8 8								
🕝 Fujikura		MRR/MIR Repo	rt			Issue No:	QA-18-09-003	
DDK(THAILAND	) Ltd.	✓ Material Rejection				Date:	5 Sep'18	
DDK (THAILAND) LTD. Navanakorn Industrial Estate 55/25 M	00.13	Material Improve				Supplier:	Suzuki Co.,Ltd.	
Klong-Luang, Pathumthani 12120 Tha		material improve	mont roquoot			Issue By:	Prapapan P.	
Defect Mode					Nonconformity Degr	<u></u>		
- 1	Structure differe	nc from drawing			Critical	Major	Mino	or
					Reject Found Process / Line  Warehouse QA Incoming In-process			
					Lot Number:			
18DD121					180608-2-V-0001			
Lot Q'ty/QA Sampling Q'ty:		Defect Q'ty:			%Defect:			
37,700	pcs.	The contraction of the same	0.00 pcs.		70DC1CGL.	100.0	00%	
Detail&Picture:							Problem Ca	
NG	opcs.	OK		Turnight	Sate la	Pls. specify: Delivery	Missing P No Inspec Wrong De No Delive Wrong La Incomplet Others	eart(s) ction Record elivery ery Tag
						1		
Disposition on Rejected Parts	:							
Disposition		Detail/E	Estimate Cost		5) 81	Ren	nark	
Special Accept	Reason	162						
Rescreen	Q'ty (pcs )	Rescreen time(hrs)	Rate Charg	e(Baht)	Cost(Baht)	10		
Rework	Q'ty (pcs)	Rework time(sec)	Rate Charg	e(Baht)	Cost(Baht)	10		
Return	Q'ty (pcs)	Cost per piece(baht)			Cost(baht)	50		
	37,700 Q'ty (pcs)	1.249 Cost per piece(Baht )			47087.3 Cost(Baht)	80		
Scrap Connector	Q'ty (pcs)	Cost per piece(Baht)	i i		Cost(Baht)	00		
Scrap Part	Invoice No.:				0.0	00		
Replacement	Ship Date:							
7				ost(Baht)	47,087.30	-		
Note : Exchange rate of charge	cost will be unto a	vchange rate on date issu		ost(US)	1,455.11	<u>'</u>		
Request Supplier:	cost will be upto e	xcriange rate on date issu	e claim nouce					
1) Rescreen parts :	Request Identify ement : Request Ide	R entify N N	lote : Request i	nform fir	rst lot/invoice improv	rement by e-ma	ail!!	
Report to DDK-T Required:	Initial response		6 Sep'18		(Response within 1 w (Response within 5 w			
Suplier Response:	Corrective Acti	on report due date	13 3ch 1		- (response within 5 w	Torking days)		
Disposition of Inventory	and the second							ı`
Location WID/Dreduct	Q'ty	Lot	No.	10	Dispsoti	on	Identify	
WIP/Product Warehouse								
In-transit			K.					]
Risk Lot     Containment Action						×	10.1	
							Supplier Sig	
						Preparead	Y. mats	luki
2/ 0 1/0		( D)				Checked	T. Take	hara
Reply Date 26 Sep 1/8	, SOP Reque	est, Please Attach				Approved	T. Take	rhe

<b>F</b> Fujikura									
DDK(THAILAND)	Ltd.								

## INITIAL DEPORT

QA-18-09-003 Issue No. Claimed Date 5 Sep'18

K(THAILAND) Ltd.		Claimed Bate   5 Sep 16					
(ITIAILAND) LIG.			Revision No.				
sground and Problem Description :			1				
		Turnita Na	-18DD121				
Complaint Date :	1	Invoice No.	Invoice No. :18DD121				
Supplier Name :Suzuki Co.,Ltd.		Lot ID :18060	Lot ID :180608-2-V-0001				
Supplier 's Product Name : BB35C-PAA40AB3-522E-DLF		Failure (Ratio	0%) :100%				
DDK 's Product Name :		Where defect	happen: Plating proce	ess			
Defect Description( Customer information)		D	Defect Photo				
structure difference	Please confi	rm the attached file					
estigate Returned Defective Sample / Defect poto:	a tab direction	reverse	Sample received date :	DD / MM / YY			
2.1) Appearance As a result of checking the defect picture confirm the 2.2) Dimension Detail	cao direction	10,0130	Sample received date.	DD / IVIIVI / I I			
2.3) Other verification Detail -							
estigate on Supplier's Keep Sample :		i,					
3.1) Appearance We confirmed the phenomenon of failure similarly w	vith defect sam	ple					
3.2) Dimension Detail							
3.3) Other verification Detail -							
plier's Current Process and History Record : Risk to be defect	et (	) Defect Risk t	o flow out to customer	(			
4.1) Inspection Process Flow Tab Plating → Winding → Molding —		Shipping					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- 11 0					
4.2) Production History record : Rewinding after tab plating Work hist	tory included						
No change point during molding							
ot Cause/Flow out Cause :	FI	C					
Root Cause		out Cause : Tab winding direction flow out					
Why1: Tab winding direction reverse occurred  Why2: Because the reverse winding tab was molded	Why1: Why2:	The operator missed the image after molding and the sample confirmation					
Why2: Because the reverse winding tab was molded Why3: In the plating process the tabs were rewound	Why3:	It was not a monitoring item in image inspection					
Why4: Worker made a mistake in winding direction after plating	Why4:		g has never occurred in t				
Why5:	Why5:						
£ 1 2							
Base Of judgment (The reason to show that we know the root cause) Refer simulation report see below detail		n history record as abo	ove mentioned				
Simulation test detail	productio	, <u></u>					
rrection(การแก้ไขเบื้องต้น) :							
	÷1		Person in-cha	rge Action Date			
No. Action detail		4:	4177.00.00000000000000000000000000000000	8			
Review of winding direction confirmation rule after plating.			SANNO CO	.,LTD 14 Sep '18			
orrective Action(การแก้ไขที่สาเหตุ):							
			D :1	rgo Antion Date			
No. Action detail	Person in-charge Action Date						
Confirm protector direction by image inspection after molding.			yamamo	oto 28 Sep '18			
pand the Corrective Action for Similar Process / Product(ขยายผลกั	ับกบวนการแ	ละผลิตภัณฑ์ที่คล้าย	เคลึง) :				
No. Action detail			Person in-cha	arge Action Date			
We also develoyed countermeasures horizontally for similar prod	lucts.		yamam	oto 28 Sep '18			
	19	Approved By	Checked By	Issued By			
				1			
		y. satake	7 7.1.6	T, matsuki			
		J. Andrice	1. akerana	1,//000			

Date: 26 Sep '18

Date: 26 Sep '18 Date: 26 Sep '18





