

Alaska (AK) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000

2004

2008

2012

2016

2020

2024

-31.4

-23.2

-28.7


-17.9

-16.7

-14.51

-11.66

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.5747	0.7378	0.7181	0.8016	0.7613	0.8343	0.8570	0.8901


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	29%	37%	36%	40%	38%	42%	43%	45%
R	71%	63%	64%	60%	62%	58%	57%	55%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Alabama (AL) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-15.4	-23.3	-28.8	-26	-29.7	-29.91	-29

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.8635	0.7654	0.7343	0.7534	0.7156	0.7134	0.7060	0.7065


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	43%	38%	37%	38%	36%	36%	35%	35%
R	57%	62%	63%	62%	64%	64%	65%	65%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Arkansas (AR) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national


Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

-5.9 -7.4 -27 -27.6 -28.9 -32.07 -29.17

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9528	0.9254	0.7366	0.7243	0.7009	0.6783	0.6950	0.6971


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	48%	46%	37%	36%	35%	34%	35%	35%
R	52%	54%	63%	64%	65%	66%	65%	65%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Arizona (AZ) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000

2004

2008

2012

2016

2020

2024

-6.8

-8.1

-15.7


-13

-5.6

-4.15

-4.06

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9293	0.9223	0.8551	0.8758	0.9399	0.9629	0.9660	0.9774


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	46%	46%	43%	44%	47%	48%	48%	49%
R	54%	54%	57%	56%	53%	52%	52%	51%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



California (CA) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	11.2	12.3	16.8	19.2	28.1	24.71	21.61

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.1103	1.1282	1.1564	1.1831	1.2856	1.2384	1.2100	1.1841


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	56%	56%	58%	59%	64%	62%	60%	59%
R	44%	44%	42%	41%	36%	38%	40%	41%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Colorado (CO) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

-8.9 -2.3 1.8 1.5 2.9 9.05 12.46

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.8807	0.9772	1.0170	1.0113	1.0030	1.0731	1.1200	1.1729


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	44%	49%	51%	51%	50%	54%	56%	59%
R	56%	51%	49%	49%	50%	46%	44%	41%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Connecticut (CT) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000

2004

2008

2012

2016

2020

2024

17

12.8

15.2


13.5

11.7

15.58

15.98

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.1615	1.1283	1.1484	1.1408	1.1365	1.1560	1.1670	1.1743


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	58%	56%	57%	57%	57%	58%	58%	59%
R	42%	44%	43%	43%	43%	42%	42%	41%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



District of Columbia (DC) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national


Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

75.7 82.3 78.8 79.7 84.8 82.3 85.28

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.7690	1.8527	1.7524	1.7856	1.8845	1.7975	1.8680	1.8652


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	88%	93%	88%	89%	94%	90%	93%	93%
R	12%	7%	12%	11%	6%	10%	7%	7%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Delaware (DE) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).


1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
Lean by year	12.6	9.9	17.8	14.7	9.5	14.53	16.21

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.1421	1.1082	1.1740	1.1511	1.1112	1.1459	1.1690	1.1839


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	57%	55%	59%	58%	56%	57%	58%	59%
R	43%	45%	41%	42%	44%	43%	42%	41%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Florida (FL) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national


Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

-0.5 -2.6 -4.4 -3 -3.2 -7.81 -11.63

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.0145	0.9783	0.9672	0.9822	0.9960	0.9336	0.8900	0.8402


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	51%	49%	48%	49%	50%	47%	44%	42%
R	49%	51%	52%	51%	50%	53%	56%	58%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Georgia (GA) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000

2004

2008

2012

2016

2020

2024

-12.2

-14.2

-12.4


-11.7

-7.1

-4.21

-0.73

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.8977	0.8595	0.8906	0.8938	0.9506	0.9651	1.0040	1.0223


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	45%	43%	45%	45%	48%	48%	50%	51%
R	55%	57%	55%	55%	52%	52%	50%	49%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Hawaii (HI) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national


Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

17.8 11.1 38 38.8 30.2 25.01 24.58

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.1590	1.1220	1.3543	1.3777	1.2701	1.2318	1.2540	1.2443


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	58%	56%	68%	69%	64%	62%	63%	62%
R	42%	44%	32%	31%	36%	38%	37%	38%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Iowa (IA) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

-0.2

1.7

2.3


1.9

-11.4

-12.65

-11.74

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9804	1.0228	1.0221	1.0211	0.8696	0.8709	0.8800	0.8757


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	49%	51%	51%	51%	43%	44%	44%	44%
R	51%	49%	49%	49%	57%	56%	56%	56%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Idaho (ID) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

-40.1

-35.7

2008

2012

2016

2020

2024

-32.6

-35.8

-33.8

-35.22

-35.03

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.5741	0.6287	0.6840	0.6407	0.5726	0.6450	0.6290	0.6339


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	29%	31%	34%	32%	29%	32%	31%	32%
R	71%	69%	66%	68%	71%	68%	69%	68%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Illinois (IL) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

11.5

12.7

2008

2012

2016

2020

2024

17.9


13

15

12.54

12.37

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.1342	1.1389	1.1735	1.1313	1.1627	1.1224	1.1250	1.1201


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	57%	57%	59%	57%	58%	56%	56%	56%
R	43%	43%	41%	43%	42%	44%	44%	44%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Indiana (IN) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000

2004

2008

2012

2016

2020

2024

-16.1

-18.2

-6.2


-14.1

-21.1

-20.51

-17.49

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.8520	0.8157	0.9466	0.8628	0.7867	0.7990	0.8200	0.8204


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	43%	41%	47%	43%	39%	40%	41%	41%
R	57%	59%	53%	57%	61%	60%	59%	59%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Kansas (KS) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-21.3	-23	-22.1	-25.6	-22.6	-19.1	-14.65

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.7736	0.7608	0.7894	0.7462	0.7509	0.8107	0.8490	0.8970


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	39%	38%	39%	37%	38%	41%	42%	45%
R	61%	62%	61%	63%	62%	59%	58%	55%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Kentucky (KY) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

-15.6

-17.4

2008

-23.4

2012

-26.6

2016

-31.8


2020

-30.39

2024

-29.06

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.8596	0.8245	0.7803	0.7424	0.6807	0.7052	0.7020	0.7052


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	43%	41%	39%	37%	34%	35%	35%	35%
R	57%	59%	61%	63%	66%	65%	65%	65%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Louisiana (LA) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national


Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

-8.2 -12.1 -25.9 -21.1 -21.7 -23.06 -20.54

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9322	0.8770	0.7569	0.7970	0.8008	0.7774	0.7910	0.7922


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	47%	44%	38%	40%	40%	39%	40%	40%
R	53%	56%	62%	60%	60%	61%	60%	60%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Massachusetts (MA) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

26.8

27.5

18.6


19.3

25.2

29.01

26.67

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.2283	1.2800	1.1630	1.1851	1.2298	1.2703	1.2670	1.2744


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	61%	64%	58%	59%	61%	64%	63%	64%
R	39%	36%	42%	41%	39%	36%	37%	36%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Maryland (MD) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000

2004

2008

2012

2016

2020

2024

15.7

15.4

18.2


22.2

24.4

28.76

30.01

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.1735	1.1628	1.1736	1.2172	1.2565	1.2750	1.2960	1.3073


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	59%	58%	59%	61%	63%	64%	65%	65%
R	41%	42%	41%	39%	37%	36%	35%	35%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Maine (ME) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

4.6

11.4

10.1


11.4

0.9

4.62

8.41

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.0197	1.1129	1.0937	1.0875	0.9652	1.0246	1.0840	1.1288


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	51%	56%	55%	54%	48%	51%	54%	56%
R	49%	44%	45%	46%	52%	49%	46%	44%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Michigan (MI) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).


1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
Lean by year	4.7	5.8	9.2	5.6	-2.2	-1.67	0.05

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.0653	1.0643	1.0885	1.0647	0.9846	0.9874	1.0000	0.9989


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	53%	53%	54%	53%	49%	49%	50%	50%
R	47%	47%	46%	47%	51%	51%	50%	50%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Minnesota (MN) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national


Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

1.9 5.9 3.1 3.8 -0.5 2.67 5.71

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9952	1.0614	1.0246	1.0341	0.9673	1.0221	1.0540	1.0853


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	50%	53%	51%	52%	48%	51%	53%	54%
R	50%	47%	49%	48%	52%	49%	47%	46%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Missouri (MO) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000

2004

2008

2012

2016

2020

2024

-3.8

-4.8

-7.3

-13.3

-20.7

-19.84

-16.94

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9781	0.9577	0.9343	0.8717	0.7942	0.8077	0.8290	0.8355


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	49%	48%	47%	44%	40%	40%	41%	42%
R	51%	52%	53%	56%	60%	60%	59%	58%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Mississippi (MS) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000

2004

2008

2012

2016

2020

2024

-17.4

-17.3

-20.4


-15.4

-19.8

-20.99

-21.42

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.8455	0.8343	0.8150	0.8600	0.8355	0.8009	0.7860	0.7698


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	42%	42%	41%	43%	42%	40%	39%	38%
R	58%	58%	59%	57%	58%	60%	61%	62%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Montana (MT) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000

2004

2008

2012

2016

2020

2024

-25.5

-18.1

-9.4


-17.6

-22.6

-20.82

-18.46

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.6931	0.8012	0.8959	0.8190	0.7484	0.7910	0.7960	0.7992


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	35%	40%	45%	41%	37%	40%	40%	40%
R	65%	60%	55%	59%	63%	60%	60%	60%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



North Carolina (NC) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000

2004

2008

2012

2016

2020

2024

-13.3

-10

-6.9


-5.9

-5.6

-5.79

-1.74

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.8963	0.9054	0.9420	0.9496	0.9617	0.9478	0.9860	0.9868


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	45%	45%	47%	47%	48%	47%	49%	49%
R	55%	55%	53%	53%	52%	53%	51%	51%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



North Dakota (ND) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

-28.1

-25

-15.9


-23.5

-37.8

-37.8

-34.98

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.6867	0.7375	0.8457	0.7600	0.5671	0.6195	0.6310	0.6342


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	34%	37%	42%	38%	28%	31%	32%	32%
R	66%	63%	58%	62%	72%	69%	68%	68%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Nebraska (NE) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national


Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

-29.4 -30.8 -22.1 -25.7 -27 -23.6 -19.1

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.6908	0.6789	0.7884	0.7469	0.7018	0.7640	0.8040	0.8435


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	35%	34%	39%	37%	35%	38%	40%	42%
R	65%	66%	61%	63%	65%	62%	60%	58%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



New Hampshire (NH) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).


1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
Lean	-1.8	3.7	2.5	1.6	-1.7	2.9	4.25

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9723	1.0429	1.0259	1.0209	0.9753	1.0282	1.0480	1.0715


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	49%	52%	51%	51%	49%	51%	52%	54%
R	51%	48%	49%	49%	51%	49%	48%	46%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



New Jersey (NJ) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).


1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
Lean	15.3	9.1	8.4	13.8	12.1	11.48	7.38

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.1659	1.0994	1.0855	1.1459	1.1549	1.1184	1.0760	1.0497


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	58%	55%	54%	57%	58%	56%	54%	52%
R	42%	45%	46%	43%	42%	44%	46%	48%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



New Mexico (NM) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-0.4	1.6	7.9	6.3	6.3	6.34	7.47

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9952	1.0189	1.0786	1.0408	1.0050	1.0590	1.0730	1.0933


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	50%	51%	54%	52%	50%	53%	54%	55%
R	50%	49%	46%	48%	50%	47%	46%	45%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Nevada (NV) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national


Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

-4 -0.2 5.2 2.8 0.4 -2.06 -1.63

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9544	0.9946	1.0452	1.0283	0.9980	0.9764	0.9830	0.9784


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	48%	50%	52%	51%	50%	49%	49%	49%
R	52%	50%	48%	49%	50%	51%	51%	51%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



New York (NY) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

24.5

20.7

19.5


24.3

20.3

18.66

14.07

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.1766	1.1661	1.1402	1.1934	1.1692	1.1780	1.1570	1.1562


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	59%	58%	57%	60%	58%	59%	58%	58%
R	41%	42%	43%	40%	42%	41%	42%	42%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Ohio (OH) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national


Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

-4.1 0.3 -2.6 -0.9 -10.1 -12.48 -9.74

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9647	1.0118	0.9762	0.9951	0.9072	0.8825	0.9090	0.9058


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	48%	51%	49%	50%	45%	44%	45%	45%
R	52%	49%	51%	50%	55%	56%	55%	55%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Oklahoma (OK) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: $(\text{State D\%} - \text{State R\%}) - (\text{National D\%} - \text{National R\%})$

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-22.4	-28.8	-38.4	-37.5	-38.4	-37.53	-32.79

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.7983	0.7153	0.6511	0.6526	0.6026	0.6298	0.6600	0.6824


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	40%	36%	33%	33%	30%	31%	33%	34%
R	60%	64%	67%	67%	70%	69%	67%	66%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Oregon (OR) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	0	6.5	9.1	8.2	9	11.63	15.77

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9755	1.0667	1.0756	1.0653	1.0429	1.1012	1.1440	1.1845


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	49%	53%	54%	53%	52%	55%	57%	59%
R	51%	47%	46%	47%	48%	45%	43%	41%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Pennsylvania (PA) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national


Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

3.7 4.9 3.1 1.5 -2.7 -3.28 -0.24

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.0513	1.0579	1.0327	1.0228	0.9967	0.9755	1.0070	1.0067


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	53%	53%	52%	51%	50%	49%	50%	50%
R	47%	47%	48%	49%	50%	51%	50%	50%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Rhode Island (RI) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000

2004

2008

2012

2016

2020

2024

28.6

23.1

20.7


23.6

13.5

16.33

15.25

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.2669	1.2345	1.1915	1.2315	1.1331	1.1602	1.1490	1.1505


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	63%	62%	60%	62%	57%	58%	57%	58%
R	37%	38%	40%	38%	43%	42%	43%	42%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



South Carolina (SC) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

-16.4

-14.7

-16.2


-14.4

-16.2

-16.13

-16.4

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.8497	0.8497	0.8509	0.8659	0.8471	0.8469	0.8350	0.8328


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	42%	42%	43%	43%	42%	42%	42%	42%
R	58%	58%	57%	57%	58%	58%	58%	58%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



South Dakota (SD) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

-23.2

-19.1

-15.7


-21.9

-31.8

-30.61

-27.72

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.7803	0.7986	0.8481	0.7830	0.6610	0.6945	0.7090	0.7142


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	39%	40%	42%	39%	33%	35%	35%	36%
R	61%	60%	58%	61%	67%	65%	65%	64%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Tennessee (TN) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national


Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

-4.3 -11.9 -22.3 -24.3 -28 -27.66 -28.25

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9823	0.8834	0.7928	0.7675	0.7230	0.7306	0.7130	0.7150


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	49%	44%	40%	38%	36%	37%	36%	36%
R	51%	56%	60%	62%	64%	63%	64%	64%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Texas (TX) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000

2004

2008

2012

2016

2020

2024

-21.8

-20.5

-19


-19.7

-11

-10.03

-12.21

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.7890	0.7941	0.8279	0.8128	0.9005	0.9067	0.8790	0.8788


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	39%	40%	41%	41%	45%	45%	44%	44%
R	61%	60%	59%	59%	55%	55%	56%	56%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Utah (UT) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national


Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

-41 -43.1 -35.4 -52 -20 -24.93 -20.12

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%

D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.5473	0.5400	0.6521	0.4861	0.5719	0.7343	0.7820	0.9146


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	27%	27%	33%	24%	29%	37%	39%	46%
R	73%	73%	67%	76%	71%	63%	61%	54%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Virginia (VA) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	-8.6	-5.8	-0.9	0	3.4	5.66	7.25

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9231	0.9458	0.9975	1.0047	1.0362	1.0555	1.0730	1.0805


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	46%	47%	50%	50%	52%	53%	54%	54%
R	54%	53%	50%	50%	48%	47%	46%	46%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Vermont (VT) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot


One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024
	9.4	22.5	29.9	31.7	24.4	30.97	32.98

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.0519	1.2244	1.2785	1.3075	1.1605	1.2768	1.3210	1.3798


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	53%	61%	64%	65%	58%	64%	66%	69%
R	47%	39%	36%	35%	42%	36%	34%	31%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Washington (WA) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000

2004

2008

2012

2016

2020

2024

5.1

9.6

10


11

14.2

14.75

19.69

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	1.0420	1.0973	1.0927	1.1031	1.0942	1.1308	1.1840	1.2173


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	52%	55%	55%	55%	55%	57%	59%	61%
R	48%	45%	45%	45%	45%	43%	41%	39%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Wisconsin (WI) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national


Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

-0.3 2.8 6.7 3 -2.7 -3.82 0.61

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9937	1.0325	1.0655	1.0365	0.9675	0.9646	1.0090	1.0097


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	50%	52%	53%	52%	48%	48%	50%	50%
R	50%	48%	47%	48%	52%	52%	50%	50%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



West Virginia (WV) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national


Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000 2004 2008 2012 2016 2020 2024

-6.8 -10.5 -20.3 -30.7 -44.1 -43.38 -40.4

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.9471	0.8976	0.8072	0.6980	0.5514	0.5793	0.5820	0.5896


Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	47%	45%	40%	35%	28%	29%	29%	29%
R	53%	55%	60%	65%	72%	71%	71%	71%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)



Wyoming (WY) — Presidential Metrics Snapshot

One-page state profile (autogenerated).

1) Relative partisan lean vs national

Formula: (State D% - State R%) - (National D% - National R%)

Lean by year

2000

2004

2008

2012

2016

2020

2024

-40.6

-37.4

-39.2


-44.7

-48.3

-47.84

-44.29

Relative Partisan Lean (time series)



2) State-to-national scaling and 50-50 normalization

Ratio shown: State D% / National D% | Normalization assumes national vote = 50%-50%


D ratio (State % / National %)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D ratio	0.5879	0.6029	0.6162	0.5428	0.4505	0.5147	0.5350	0.5657

Normalized shares (national 50-50)

	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2020	2024	2028
D	29%	30%	31%	27%	23%	26%	27%	28%
R	71%	70%	69%	73%	77%	74%	73%	72%

Democratic ratio over time



Normalized result (national 50-50)

