

Alaska (AK) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).


1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
-31.4	-23.2	-28.7	-17.9	-16.7	-14.51	-11.66

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.5747	0.7378	0.7181	0.8016	0.7613	0.8343	0.8570	0.8901


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	29%	37%	36%	40%	38%	42%	43%	45%
R	71%	63%	64%	60%	62%	58%	57%	55%

Normalized result (national 50-50)




Alabama (AL) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)



Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.8635	0.7654	0.7343	0.7534	0.7156	0.7134	0.7060	0.7065


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	43%	38%	37%	38%	36%	36%	35%	35%
R	57%	62%	63%	62%	64%	64%	65%	65%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Arkansas (AR) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).


1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
-5.9	-7.4	-27	-27.6	-28.9	-32.07	-29.17

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9528	0.9254	0.7366	0.7243	0.7009	0.6783	0.6950	0.6971


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	48%	46%	37%	36%	35%	34%	35%	35%
R	52%	54%	63%	64%	65%	66%	65%	65%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Arizona (AZ) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-6.8	-8.1	-15.7	-13	-5.6	-4.15	-4.06

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9293	0.9223	0.8551	0.8758	0.9399	0.9629	0.9660	0.9774


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	46%	46%	43%	44%	47%	48%	48%	49%
R	54%	54%	57%	56%	53%	52%	52%	51%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



California (CA) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	11.2	12.3	16.8	19.2	28.1	24.71	21.61

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.1103	1.1282	1.1564	1.1831	1.2856	1.2384	1.2100	1.1841


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	56%	56%	58%	59%	64%	62%	60%	59%
R	44%	44%	42%	41%	36%	38%	40%	41%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Colorado (CO) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-8.9	-2.3	1.8	1.5	2.9	9.05	12.46

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.8807	0.9772	1.0170	1.0113	1.0030	1.0731	1.1200	1.1729


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	44%	49%	51%	51%	50%	54%	56%	59%
R	56%	51%	49%	49%	50%	46%	44%	41%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Connecticut (CT) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	17	12.8	15.2	13.5	11.7	15.58	15.98

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.1615	1.1283	1.1484	1.1408	1.1365	1.1560	1.1670	1.1743


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	58%	56%	57%	57%	57%	58%	58%	59%
R	42%	44%	43%	43%	43%	42%	42%	41%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



District of Columbia (DC) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)



Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.7690	1.8527	1.7524	1.7856	1.8845	1.7975	1.8680	1.8652


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	88%	93%	88%	89%	94%	90%	93%	93%
R	12%	7%	12%	11%	6%	10%	7%	7%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Delaware (DE) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	12.6	9.9	17.8	14.7	9.5	14.53	16.21

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.1421	1.1082	1.1740	1.1511	1.1112	1.1459	1.1690	1.1839


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	57%	55%	59%	58%	56%	57%	58%	59%
R	43%	45%	41%	42%	44%	43%	42%	41%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Florida (FL) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).


1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
-0.5	-2.6	-4.4	-3	-3.2	-7.81	-11.63

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.0145	0.9783	0.9672	0.9822	0.9960	0.9336	0.8900	0.8402


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	51%	49%	48%	49%	50%	47%	44%	42%
R	49%	51%	52%	51%	50%	53%	56%	58%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Georgia (GA) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).


1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
-12.2	-14.2	-12.4	-11.7	-7.1	-4.21	-0.73

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.8977	0.8595	0.8906	0.8938	0.9506	0.9651	1.0040	1.0223


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	45%	43%	45%	45%	48%	48%	50%	51%
R	55%	57%	55%	55%	52%	52%	50%	49%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Hawaii (HI) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	17.8	11.1	38	38.8	30.2	25.01	24.58

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.1590	1.1220	1.3543	1.3777	1.2701	1.2318	1.2540	1.2443


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	58%	56%	68%	69%	64%	62%	63%	62%
R	42%	44%	32%	31%	36%	38%	37%	38%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Iowa (IA) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-0.2	1.7	2.3	1.9	-11.4	-12.65	-11.74

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9804	1.0228	1.0221	1.0211	0.8696	0.8709	0.8800	0.8757


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	49%	51%	51%	51%	43%	44%	44%	44%
R	51%	49%	49%	49%	57%	56%	56%	56%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Idaho (ID) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).


1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
-40.1	-35.7	-32.6	-35.8	-33.8	-35.22	-35.03

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.5741	0.6287	0.6840	0.6407	0.5726	0.6450	0.6290	0.6339


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	29%	31%	34%	32%	29%	32%	31%	32%
R	71%	69%	66%	68%	71%	68%	69%	68%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Illinois (IL) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	11.5	12.7	17.9	13	15	12.54	12.37

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.1342	1.1389	1.1735	1.1313	1.1627	1.1224	1.1250	1.1201


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	57%	57%	59%	57%	58%	56%	56%	56%
R	43%	43%	41%	43%	42%	44%	44%	44%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Indiana (IN) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-16.1	-18.2	-6.2	-14.1	-21.1	-20.51	-17.49

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.8520	0.8157	0.9466	0.8628	0.7867	0.7990	0.8200	0.8204


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	43%	41%	47%	43%	39%	40%	41%	41%
R	57%	59%	53%	57%	61%	60%	59%	59%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Kansas (KS) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)



Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.7736	0.7608	0.7894	0.7462	0.7509	0.8107	0.8490	0.8970


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	39%	38%	39%	37%	38%	41%	42%	45%
R	61%	62%	61%	63%	62%	59%	58%	55%

Normalized result (national 50-50)




Kentucky (KY) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)



Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.8596	0.8245	0.7803	0.7424	0.6807	0.7052	0.7020	0.7052


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	43%	41%	39%	37%	34%	35%	35%	35%
R	57%	59%	61%	63%	66%	65%	65%	65%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Louisiana (LA) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-8.2	-12.1	-25.9	-21.1	-21.7	-23.06	-20.54

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9322	0.8770	0.7569	0.7970	0.8008	0.7774	0.7910	0.7922


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	47%	44%	38%	40%	40%	39%	40%	40%
R	53%	56%	62%	60%	60%	61%	60%	60%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Massachusetts (MA) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).


1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
26.8	27.5	18.6	19.3	25.2	29.01	26.67

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.2283	1.2800	1.1630	1.1851	1.2298	1.2703	1.2670	1.2744


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	61%	64%	58%	59%	61%	64%	63%	64%
R	39%	36%	42%	41%	39%	36%	37%	36%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Maryland (MD) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	15.7	15.4	18.2	22.2	24.4	28.76	30.01

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.1735	1.1628	1.1736	1.2172	1.2565	1.2750	1.2960	1.3073


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	59%	58%	59%	61%	63%	64%	65%	65%
R	41%	42%	41%	39%	37%	36%	35%	35%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Maine (ME) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	4.6	11.4	10.1	11.4	0.9	4.62	8.41

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.0197	1.1129	1.0937	1.0875	0.9652	1.0246	1.0840	1.1288


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	51%	56%	55%	54%	48%	51%	54%	56%
R	49%	44%	45%	46%	52%	49%	46%	44%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Michigan (MI) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	4.7	5.8	9.2	5.6	-2.2	-1.67	0.05

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.0653	1.0643	1.0885	1.0647	0.9846	0.9874	1.0000	0.9989


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	53%	53%	54%	53%	49%	49%	50%	50%
R	47%	47%	46%	47%	51%	51%	50%	50%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Minnesota (MN) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	1.9	5.9	3.1	3.8	-0.5	2.67	5.71

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9952	1.0614	1.0246	1.0341	0.9673	1.0221	1.0540	1.0853


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	50%	53%	51%	52%	48%	51%	53%	54%
R	50%	47%	49%	48%	52%	49%	47%	46%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Missouri (MO) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).


1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
-3.8	-4.8	-7.3	-13.3	-20.7	-19.84	-16.94

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9781	0.9577	0.9343	0.8717	0.7942	0.8077	0.8290	0.8355


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	49%	48%	47%	44%	40%	40%	41%	42%
R	51%	52%	53%	56%	60%	60%	59%	58%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Mississippi (MS) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).


1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
-17.4	-17.3	-20.4	-15.4	-19.8	-20.99	-21.42

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.8455	0.8343	0.8150	0.8600	0.8355	0.8009	0.7860	0.7698


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	42%	42%	41%	43%	42%	40%	39%	38%
R	58%	58%	59%	57%	58%	60%	61%	62%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Montana (MT) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).


1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
-25.5	-18.1	-9.4	-17.6	-22.6	-20.82	-18.46

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.6931	0.8012	0.8959	0.8190	0.7484	0.7910	0.7960	0.7992


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	35%	40%	45%	41%	37%	40%	40%	40%
R	65%	60%	55%	59%	63%	60%	60%	60%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



North Carolina (NC) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).


1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
-13.3	-10	-6.9	-5.9	-5.6	-5.79	-1.74

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.8963	0.9054	0.9420	0.9496	0.9617	0.9478	0.9860	0.9868


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	45%	45%	47%	47%	48%	47%	49%	49%
R	55%	55%	53%	53%	52%	53%	51%	51%

Normalized result (national 50-50)




North Dakota (ND) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)



Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.6867	0.7375	0.8457	0.7600	0.5671	0.6195	0.6310	0.6342


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	34%	37%	42%	38%	28%	31%	32%	32%
R	66%	63%	58%	62%	72%	69%	68%	68%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Nebraska (NE) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-29.4	-30.8	-22.1	-25.7	-27	-23.6	-19.1

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.6908	0.6789	0.7884	0.7469	0.7018	0.7640	0.8040	0.8435


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	35%	34%	39%	37%	35%	38%	40%	42%
R	65%	66%	61%	63%	65%	62%	60%	58%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



New Hampshire (NH) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-1.8	3.7	2.5	1.6	-1.7	2.9	4.25

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9723	1.0429	1.0259	1.0209	0.9753	1.0282	1.0480	1.0715


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	49%	52%	51%	51%	49%	51%	52%	54%
R	51%	48%	49%	49%	51%	49%	48%	46%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



New Jersey (NJ) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	15.3	9.1	8.4	13.8	12.1	11.48	7.38

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.1659	1.0994	1.0855	1.1459	1.1549	1.1184	1.0760	1.0497


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	58%	55%	54%	57%	58%	56%	54%	52%
R	42%	45%	46%	43%	42%	44%	46%	48%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



New Mexico (NM) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-0.4	1.6	7.9	6.3	6.3	6.34	7.47

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9952	1.0189	1.0786	1.0408	1.0050	1.0590	1.0730	1.0933


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	50%	51%	54%	52%	50%	53%	54%	55%
R	50%	49%	46%	48%	50%	47%	46%	45%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Nevada (NV) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-4	-0.2	5.2	2.8	0.4	-2.06	-1.63

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9544	0.9946	1.0452	1.0283	0.9980	0.9764	0.9830	0.9784


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	48%	50%	52%	51%	50%	49%	49%	49%
R	52%	50%	48%	49%	50%	51%	51%	51%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



New York (NY) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).


1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
24.5	20.7	19.5	24.3	20.3	18.66	14.07

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.1766	1.1661	1.1402	1.1934	1.1692	1.1780	1.1570	1.1562


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	59%	58%	57%	60%	58%	59%	58%	58%
R	41%	42%	43%	40%	42%	41%	42%	42%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Ohio (OH) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-4.1	0.3	-2.6	-0.9	-10.1	-12.48	-9.74

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9647	1.0118	0.9762	0.9951	0.9072	0.8825	0.9090	0.9058


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	48%	51%	49%	50%	45%	44%	45%	45%
R	52%	49%	51%	50%	55%	56%	55%	55%

Normalized result (national 50-50)




Oklahoma (OK) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)



Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.7983	0.7153	0.6511	0.6526	0.6026	0.6298	0.6600	0.6824


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	40%	36%	33%	33%	30%	31%	33%	34%
R	60%	64%	67%	67%	70%	69%	67%	66%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Oregon (OR) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	0	6.5	9.1	8.2	9	11.63	15.77

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9755	1.0667	1.0756	1.0653	1.0429	1.1012	1.1440	1.1845


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	49%	53%	54%	53%	52%	55%	57%	59%
R	51%	47%	46%	47%	48%	45%	43%	41%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Pennsylvania (PA) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	3.7	4.9	3.1	1.5	-2.7	-3.28	-0.24

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.0513	1.0579	1.0327	1.0228	0.9967	0.9755	1.0070	1.0067


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	53%	53%	52%	51%	50%	49%	50%	50%
R	47%	47%	48%	49%	50%	51%	50%	50%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Rhode Island (RI) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	28.6	23.1	20.7	23.6	13.5	16.33	15.25

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.2669	1.2345	1.1915	1.2315	1.1331	1.1602	1.1490	1.1505


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	63%	62%	60%	62%	57%	58%	57%	58%
R	37%	38%	40%	38%	43%	42%	43%	42%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



South Carolina (SC) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).


1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
-16.4	-14.7	-16.2	-14.4	-16.2	-16.13	-16.4

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.8497	0.8497	0.8509	0.8659	0.8471	0.8469	0.8350	0.8328


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	42%	42%	43%	43%	42%	42%	42%	42%
R	58%	58%	57%	57%	58%	58%	58%	58%

Normalized result (national 50-50)




South Dakota (SD) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)



Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.7803	0.7986	0.8481	0.7830	0.6610	0.6945	0.7090	0.7142


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	39%	40%	42%	39%	33%	35%	35%	36%
R	61%	60%	58%	61%	67%	65%	65%	64%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Tennessee (TN) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-4.3	-11.9	-22.3	-24.3	-28	-27.66	-28.25

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9823	0.8834	0.7928	0.7675	0.7230	0.7306	0.7130	0.7150


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	49%	44%	40%	38%	36%	37%	36%	36%
R	51%	56%	60%	62%	64%	63%	64%	64%

Normalized result (national 50-50)




Texas (TX) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)



Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.7890	0.7941	0.8279	0.8128	0.9005	0.9067	0.8790	0.8788


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	39%	40%	41%	41%	45%	45%	44%	44%
R	61%	60%	59%	59%	55%	55%	56%	56%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Utah (UT) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).


1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
-41	-43.1	-35.4	-52	-20	-24.93	-20.12

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.5473	0.5400	0.6521	0.4861	0.5719	0.7343	0.7820	0.9146


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	27%	27%	33%	24%	29%	37%	39%	46%
R	73%	73%	67%	76%	71%	63%	61%	54%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Virginia (VA) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-8.6	-5.8	-0.9	0	3.4	5.66	7.25

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9231	0.9458	0.9975	1.0047	1.0362	1.0555	1.0730	1.0805


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	46%	47%	50%	50%	52%	53%	54%	54%
R	54%	53%	50%	50%	48%	47%	46%	46%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Vermont (VT) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	9.4	22.5	29.9	31.7	24.4	30.97	32.98

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.0519	1.2244	1.2785	1.3075	1.1605	1.2768	1.3210	1.3798


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	53%	61%	64%	65%	58%	64%	66%	69%
R	47%	39%	36%	35%	42%	36%	34%	31%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Washington (WA) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	5.1	9.6	10	11	14.2	14.75	19.69

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.0420	1.0973	1.0927	1.1031	1.0942	1.1308	1.1840	1.2173


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	52%	55%	55%	55%	55%	57%	59%	61%
R	48%	45%	45%	45%	45%	43%	41%	39%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Wisconsin (WI) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-0.3	2.8	6.7	3	-2.7	-3.82	0.61

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9937	1.0325	1.0655	1.0365	0.9675	0.9646	1.0090	1.0097


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	50%	52%	53%	52%	48%	48%	50%	50%
R	50%	48%	47%	48%	52%	52%	50%	50%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



West Virginia (WV) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-6.8	-10.5	-20.3	-30.7	-44.1	-43.38	-40.4

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9471	0.8976	0.8072	0.6980	0.5514	0.5793	0.5820	0.5896


Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	47%	45%	40%	35%	28%	29%	29%	29%
R	53%	55%	60%	65%	72%	71%	71%	71%

Normalized result (national 50-50)



Wyoming (WY) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).


1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
-40.6	-37.4	-39.2	-44.7	-48.3	-47.84	-44.29

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)




2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% — Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.5879	0.6029	0.6162	0.5428	0.4505	0.5147	0.5350	0.5657

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	29%	30%	31%	27%	23%	26%	27%	28%
R	71%	70%	69%	73%	77%	74%	73%	72%

Normalized result (national 50-50)

