

RESTCONF and CoMI

Thing to Thing PRG
IETF #92 Dallas, TX, USA

Andy Bierman <andy@yumaworks.com>

v0.4

Agenda

- RESTCONF Protocol
- Constrained Management Interface (CoMI)

Starting Point: NETCONF

- Network Configuration Protocol (RFC 6241)
 - allows all-or-none transaction and rollback-on-error support, using YANG data models
 - Problems for constrained environments
 - SSH session support
 - XML message encoding
 - multi-message operations

Next step: RESTCONF

- RESTCONF Protocol (work-in-progress)
 - Provides REST-like API to developers to access YANG data in NETCONF datastores
 - GET for retrieval
 - POST, PUT, DELETE, PATCH for resource editing
 - SSE for notifications
 - Problems for constrained environments
 - XML encoding mandatory (JSON is optional)
 - TCP transport
 - SSE requires long-lived HTTP/TCP connection

Final step: CoMI

- Constrained Management Interface (work-in-progress)
 - Large subset of the resource management features of RESTCONF
 - Uses CoAP/DTLS/UDP instead of HTTP/SSL/TCP
 - YANG data nodes identified with 30-bit hash instead of long XPath path expression
 - CBOR binary encoding instead of XML or JSON
 - CoAP Observe-based notifications instead of SSE

Hash-based IDs vs. Path Exprs

- Want a short permanent identifier for objects
 - Hash done on canonical XPath expression of object
 - Instance identified with object ID [+ key-leafs]
 - Collisions within a server are possible, not across servers
 - 38581 objects before 50% probability of collision
- Module set ID used to trigger hash check
 - YANG library identifies all modules used (could be from a CFG server)
 - Client can pre-compute hashes for modules
 - Module set not expected to change often (if at all)
- Client will discover any rehashed objects from each server
 - Adds complexity, even if though is unlikely a client will need to use it
- Possibly useful outside of network management?

YANG to CBOR

- YANG uses hierarchical data and presents some encoding issues
 - Sometimes verbose (e.g., string representation for 'enumeration' and 'bits' data types)
 - QNames in content (identityref, XPath, etc.)
- Servers want to stream data to a client
 - Document representation not stored in the server
 - Distributed content and access control make “next node” unknown to server
 - CBOR supports streaming of data in this manner, using indefinite length arrays

Observe Based Notifications

- System events vs. Resource monitoring
 - Client needs to be informed of interesting events, not attempt to track every state change in every resource instance
 - RMON alarms/events might work better for thresholds
 - Observe designed for sensors
 - System state changes may be handled better with data-model specific event notifications
 - May need aggregation mechanism to prevent flooding
 - What if lots of server resources change state at once?

Importance of YANG

- YANG Data Modeling Language (RFC 6020)
 - Syntax/semantics should be defined out-of-band
 - Minimize meta-data on the wire
 - Maximize interoperability with detailed schema
 - Automation tools work better with YANG
 - Many machine-readable constraints
 - Extensions allow tool-specific directives
 - Common code in the stack increases consistency and reduces the size and complexity of data-model instrumentation code