5 -inf	-1.04	-1.00	0.87	
6 -inf	0.00	0.00	0.00	
7 -inf	0.00	0.00	0.00	

...

[R: LINE 77]

\$wordModel\$signature[[1]]\$bins

	X1	X2	X3	X4
1 -Inf	-2.25	-2.03	2.13	
2 -Inf	2.67	2.78	2.78	
3 -Inf	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4 -Inf	0.00	0.00	0.00	

[LINE 112,597]

\$wordModel\$signature[[2]]\$bins

	X1	X2	X3	X4
1 -Inf	1.73	1.75	1.75	
2 -Inf	-3.38	-3.35	3.36	
3 -Inf	-3.18	-3.01	3.13	
4 -Inf	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5 -Inf	0.00	0.00	0.00	

[LINE 225,593]

\$wordModel\$signature[[3]]\$bins

	X1	X2	X3	X4
1 -Inf	-3.65	-3.40	3.67	
2 -Inf	-4.06	-3.72	3.70	
3 -Inf	-1.86	-1.86	1.98	
4 -Inf	-1.10	1.32	1.32	
5 -Inf	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6 -Inf	0.00	0.00	0.00	

[LINE 338,119]

\$wordModel\$signature[[4]]\$bins

	X1	X2	X3	X4
1 -Inf	-0.63	0.01	0.01	
2 -Inf	-4.75	-4.58	4.49	
3 -Inf	-2.39	-2.30	2.58	
4 -Inf	1.92	3.08	3.09	
5 -Inf	-2.78	-2.21	2.68	
6 -Inf	0.00	0.00	0.00	
7 -Inf	0.00	0.00	0.00	

...

Best Fourier coefficients with respect to information gain (IG) which were chosen to create words:

[Python: LINE 350]	[R: LINE 112,565]
BEST VALUES:	\$wordModel\$signature[[1]]
[2, 3, 1, 0]	\$bestValues
	[1] 3 4 2 1
[3, 4, 0, 2, 1]	
	[LINE 225,561]
[4, 5, 3, 2, 1, 0]	\$wordModel\$signature[[2]]
	\$bestValues
[5, 6, 0, 2, 3, 4]	[1] 4 5 1 3 2
[6, 7, 4, 1, 3, 0]	[LINE 338,087]
	\$wordModel\$signature[[3]]
[7, 8, 5, 2, 3, 6]	\$bestValues
	[1] 5 6 4 3 2 1
[8, 9, 2, 7, 1, 5]	
	[LINE 450,855]
[9, 10, 5, 0, 2, 8]	\$wordModel\$signature[[4]]
	\$bestValues
[10, 11, 7, 1, 5, 6]	[1] 6 7 1 3 4 5
[11, 12, 9, 4, 10, 6]	[LINE 562,426]
	\$wordModel\$signature[[5]]
[12, 13, 0, 11, 2, 5]	\$bestValues
	[1] 7 8 5 2 4 1
[13, 14, 11, 4, 0, 6]	
	[LINE 674,954]
[14, 15, 8, 13, 4, 9]	\$wordModel\$signature[[6]]
	\$bestValues
[15, 16, 4, 13, 3, 5]	[1] 8 9 6 3 4 7
[16, 17, 13, 11, 12, 6]	[LINE 787,966]
	\$wordModel\$signature[[7]]
[17, 18, 15, 9, 8, 12]	\$bestValues
	[1] 9 10 3 8 2 6
	[LINE 899,060]
	\$wordModel\$signature[[8]]
	\$bestValues
	[1] 10 11 6 1 3 9
	...

Normalization of sliding windows:

[Python: LINE 218]

MEANS:

```
[-0.15638300792125698,  
 -0.16733518147607576,  
 -0.17752057508539965,  
 -0.18636154785695588,  
 -0.19534565288500444,  
 -0.20246137077919713,  
 -0.2071668437113842,  
 -0.21017773296402745,  
 -0.2107655975889069,  
 -0.21015217363251099,  
 -0.2094671835478689,  
 -0.20833746109483975,  
 -0.20629015864036837,  
 -0.20283964888564127,  
 -0.19776612157961665,  
 -0.19137117683418917,  
 -0.18323564161248823,  
 -0.17424131285183306,  
 -0.1639613497158981,  
 -0.151697982454283,  
 -0.13857326572056197,  
 -0.12432905026641838,  
 -0.10961454311237122,  
 -0.09537543952453094,  
 -0.08140982078391716,  
 -0.0693023654445527,  
 -0.06098535896908474,  
 -0.056203208042348185,  
 -0.05511438051974547,  
 -0.05608052325106902,  
 -0.05542876029739834,  
 -0.053419796840201754,  
 -0.048977585022634586,  
 -0.04084460573408537,  
 -0.03050585613566248,  
 -0.017851431101844947,  
 -0.003596991915094754,  
 0.01165170526764716,  
 ...  
 ...
```

[R: LINE 94]

\$wordModel\$signature[[1]]

\$transformation\$means

```
[1] -0.1563830079 -0.1673351815  
     -0.1775205751 -0.1863615479  
     -0.1953456529 -0.2024613708  
     -0.2071668437 -0.2101777330  
[9] -0.2107655976 -0.2101521736  
     -0.2094671835 -0.2083374611  
     -0.2062901586 -0.2028396489  
     -0.1977661216 -0.1913711768  
[17] -0.1832356416 -0.1742413129  
     -0.1639613497 -0.1516979825  
     -0.1385732657 -0.1243290503  
     -0.1096145431 -0.0953754395  
[25] -0.0814098208 -0.0693023654  
     -0.0609853590 -0.0562032080  
     -0.0551143805 -0.0560805233  
     -0.0554287603 -0.0534197968  
[33] -0.0489775850 -0.0408446057  
     -0.0305058561 -0.0178514311  
     -0.0035969919 0.0116517053  
     0.0284569657 0.0466322064  
[41] 0.0652419557 0.0829034537  
     0.0979834593 0.1105535386  
     0.1195376436 0.1235402349  
     0.1224820786 0.1147094859  
[49] 0.1016026607 0.0859347905  
     0.0687921468 0.0516009404  
     0.0341183577 0.0165923240  
     -0.0009311536 -0.0179613362  
[57] -0.0326553959 -0.0456804313  
     -0.0559271673 -0.0622863289  
     -0.0656422692 -0.0646147840  
     -0.0608371148 -0.0570262185  
[65] -0.0538134105 -0.0531616476  
     -0.0557942587 -0.0621048577  
     -0.0729241227 -0.0872501280  
     -0.1035697612 -0.1190357127
```

...

[Python: LINE 251]

STDS:

```
[0.012417236478639503,
 0.0115881284976611,
 0.010858630143560392,
 0.010035615614176763,
 0.009156196995716657,
 0.00761403991791489,
 0.005089000905482175,
 0.001345586537645807,
 0.00038573426401744624,
 0.0007748145989694039,
 0.0010476457897072933,
 0.0014677823054724314,
 0.002638806337285787,
 0.004991927206189352,
 0.007000011727654186,
 0.008008475614655971,
 0.009435356963754344,
 0.010907818375628143,
 0.012554067174573054,
 0.014170289788845864,
 0.015818034686588132,
 0.016570053710160106,
 0.016335751410489766,
 0.015832288417041793,
 0.015220759394513186,
 0.013047758860238037,
 0.008366160336738804,
 0.002919569393973248,
 0.0011520087202441206,
 0.0011626771064576966,
 0.002153732770308441,
 0.004078406831636457,
 0.006776925049634054,
 0.010249192186123812,
 0.014017966844923853,
 0.0157735038369915,
 0.015967487805749588,
 0.017305193378512992,
 ...
```

[R: LINE 155]

\$wordModel\$signature[[1]]

\$transformation\$STDS

```
[1] 0.0124172365 0.0115881285
     0.0108586301 0.0100356156
     0.0091561970 0.0076140399
     0.0050890009 0.0013455865
     0.0003857343
[10] 0.0007748146 0.0010476458
     0.0014677823 0.0026388063
     0.0049919272 0.0070000117
     0.0080084756 0.0094353570
     0.0109078184
[19] 0.0125540672 0.0141702898
     0.0158180347 0.0165700537
     0.0163357514 0.0158322884
     0.0152207594 0.0130477589
     0.0083661603
[28] 0.0029195694 0.0011520087
     0.0011626771 0.0021537328
     0.0040784068 0.0067769250
     0.0102491922 0.0140179668
     0.0157735038
[37] 0.0159674878 0.0173051934
     0.0199919822 0.0217364640
     0.0211137336 0.0186575268
     0.0154404840 0.0125374738
     0.0085939717
[46] 0.0043118403 0.0059886054
     0.0126352625 0.0177979114
     0.0198487882 0.0188884906
     0.0184197482 0.0201217220
     0.0204999636
[55] 0.0193698461 0.0178043251
     0.0161251347 0.0135025367
     0.0096210946 0.0058465852
     0.0020038174 0.0037661473
     0.0062926197
[64] 0.0058656143 0.0025579400
     0.0014821680 0.0039819896
```

...

Words created by transforming sliding windows and assigning letters to them:

[Python: LINE 383]	[R: LINE 1,811,314]
WORDS:	\$wordModel\$words
[[143, 207, 143, 143, 143, 143,	\$wordModel\$words[[1]]
15, 143, 143, 79, 143, 143, 79,	\$wordModel\$words[[1]][[1]]
143, 143, 143, 143, 143, 143,	[1] 143 207 143 143 143 143 15
79, 143, 143, 143, 143, 15,	143 143 79 143 143 79 143
143, 143, 79, 15, 143, 143, 15,	143 143 143 143 143 79 143
143, 143, 15, 143, 143, 79,	143 143 143 15 143 143 79
143, 143, 143, 143, 143, 143,	15 143
143, 79, 143, 143, 15, 143,	[31] 143 15 143 143 15 143 143
143, 143, 143, 207, 143, 143,	79 143 143 143 143 143 143
143, 207, 143, 143, 207, 207,	143 79 143 143 15 143 143
15, 143, 143, 207, 143, 143,	143 143 207 143 143 143 207
207, 143, 143, 207, 143, 143,	143 143
143, 143, 79, 143, 143, 143,	[61] 207 207 15 143 143 207 143
143, 79, 143, 143, 79, 143,	143 207 143 143 207 143 143
143, 15, 143, 143, 143, 207,	143 143 79 143 143 143 143
143, 143, 143, 143, 143, 143,	79 143 143 79 143 143 15
143, 207, 143, 143, 207, 207,	143 143
143, 143, 143, 207, 207, 143,	[91] 143 207 143 143 143 143 143
143, 79, 143, 143, 79, 79,	143 143 207 143 143 207 207
143, 143, 15, 143, 143, 143,	143 143 143 207 207 143 143
79, 79, 143, 143, 15, 191,	79 143 143 79 79 143 143
143, 143, 143, 143, 143, 143,	15 143
143, 143, 143, 207, 143, 143,	[121] 143 143 79 79 143 143 15
143, 143, 143, 207, 207, 143,	191 143 143 143 143 143 143
143, 143, 207, 143, 143, 143,	143 143 143 207 143 143 143
207, 143, 15, 143, 143, 207,	143 143 207 207 143 143 143
143, 143, 143, 143, 15, 79,	207 143
143, 207, 143, 143, 143, 143,	[151] 143 143 207 143 15 143 143
143, 143, 143, 207, 207, 143,	207 143 143 143 143 15 79
143, 143, 79, 15, 207, 143, 79,	143 207 143 143 143 143 143
143, 143, 15, 143, 143, 143,	143 143 207 207 143 143 143
79, 79, 143, 143, 79, 143, 143,	79 15
143, 143, 143, 79, 79, 143,	[181] 207 143 79 143 143 15 143
143, 79, 143, 143, 143, 79, 15,	143 143 79 79 143 143 79
191, 143, 143, 143, 143, 143,	143 143 143 143 143 79 79
143, 143, 207, 143, 143, 143,	143 143 79 143 143 143 79
143, 207, 143, 143, 143, 15,	15 191
...	...

Features selected for recognition of classes (labels):

[Python: LINE 416]	[R: LINE 1,822,287]
FEATURES:	\$features
1 2	\$features[[1]]
2 2	\$features[[1]][[1]]
6 1	\$features[[1]][[1]]\$index
10 1	[1] 1
11 3	
13 1	\$features[[1]][[1]]\$value
16 4	[1] 2
18 1	
20 1	
21 2	\$features[[1]][[2]]
36 1	\$features[[1]][[2]]\$index
37 3	[1] 2
39 1	
41 1	\$features[[1]][[2]]\$value
42 2	[1] 2
43 1	
44 1	
45 2	\$features[[1]][[3]]
47 2	\$features[[1]][[3]]\$index
63 1	[1] 6
69 1	
70 2	\$features[[1]][[3]]\$value
71 1	[1] 1
76 5	
77 1	
82 1	\$features[[1]][[4]]
84 1	\$features[[1]][[4]]\$index
89 2	[1] 10
93 2	
94 2	\$features[[1]][[4]]\$value
97 1	[1] 1
99 1	
104 3	
105 1	\$features[[1]][[5]]
109 1	\$features[[1]][[5]]\$index
110 1	[1] 11
111 2	
...	\$features[[1]][[5]]\$value
	[1] 3
	...

Results

Labels assigned to tested time series:

correct labels:

```
[1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3,
      4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5]
```

[Python: LINE 4,741]

LABELS:

```
[3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 2, 5,
      4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 2, 5]
```

[R: LINE 1,856,701]

```
[1] 3 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 4 2 2 2 2 3 3 2 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 2 5
```

Labels were assigned identically in both Python and R implementation. They were correct for 23 out of 30 time series (76.7% accuracy). Running the algorithm with non-fixed permutations can yield higher results. Even higher accuracy can be obtained by increasing the number of windows' lengths.

Additional information

"Beef" data set from 2015 "The UCR Time Series Classification Archive":

Yanping Chen, Eamonn Keogh, Bing Hu, Nurjahan Begum,
Anthony Bagnall, Abdullah Mueen and Gustavo Batista (2015),
https://www.cs.ucr.edu/~eamonn/time_series_data/

Original implementation by Patrick Schäfer is available at:

<https://github.com/patrickzib/SFA>

Python implementation by Samuel Harford is available at:

https://github.com/patrickzib/SFA_Python