The Standard Template Library (STL), List, Vector, and String

A container is an object that is designed to hold other objects. Examples are

- lists,
- vectors,
- maps,
- hashmaps.

A string is also a kind of container.

Doubly Linked Lists

- Syntax: std::list<X>. X is the type of elements in the list.
- Copy constructor, assignment, are defined by having value semantics.
- Move operators are defined.
- Constructor with initializer list is defined.
- Default constructor: Construct empty list.
- No << defined. (If you try to print a list, you will see a pretty unpleasant error message)

Linked Lists

```
std::list< unsigned int > 1;
1 = \{ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \};
std::list< unsigned int > 12 = 1;
   // List has object semantics, which means that the
   // list is copied.
12 = std::move(1);
std::cout << 1. size( ) << "\n";
   // Probably not 5 anymore.
```

Linked Lists (2)

- 1. Elements can be efficiently inserted/deleted (independent of size of list, linear in size of object) everywhere in the list. (At the beginning, at the end, in the middle)
- 2. Elements can be moved (within a single list, or between different lists of the same type) in constant time, by pointer manipulation, even when the object itself cannot be copied or moved.
- 3. Elements can be accessed in constant time through iterators, but through indices only in linear time.
- 4. When the objects are small, list is space inefficient.
- 5. Due to random placement in memory, accessing list elements is likely to cause many cash misses. (and main memory can be 20 times slower than cash.)

Back/Front

```
X& front();
const X& front( ) const;
   // First element in list.
X& back( );
const X& back( ) const;
   // Last element in list.
pop_front();
pop_back( );
   // Remove first/last element from list.
```

Back/Front (2)

```
void push_front( const X& );
   // Insert X at front.

void push_back( const X& );
   // Insert X at end.
```

Iterators

I want to explain what is an iterator without mentioning the word 'pointer':

An iterator is pretty much the same as an index. The two differences are:

- The element can be accessed without mentioning the container.
- One cannot do calculations on iterators. Iterators can be only compared, they can be increased/descreased.

Iterator versus Index

```
// Indexed version:
  for( size_t i = 0; i != s. size( ); ++ i )
      std::cout << s[i] << "\n";
  // Iterator version:
  for( std::list< double > :: const_iterator
           p = s. begin(); p != s. end(); ++ p)
      std::cout << *p << "\n";
(Note that std::list cannot be indexed.)
```

How to Access in Reverse Order

```
// Index:
size_t i = s. size();
while( i != 0 )
   -- i;
   std::cout << s[i] << "\n";
}
// Iterator:
std::list< double > :: const_iterator p = s. end();
while( p != s. begin( ))
{
   -- p;
   std::cout << *p << "\n";
```

Pretty Printing with Index

```
std::cout << "{";
for( size_t i = 0; i != s. size( ); ++ i )
{
   if( i != 0 )
      std::cout << ",";
   std::cout << " " << s[i];
}
std::cout << "}";</pre>
```

Pretty Printing with Iterator

Non-const Iterators

If you want to assign to the contents of the iterator, use iterator (without const_).

Auto

If you don't like the long names of iterator types, use auto.

```
for( auto p = s. begin( ); p != s. end( ); ++ p )
    std::cout << *p;</pre>
```

Problem: Overloading rules will prefer the most general version, i.e. begin() where possible, begin() const where necessary. If you want const_iterator, where iterator is possible, use

```
for( auto p = s. cbegin( ); p != s. cend( ); ++ p )
    std::cout << *p'</pre>
```

You should use this, in order to make clear that container will not be changed.

Range-For

Simple **for**-loops on containers, that visit each element once, and that go from left to right, can be written in the following form:

```
for( l : p )
    std::cout << l << "\n";</pre>
```

This is called a range-for-loop.

It is an abbreviation for traditional, iterator-based, **for** loops.

```
&
   for( double& d : s )
     d = d * d;
   }
   for( auto p = s. begin( ); p != s. end( ); ++ p )
      double& d = *p;
      d = d * d;
```

Use this variant, when you want to change the elements in the container.

Const &

```
double sum = 0;
for( const double& d : s )
    sum += d;

for( auto p = s. begin( ); p != s. end( ); ++ p )
{
    const double& d = *p;
    sum += d;
}
```

Use this variant, when you don't want (or cannot) change the elements, but don't want to copy them. (Because they are too big, or have no copy constructor.)

Copy

```
for( double d : s )
  d = d * d; std::cout << d << "\n";
}
for( auto p = s. begin( ); p != s. end( ); ++ p )
{
  double d = *p;
   d = d * d; std::cout << d << "\n";
```

Use this variant when you want local copies of the elements.

General Pattern

Range for-loops can be used on every type T that has **begin()** and **end()** members, or for which there exist **begin()** and **end()** functions.

The **begin()**, **end()** should return some object **p** on which one can apply ***p** to get a reference to an element, on which one can apply ++**p** to increase it, and **p1** != **p2** to see if you reached a given position.

Most containers in STL have **begin()** and **end()** operators.

Range-for does not use **cbegin()** or **cend()**.

```
std::cout << "how many numbers do you want to add ?"
size_t nr;
std::cin >> nr;
for( size_t i = 0; i < nr; ++ i )</pre>
   std::cout << "please type " << i;</pre>
   std::cout << "-th number: ";</pre>
   list. push_back(0);
   std::cin >> list. back( );
// Numbers can be added with code on previous page.
```

```
Erasing and Inserting in the Middle of a List
```

```
iterator 1. erase( iterator p );
    // Delete the element at p, and return the
    // iterator after p.

and inserted by:
    iterator 1. insert( iterator p, x );
    // Insert x at position p, and return the new
    // iterator, which now holds x.
```

Vectors

Vectors and lists are quite similar things. A list is implemented by a chain of cells that are connected with pointers. A vector is implemented by an array that is allocated on the heap.

- Vectors allow indexing, using either [] or at().
- Vectors are more space efficient. They are likely to be local which can be an advantage for small elements, and which reduces cash misses. They may be harder to allocate.
- Vectors do not support efficient inserting/erasing in the middle. (But overwriting an element is possible.) Using push_back() on a vector spoils all existing iterators of this vector. Be careful with that.

Implementation

The implementation of std::vector is pretty much like in the stack class

```
template< class X, class A = std::allocator<X>>
class vector
{
    X* tab;
    size_t current_size;
    size_t current_capacity;
};
```

std::vector uses low level tricks to make sure that the area in the
range X[current_size ... current_capcity] is not initialized
(not constructed).

The vector resizes when current_size == current_capacity.

Resizing

current_capacity is usually increased/decreased in powers of two.

It is possible to set current_capacity by yourself using reserve(). Do this if you know in advance how big the vector will be.

If one sets the borders for resizing properly, resizing is not too expensive.

- When current_capacity has to be increased, it is always doubled.
- When shrink_to_fit() is called, capacity is set of a power of two, close to the current size().

Potential Method (Amortized Complexity)

Assign to each occurrence of vector v a potential **phi** of type **int**. Whenever we do a push_back or pop_back, proceed as follows:

- Announce push_back() with a cost of 3. If we are not resizing, then 1 is used for the immediate push back, 2 is added to phi.
 When resizing, we have phi ≥ current_size which we can use for moving/copying the objects.
- Announce pop_back() with a cost of 2. If we are not shrinking, then 1 is used for the immediate pop back, 1 is added to phi.
 When resizing, we have phi ≥ current_size, which can be used for moving/copying the objects.

It can be shown that **phi** is never negative. Hence a vector can push_back()/pop_back() in constant time.

Moving?

When the std::vector<X> resizes, it could use a moving constructor.

It will do this only when X(X&&) exists, and is guaranteed not to throw any exceptions.

In order to do this, declare it as

X(X&&) noexcept;

Of course, the moving constructor should really throw no exceptions. This is possible in general when the moving constructor does not allocate on the heap.

One can use std::is_nothrow_move_constructible<X>::value to check it. It is a good idea to always check movability of classes that you write. I have seen many unpleasant surprises.

Strings

Strings should always be preferred over character arrays. A string is almost the same as std::vector<char>

std::string has indexing, and iterators that can be compared with <,>,, etc.

The iterators are obtained as follows:

```
std::string::iterator std::string begin();
std::string::const_iterator std::string begin() const;
```

```
std::string::iterator std::string end();
std::string::const_iterator std::string end() const;
```

In addition to this, strings have the operators +, +=, ==, !=, <,>, <=, >= defined.

Strings

```
std::string s = "one two three";
   // Converts const char* to std::string.
s += ' ';
s += "four five six";
std::cout << s;</pre>
for( std::string::const_iterator
        p = s. begin();
        p != s. end();
        ++ p )
   std::cout << *s;
   // Can be written with range for as well.
```

Strings vs. Character Arrays
Strings vs. Character Arrays Strings should of course be preferred over C-style character arrays.