

0.1 Coupon Bonds with Stochastic Interest Rate

A coupon bond is a financial contract that yields a known amount F , which is usually known as the face value, at a maturity date $t = T$ while also paying out coupons through its lifetime. In this problem, the bond paid out a continuous coupon at the rate of $Ce^{-\alpha t}$ for constants $C = 2.91$ and $\alpha = 0.01$. The risk-neutral process followed by a stochastic interest rate r is given by:

$$dr = \kappa(\theta e^{\mu t} - r)dt + \sigma r^\beta dW, \quad (1)$$

where $W(t)$ denotes the Wiener process and the following constants are set at $\kappa = 0.04227$, $\theta = 0.0319$, $\mu = 0.0036$, $\sigma = 0.241$ and $\beta = 0.527$. It then follows that the market value of the coupon bond $B(r, t; T)$ satisfies the following PDE:

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 r^{2\beta} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r^2} + \kappa(\theta e^{\mu t} - r) \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} - rB + Ce^{-\alpha t} = 0; \quad (2)$$

the domain of this problem is $r \in [0, \infty)$ and $0 \leq t < T$. Furthermore, $B(r, t; T)$ then satisfies boundary conditions

$$B(r, t = T; T) = F; \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + \kappa\theta e^{\mu t} \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} + Ce^{-\alpha t} = 0 \text{ at } r = 0; \quad (4)$$

$$B(r, t; T) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5)$$

(5) is known as a Dirichlet condition. The following boundary condition, known as a Neumann condition, is also appropriate:

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial r} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6)$$

Now consider a call option V to buy the bond at time $T_1 \in [0, T]$ with strike price X . If $V(r, t; T_1, T)$ is the value of the call option to buy then, on the domain $r \in [0, \infty)$, $t < T_1$, it can be shown that $V(r, t; T_1, T)$ satisfies the following PDE:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 r^{2\beta} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r^2} + \kappa(\theta e^{\mu t} - r) \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} - rV = 0. \quad (7)$$

If this is a European call option then $V(r, t; T_1, T)$ satisfies the boundary conditions

$$V(r, t = T_1; T_1, T) = \max\{B(r, T_1; T) - X, 0\}; \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \kappa\theta e^{\mu t} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} = 0 \text{ at } r = 0; \quad (9)$$

$$V(r, t; T_1, T) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (10)$$

While if this is an American call option the boundary conditions are given by

$$V(r, t; T_1, T) \geq \max\{B(r, t; T) - X, 0\}, \quad t \leq T_1; \quad (11)$$

$$V(r, t; T_1, T) = B(r, t; T) - X \text{ at } r = 0; \quad (12)$$

$$V(r, t; T_1, T) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (13)$$

0.2 The Crank-Nicolson Method

The Crank-Nicolson scheme is a finite difference method that approximates derivatives halfway between grid points. That is to say if we have a time-step Δt then derivatives are evaluated at $t + \Delta t/2$. Consequently, when using the scheme, all functions, for example the continuous coupon in (2), must also be evaluated at $t + \Delta t/2$ to be consistent. The method says for a general function $f(r, t)$ with a grid such that f_j^i denotes f evaluated at $t = i\Delta t$ and $r = j\Delta r$, where Δr is the step size in r , that

$$f \approx \frac{1}{2}(f_j^i + f_j^{i+1}); \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \approx \frac{f_j^{i+1} - f_j^i}{\Delta t}; \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \approx \frac{1}{4\Delta r}(f_{j+1}^i - f_{j-1}^i + f_{j+1}^{i+1} - f_{j-1}^{i+1}); \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial r^2} \approx \frac{1}{2\Delta r^2}(f_{j+1}^i - 2f_j^i + f_{j-1}^i + f_{j+1}^{i+1} - 2f_j^{i+1} + f_{j-1}^{i+1}), \quad (17)$$

where these are all evaluated at $t + \Delta/2$.

If we apply these approximations to (2) and rearrange to have known values on one side and the unknowns on the other we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4}B_{j-1}^i \left(\sigma^2 j^2 r^{2(\beta-1)} - \frac{1}{\Delta r} \kappa \theta e^{\mu(i+1/2)\Delta t} + \kappa j \right) - B_j^i \left(\frac{1}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 j^2 r^{2(\beta-1)} + \frac{r}{2} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{4}B_{j+1}^i \left(\sigma^2 j^2 r^{2(\beta-1)} + \frac{1}{\Delta r} \kappa \theta e^{\mu(i+1/2)\Delta t} - \kappa j \right) \\ & = -\frac{1}{4}B_{j-1}^{i+1} \left(\sigma^2 j^2 r^{2(\beta-1)} - \frac{1}{\Delta r} \kappa \theta e^{\mu(i+1/2)\Delta t} + \kappa j \right) + B_j^{i+1} \left(-\frac{1}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 j^2 r^{2(\beta-1)} + \frac{r}{2} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{4}B_{j+1}^{i+1} \left(\sigma^2 j^2 r^{2(\beta-1)} + \frac{1}{\Delta r} \kappa \theta e^{\mu(i+1/2)\Delta t} - \kappa j \right) - C e^{-\alpha(i+1/2)\Delta t}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

We can then rewrite this valuation problem in terms of matrices as

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_0 & c_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ a_1 & b_1 & c_1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & a_2 & b_2 & c_2 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & a_3 & b_3 & c_3 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_j & b_j & c_j & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_{jmax} & b_{jmax} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_0^i \\ B_1^i \\ B_2^i \\ B_3^i \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ B_{jmax-1}^i \\ B_{jmax}^i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_0^i \\ d_1^i \\ d_2^i \\ d_3^i \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ d_{jmax-1}^i \\ d_{jmax}^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

where, for $1 \leq j < jmax$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} a_j &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\sigma^2 j^2 r^{2(\beta-1)} - \frac{1}{\Delta r} \kappa \theta e^{\mu(i+1/2)\Delta t} + \kappa j \right) \\ b_j &= - \left(\frac{1}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 j^2 r^{2(\beta-1)} + \frac{r}{2} \right) \\ c_j &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\sigma^2 j^2 r^{2(\beta-1)} + \frac{1}{\Delta r} \kappa \theta e^{\mu(i+1/2)\Delta t} - \kappa j \right) \\ d_j &= -\frac{1}{4}B_{j-1}^{i+1} \left(\sigma^2 j^2 r^{2(\beta-1)} - \frac{1}{\Delta r} \kappa \theta e^{\mu(i+1/2)\Delta t} + \kappa j \right) + B_j^{i+1} \left(-\frac{1}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 j^2 r^{2(\beta-1)} + \frac{r}{2} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{4}B_{j+1}^{i+1} \left(\sigma^2 j^2 r^{2(\beta-1)} + \frac{1}{\Delta r} \kappa \theta e^{\mu(i+1/2)\Delta t} - \kappa j \right) - C e^{-\alpha(i+1/2)\Delta t}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

It is useful to keep in mind we can then say

$$a_j B_{j-1}^i + b_j B_j^i + c_j B_{j+1}^i = d_j. \quad (21)$$

For $j = 0$ and $j = jmax$, we will need to use the boundary conditions of the problem. (4) can similarly be rearranged with appropriate approximations for derivatives to obtain

$$B_0^i \left(\frac{1}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{\Delta r} \kappa \theta e^{\mu(i+1/2)\Delta t/2} \right) - B_1^i \frac{1}{\Delta r} \kappa \theta e^{\mu(i+1/2)\Delta t/2} = B_0^{i+1} \frac{1}{\Delta t} + C e^{-\alpha(i+1/2)\Delta t}, \quad (22)$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} b_0^i &= \frac{1}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{\Delta r} \kappa \theta e^{\mu(i+1/2)\Delta t/2} \\ c_0^i &= -\frac{1}{\Delta r} \kappa \theta e^{\mu(i+1/2)\Delta t/2} \\ d_0^i &= \frac{1}{\Delta t} B_0^{i+1} + C e^{-\alpha(i+1/2)\Delta t}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

It is easy to see (5) then states that $B_{jmax}^i = 0$. This implies

$$a_{jmax}^i = 0, b_{jmax}^i = 1, d_{jmax}^i = 0. \quad (24)$$

Finally, with a simple approximation for the derivative, (6) implies that

$$a_{jmax}^i = -\frac{1}{\Delta r}, b_{jmax}^i = \frac{1}{\Delta r}, d_{jmax}^i = 0. \quad (25)$$

The situation is exceedingly similar for the call option V . V follows the same PDE as B except for the continuous coupon and so we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_j &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\sigma^2 j^2 r^{2(\beta-1)} - \frac{1}{\Delta r} \kappa \theta e^{\mu(i+1/2)\Delta t} + \kappa j \right) \\ b_j &= - \left(\frac{1}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 j^2 r^{2(\beta-1)} + \frac{r}{2} \right) \\ c_j &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\sigma^2 j^2 r^{2(\beta-1)} + \frac{1}{\Delta r} \kappa \theta e^{\mu(i+1/2)\Delta t} - \kappa j \right) \\ d_j &= -a_j V_{j-1}^{i+1} - \left(b_j + \frac{2}{\Delta t} \right) V_j^{i+1} - c_j V_{j+1}^{i+1} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

for $1 \leq j < jmax$. Note that we have rewritten d_j for brevity; this can also be found in the code implementation. For a European call, again we have the same as B for the boundary condition at $r = 0$ except for the continuous coupon so

$$\begin{aligned} b_0^i &= \frac{1}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{\Delta r} \kappa \theta e^{\mu(i+1/2)\Delta t/2} \\ c_0^i &= -\frac{1}{\Delta r} \kappa \theta e^{\mu(i+1/2)\Delta t/2} \\ d_0^i &= \frac{1}{\Delta t} V_0^{i+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Similarly, (10) implies that

$$a_{jmax}^i = 0, b_{jmax}^i = 1, d_{jmax}^i = 0. \quad (28)$$

This is also true of the American call option. The only difference is the boundary condition at $r = 0$. (12) implies that

$$b_0^i = 1, c_0^i = 0, d_0^i = B(0, i\Delta t; T) - X. \quad (29)$$