

Deep Reinforcement Learning

CS 224R

Welcome!

Introductions



Prof. Chelsea Finn

Instructor




Jubayer Ibn Hamid

Head Teaching Assistant



Annie Chen




Anikait Singh



Sergio Charles



Ashish Rao



Fengyu Li



Marcel Torne



Amelie Byun

Course Manager




John Cho

Course Manager




Yash Kankariya




Andy Tang



Haoyi Duan




Shirley Wu



Zhen Wu



Jinny Chung




Sirui Chen



Pulkit Goel



Joy He Yueya



Daniel Shin



Sri Jaladi



Jensen Gao

The Plan for Today

1. Course goals & logistics
2. Why study deep reinforcement learning?
3. Intro to modeling behavior and reinforcement learning

Key learnings goals:

- what is deep reinforcement learning??
- how to represent behavior
- how to formulate a reinforcement learning problem

Information & Resources

Course website: <http://cs224r.stanford.edu/>

We have put a lot of info here.
Please read it. :)

Ed, Gradescope: Connected to Canvas

Staff mailing list: cs224r-staff-spr2425@cs.stanford.edu

Student liaison, course
manager, head CA, me

Office hours: Course website & Canvas, start today.

OAE letters can be sent to staff mailing list or in private Ed post.

Lectures & Office Hours

Lectures

- In-person, livestreamed, & recorded
- A few guest lectures (Ashish Kumar from Tesla, Archit Sharma from Google DeepMind, one TBD)
- Aiming to make it **interactive**. I will ask you questions.
Ask me questions too!

Office hours

- mix of in-person and remote

What do we mean by deep reinforcement learning?

Sequential decision-making problems

A system needs to make *multiple* decisions based on stream of information.

observe, take action, observe, take action, ...

AND the solutions to such problems

- imitation learning
 - model-free & model-based RL
 - offline & online RL
 - multi-task & meta RL
 - RL for LLMs
 - RL for robots
- and more!

Emphasis on solutions that scale to deep neural networks

How does deep RL differ from other ML topics?

Supervised learning

Given labeled data: $\{(x_i, y_i)\}$, learn $f(x) \approx y$


- directly told what to output
- inputs x are independently, identically distributed (i.i.d.)

Reinforcement learning


Learn behavior $\pi(a | s)$.

- from experience, indirect feedback
- data **not** i.i.d.: actions a affect the future observations.

Behavior can include:



motor control



chat bots



game playing



driving



web agents

We can't cover everything in deep RL.

We'll focus on:

- core concepts behind deep RL methods
- implementation of algorithms
- examples in robotics, control, language models (but techniques generalize broadly)
- topics that we think are most useful & exciting!

For more theory & other applications, see CS234!

Core goal: Able to understand and implement existing and emerging methods.

Pre-Requisites

Machine learning: CS229 or equivalent.

e.g. we'll assume knowledge of SGD, cross-val, calculus, probability theory

Some familiarity with deep learning:

- We'll build on concepts like backpropagation, neural networks, sequence models
- Assignments will require training networks in PyTorch.
- Marcel will hold a PyTorch review session on Friday, 1:30 pm in Gates B1.

Some familiarity with reinforcement learning:

- We will go quickly over the basics.
- See Sutton & Barto or CS 221 for intro RL content

Aiming to improve accessibility
compared to Spring '23!

Coursework and Grading

- 4 x 2-week assignments (50% - lowest scoring is 5%, rest worth 15%)
- Final default or custom course project (1-3 people, 50%)
 - proposal (10%), milestone (10%), poster (10%), report (20%)
- Late days:
 - 6 free late days; afterwards, 2% of course grade per day late
 - maximum of 2 free late days per assignment unless advanced permission
- Collaboration & AI tools
 - Please read course website, [honor code](#), [AI tools policy](#)
 - Document collaborators and write solutions on your own. Submit homework independently.
 - Employing AI tools (e.g. ChatGPT, Cursor) substantially is not allowed for homework and parts of default project.

Coursework

Homeworks: Implement different methods in PyTorch, run experiments in physics simulators, navigation environments

Homework 1: Imitation learning

Homework 2: Online reinforcement learning

Homework 3: Offline reinforcement learning

Homework 4: Goal-conditioned & meta reinforcement learning

Project:

- Custom project - propose your own topic, or
- Default project (*new this year!*) - fine-tune an LLM with RL + open-ended extension
- Teams of 1-3 students, encouraged to use your research if applicable

A bit of advice

Deep RL methods take time to learn behavior!

We try to make homeworks fast to train.
(e.g. by using simple environments)

But, they will still take some time & you may choose to be more ambitious in your project.



We recommend that you don't start HWs/project deliverables the night before the deadline. :)

One more thing

We have been working hard to develop a great course!

But, we will probably make mistakes.

We would **love** your feedback both for this iteration & future iterations.

→ high-resolution feedback form sent weekly to subset of students.

Initial Steps

1. Homework 1 coming out on Fri — due Fri 4/18 at 11:59 pm PT
2. Start forming final project groups if you want to work in a group

The Plan for Today

1. Course goals & logistics
- 2. Why study deep reinforcement learning?**
3. Intro to modeling behavior and reinforcement learning

Why study deep reinforcement learning?

1. Going beyond supervised (x, y) examples

- AI model predictions have consequences! How can we take them into account?
- When direct supervision isn't available Learn from any objective.

2. Widely used and deployed for performant AI systems

3. Learning from experience seems fundamental to intelligence

- RL can discover new solutions

4. Plenty of exciting open research problems

Why study deep reinforcement learning?

Beyond supervised learning from (x, y) examples

Decision-making problems are everywhere!

- a. Any sort of AI agent: robots, autonomous vehicles, web assistants
- b. What if you want your AI system to interact with people? chatbots, recommenders
- c. What if deploying your system affects future outcomes & observations?
- d. What if don't have labels or your objective isn't just accuracy? “feedback loops”
(and isn't differentiable!)

Why study deep reinforcement learning?

Widely used for performant AI systems

Learning complex physical tasks: legged robots



Unitree


Why study deep reinforcement learning?

Widely used for performant AI systems

Learning complex physical tasks: robot manipulation



Physical Intelligence π_0




Google Gemini Robotics

Why study deep reinforcement learning?

Widely used for performant AI systems

Learning to play complex games



Ability to **discover** new solutions:
“Move 37” in Lee Sedol AlphaGo
match surprises everyone

Why study deep reinforcement learning?

Widely used for performant AI systems

Not just robots and games!

Nearly all modern language models use some form of RL for post-training.



ChatGPT



CURSOR


especially for more advanced reasoning.

Why study deep reinforcement learning?

Widely used for performant AI systems

Not just robots and games!

Research on traffic control



Why study deep reinforcement learning?

Widely used for performant AI systems

Not just robots and games!

Training generative image models to follow their prompt

— *a dolphin riding a bike* —→



— *an ant playing chess* —→




Why study deep reinforcement learning?

Widely used for performant AI systems


Not just robots and games!

Chip design, in Google's production TPU chips



Why study deep reinforcement learning?


Fundamental aspect of intelligence



Enables the ability to get better with practice

Why study deep reinforcement learning?


Fundamental aspect of intelligence



Enables the ability to
get better with practice

Why study deep reinforcement learning?

Fundamental aspect of intelligence



Enables the ability to
get better with practice

Why study deep reinforcement learning?

Still lots of exciting research problems!

How does robot learn to represent what is good or bad for the task? → reward learning

How can an agent generalize its behavior to many different scenarios?

(Can we apply such a system at scale?)


Leverage large, diverse datasets → offline RL

Transfer from other tasks, goals → multitask RL, meta-RL

Can use RL to learn long-horizon tasks, like cooking a meal? → hierarchy, reasoning

Can robots practice fully autonomously? → reset-free RL

Behind the scenes of RL...



Yevgen is doing more work than the robot!
It's not practical to collect a lot of data this way.

The Plan for Today

1. Course goals & logistics
2. Why study deep reinforcement learning?
- 3. Intro to modeling behavior and reinforcement learning**

How to represent experience as data?

state \mathbf{s}_t - the state of the “world” at time t

observation \mathbf{o}_t - what the agent observes at time t

(only used when missing information)


action \mathbf{a}_t - the decision taken at time t

trajectory τ - sequence of states/observations and actions

$(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T)$

(could be length $T=1!$)

reward function $r(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$ - how good is \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a} ?



I'm using this line of code to detect if there are at most 2 unique values in a dimension of an array, but the code is really slow (I think because unique() is doing a lot more work than needed). Can you write a version of the code that is faster?

```
only_two_unique_values = np.apply_along_axis(lambda x: len(np.unique(x)), axis=2, arr.values) <= 2
```

Reasoned about optimizing unique value check for 8 seconds

You can avoid the overhead of computing every unique element by “short-circuited” checking. In the example below, we first check if all values equal the first element; if not, we grab the first value that's different and then verify that every element is either the first value or that second one. This way you don't sort or scan the entire array for all uniques when more than two are present.

Here's one implementation:

```
import numpy as np

def fast_two_unique(values):
    # values shape: (A, B, C)
    # Get the first element from each slice.
    first = values[:, :, 0] # shape: (A, B)

    # Create a mask that is True where elements differ from the first element.
    mask = (values != first[:, :, None])

    # Find, for each slice, the index of the first differing element.
    # np.argmax returns 0 if no True exists; that's fine because then candidate2 equals None.
    idx = np.argmax(mask, axis=2)

    # Find the first element that is different.
    diff = values[:, :, idx]
    if diff.size == 0:
        return True # Redundant here, but safe.
    # Use the first different element as the candidate second unique value.
    second_val = diff[0]
    # Check that every element is either equal to 'first' or 'candidate2'.
    return np.all((values == first[:, :, None]) | (values == candidate2[:, :, None]))

# Example usage:
only_two_unique_values = fast_two_unique(at_most_two_unique, axis=2, arr.values)
```

Apply along axis 2.

How It Works

1. Uniform Check: If all values in the slice are identical, the function returns `True` immediately.
2. Candidate Selection: If not, it finds the first value that differs from the first element.
3. Verification: Finally, it verifies that every element in the slice is either equal to the first element or this second candidate.

Additional Notes

- This approach avoids the full overhead of `np.unique` and should be faster, especially when slices contain many elements or more than two unique values.
- For even greater speed (especially if your slices are large), you might consider using a just-in-time compiler (like Numba) to compile this function.

This version should offer a noticeable performance improvement compared to using `np.unique` on every slice.

That works great! Can you generalize the function to work with any axis, not just axis 2?

Reasoned about generalized vectorized solution for 5 seconds

Below is a generalized version that lets you choose the axis along which to check for at most two unique values. It works by moving the target axis to the end, applying the logic, and then reducing that axis.

```
python
import numpy as np

def fast_two_unique(values):
    # values shape: (A, B, C)
    # Get the first element from each slice.
    first = values[:, :, 0] # shape: (A, B)

    # Create a mask that is True where elements differ from the first element.
    mask = (values != first[:, :, None])

    # Find, for each slice, the index of the first differing element.
    # np.argmax returns 0 if no True exists; that's fine because then candidate2 equals None.
    idx = np.argmax(mask, axis=2)

    # Find the first element that is different.
    diff = values[:, :, idx]
    if diff.size == 0:
        return True # Redundant here, but safe.
    # Use the first different element as the candidate second unique value.
    second_val = diff[0]
    # Check that every element is either equal to 'first' or 'candidate2'.
    return np.all((values == first[:, :, None]) | (values == candidate2[:, :, None]))
```

only_two_unique_values is a boolean array indicating, for each (i, j) slice, if the only_two_unique_values = fast_two_unique(values)

Explanation


- Extracting the First Element:
 - We set `first = values[:, :, 0]` so each (i, j) slice's first value becomes our reference.
- Finding a Candidate for the Second Unique Value:
 - The mask `(values != first[:, :, None])` identifies elements that differ from the first value. Using `np.argmax` finds the first index along axis 2 where the element is different. If all elements are the same, `np.argmax` returns 0 and `candidate2` will equal `first`.
- Verifying Uniqueness:
 - Finally, we check that every element in each slice is either equal to `'first'` or `'candidate2'`. If a third unique value exists, the condition fails for that slice.

This vectorized solution should be considerably faster than using `apply_along_axis` with a Python loop.

32

States vs. observations

Next state is purely a function of the current state and action (and randomness)



unknown dynamics $p(s_{t+1} | s_t, a_t)$

independent of s_{t-1}

“Markov property”

Examples



state **s** - RGB images, joint positions, joint velocities

action **a** - commanded next joint position

trajectory **τ** - 10-sec sequence of camera, joint readings, controls at 20 Hz

$$(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T), T = 200$$

reward $r(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) = 1$ if the towel is on the hook in state **s**
0 otherwise

```

Reasoned about optimizing unique value check for 8 seconds
I'm using this line of code to detect if there are at most 2 unique values in a dimension of an array, but the code is really slow (I think because unique() is doing a lot more work than needed). Can you write a version of the code that is faster?
only_two_unique_values = np.apply_along_axis(lambda x: len(np.unique(x)), axis=0, arrvalues) <= 2

Reasoned about optimizing unique value check for 8 seconds
You can avoid the overhead of compiling every unique element by "short-circuited" checking. In the example below, we first check if all values equal the first element. If not, we grab the first value that's different and then verify that every element is either the first value or that second one. This way you don't sort or scan the entire array for all uniques when more than two are present.

Here's one implementation:
symbolic
import numpy as np

def at_most_two_unique(x):
    # Find, for each slice, the index of the first differing element.
    # If all elements are the same, np.argmax returns 0.
    if np.all(x == x[0]):
        return True
    else:
        # Extract the candidate second unique element.
        diff = x[x != x[0]]
        if diff.size == 0:
            # All elements are identical. Here, bar vals.
            second_val = diff[0]
        # Use the first different element as the candidate second unique value.
        second_val = diff[0]
        # Check that every element is either 'first' or 'candidate'.
        return np.all((x == x[0]) | (x == candidate..., None))
    # Apply along axis 2.
    only_two_unique_values = np.apply_along_axis(at_most_two_unique, axis=0, arrvalues)

How It Works
1. Uniform Check: If all values in the slice are identical, the function returns True immediately.
2. Candidate Selection: If not, it finds the first value that differs from the first element.
3. Verification: Finally, it verifies that every element in the slice is either equal to the first element or this second candidate.

Additional Notes
• This approach avoids the full overhead of np.unique and would be faster, especially when slices contain many elements of more than two unique values.
• For even greater speed (especially if your slices are large), you might consider using a just-in-time compiler (like NumPy) to compile this function.

This version should offer a noticeable performance improvement compared to using np.unique on every slice.

Hrm, that made the code slower. Can you make it faster instead of slower? (maybe using a different function than np.unique)
only_two_unique_values = fast_two_unique_values

Reasoned about optimizing unique value check for 18 seconds
That works great! Can you generalize the function to work with any axis, not just axis 2?

Reasoned about generalized vectorized solution for 5 seconds
Below is a generalized version that lets you choose the axis along which to check for at most two unique values. It works by moving the target axis to the end, applying the logic, and then reducing that axis.
symbolic
import numpy as np

def at_most_n_unique(n, axis=0):
    # Find, for each slice, the index of the first differing element.
    first = x[0]
    mask = (values != first..., None)
    # Create a mask that is True where elements differ from the first element.
    mask = (values != first..., None)

    # Find, for each slice, the index of the first differing element.
    # If all values are the same, np.argmax returns 0 if no True exists; that's fine because then candidate2 equals first.
    mask = np.argmax(mask, axis=axis)

    # Extract the candidate second unique value.
    candidate = np.unique(values, axis=..., None)
    # Check that every element is either equal to 'first' or 'candidate'.
    return np.all((values == first..., None) | (values == candidate..., None))

# Example usage:
# only_two_unique_values is a boolean array indicating, for each (i, j) slice, if the only_two_unique_values = fast_two_unique_values

```

observation **o** - the user's most recent message


action **a** - chatbot's next message

trajectory **τ** - variable length conversation trace


$$(\mathbf{o}_1, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{o}_2, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{o}_T, \mathbf{a}_T)$$

reward $r(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) = 1$ if the user gives upvote
-10 if the user downvotes
0 if no user feedback

Think-pair-share: how to represent another example?



autonomous driving



web agent



poker player



choose your own!

Define


state **s** or observation **o**

action **a**

trajectory τ

reward $r(s, a)$

How to represent behavior with a neural network?



Observe state \mathbf{s}_t

Take action \mathbf{a}_t (e.g. by sampling from *policy* $\pi_{\theta}(\cdot | \mathbf{s}_t)$)

Observe next state \mathbf{s}_{t+1} sampled from unknown world dynamics $p(\cdot | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$

Result: a trajectory $\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T$ also called a policy *roll-out* or an *episode*



If you only have observations \mathbf{o} , give the policy memory: $\pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_t | \mathbf{o}_{t-m}, \dots, \mathbf{o}_t)$

What is the goal of reinforcement learning?

maximize sum of rewards: $\max \sum_t^T r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$

but this is not a deterministic quantity!


Question: what are the sources of variability?



What is the goal of reinforcement learning?

maximize sum of rewards: $\max \sum_t^T r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$

maximize expected sum of rewards: $\max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p_{\theta}(\tau)} \left[\sum_t^T r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right]$

$$p(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T) = p(\mathbf{s}_1) \prod_{t=1}^T \pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_t | \mathbf{s}_t) p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1} | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

$$p_{\theta}(\tau)$$

Aside: why stochastic policies?


1. **Exploration**: to learn from your own experience, must try different things.
2. **Modeling stochastic behavior**: existing data will exhibit varying behaviors

We can leverage tools from **generative modeling**!

→ generative model over ***actions*** given states/observations

What is the goal of reinforcement learning?

maximize expected sum of rewards: $\max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p_{\theta}(\tau)} \left[\sum_t^T r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right]$

$$p(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T) = p(\mathbf{s}_1) \prod_{t=1}^T \pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_t | \mathbf{s}_t) p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1} | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$


$p_{\theta}(\tau)$

How good is a particular policy?

value function $V^{\pi}(\mathbf{s})$ - future expected reward starting at \mathbf{s} and following π

Q -function $Q^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$ - future expected reward starting at \mathbf{s} , taking \mathbf{a} , then following π

Types of algorithms

maximize expected sum of rewards: $\max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p_{\theta}(\tau)} \left[\sum_t^T r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right]$

1. **Imitation learning**: mimic a policy that achieves high reward
2. **Policy gradients**: directly differentiate the above objective
3. **Actor-critic**: estimate value of the current policy and use it to make the policy better
4. **Value-based**: estimate value of the optimal policy
5. **Model-based**: learn to model the dynamics, and use it for planning or policy improvement

Why so many algorithms?

Algorithms make different trade-offs, thrive under different assumptions.

- How easy / cheap is it to collect data with policy? (e.g. simulator vs. hand-written)
- How easy / cheap are different forms of supervision? (demos, detailed rewards)
- How important is stability and ease-of-use?
- Action space dimensionality, continuous vs. discrete
- Is it easy to learn the dynamics model?

Recap of definitions

state \mathbf{s}_t - the state of the “world” at time t

(or observation \mathbf{o}_t - what the agent observes at time t)

action \mathbf{a}_t - the decision taken at time t

reward function $r(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$ - how good is \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a} ?

initial state distr. $p(\mathbf{s}_1)$, unknown dynamics $p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1} | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$

(partially-observed)
Markov decision
process
MDP, POMDP

Recap of definitions

trajectory τ - sequence of states/observations and actions $(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T)$

policy π - represents behavior, selecting actions based on states or observations

Goal: learn policy π_θ that maximizes expected sum of rewards:

$$\max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p_{\theta}(\tau)} \left[\sum_t^T r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right]$$

value function $V^\pi(\mathbf{s})$ - future expected reward starting at \mathbf{s} and following π

Q-function $Q^\pi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$ - future expected reward starting at \mathbf{s} , taking \mathbf{a} , then following π

Course Reminders

Your Initial Steps:

Homework 1 comes out Friday, due Weds 4/18 at 11:59 pm PT
Start forming final project groups if you want to work in a group

Coming Up Next:

Imitation Learning Lecture (Friday 10:30, Hewlett 200)
PyTorch Tutorial (Friday 1:30, Gates B1)