

# Fast responses to new vulnerabilities in Home IoT

Sávyo Morais, T2TRG  
draft-morais-iotops-inxu-00

# The ongoing issues in Home IoT Insecurity

- Attacks involving these devices are imperceptible to the end-users
- Despite its small impact for individuals, Mirai showed how joining small pieces can be harmful for the Internet
- In a community approach, responding to new vulnerabilities is a slow process
- How can we speed up these responses?

# Is using IDS/IPS a possible answer?

Yes and No. Both signature and anomaly based approaches have some issues for the Home IoT:

- Signature-based:
  - Demands frequent updates of the signatures to ensure protection against new threats
  - Requires technical expertise for fine-tuning rules
    - May expose private data to third parties
- Anomaly Detection:
  - High computational costs for profiling devices
  - An infected device may present malicious behavior during the profiling process

# MUD [RFC 5820] as a useful tool

- Pros:
  - Reduces the devices' attack/threat surface
  - Generates a network communication graph that supports threats identification
- Cons:
  - The reliance remains only in the hands of the manufacturer
  - Many devices have a life after the end-of-life


# The draft-morais-iotops-inxu-00

Intra-Network eXposure analyzer Utility is a proposed framework to simplify the process of identification and classification of potential vulnerabilities.

Main features:

- Provides means to give fast responses to new vulnerabilities in Home IoT
- Allows third-party support while keeping end-users' privacy
- Promotes knowledge sharing for a collective protection

# INXU's Architecture



# The Malicious Traffic Description

- An YANG data model
- Inspired on MUD data model
  - Uses Access Control Lists for describing attack and malware signatures
- Carries context information for proper assessment of the exposure of vulnerabilities
- Simplifies the interpretation of the signatures in distinct networks

# The MTD Data Model

```
+--rw attack-descriptions
|
| +--rw to-device-attacks
| | +--rw attack-lists
| | | +--rw attack-list* [name]
| | | | +--rw name -> /acl:acls/acl/name
| | | | +--rw specific-devices* inet:uri
| +--rw from-device-attacks
| | +--rw attack-lists
| | | +--rw attack-list* [name]
| | | | +--rw name -> /acl:acls/acl/name
| | | | +--rw specific-devices* inet:uri
```


## Attack Description

```
+--rw malware-descriptions
| +--rw malwares-list* [name]
| | +--rw name string
| | +--rw specific-devices* inet:uri
| +--rw critical-acl-sets* [name]
| | +--rw name string
| | +--rw critical-acl-set* -> /acl:acls/acl/name
| | +--rw action-to-take ufrj-mtd-2:action-to-take
+--rw to-device-attacks
| +--rw attack-lists
| | +--rw attack-list* [name]
| | | +--rw name -> /acl:acls/acl/name
| | | +--rw specific-devices* inet:uri
+--rw from-device-attacks
| +--rw attack-lists
| | +--rw attack-list* [name]
| | | +--rw name -> /acl:acls/acl/name
| | | +--rw specific-devices* inet:uri
+--rw not-attack-traffic
| +--rw to-device-not-attack-traffic* [name]
| | +--rw name -> /acl:acls/acl/name
+--rw from-device-not-attack-traffic* [name]
| +--rw name -> /acl:acls/acl/name
```

## Malware Description



# Identifying and Assessing Vulnerability Exposures - 1/3



adapted from <https://www.mudmaker.org/mudvisualizer.php>

# Identifying and Assessing Vulnerability Exposures - 2/3


## Identifying a vulnerability exposure:

- Source and destination IPs;
- Protocol (ICMP, UDP, or TCP);
- TCP Initiator;
- Transport header:
  - Source and destination ports;
- ICMP header:
  - Type and code

## Threat Assessment:


- Sum the risks of the exposed ACEs;
- Classifying the risk of an ACL:
  - Risk Threshold;
  - Alert Threshold;
- Assessing Threats:
  - Attack Descriptions;
  - Malware Descriptions:
    - Critical ACL Set
    - Action to take

# Identifying and Assessing Vulnerability Exposures - 3/3



adapted from <https://www.mudmaker.org/mudvisualizer.php>


# In-vitro tests with a Mirai variant 1/3



## Legend:

- Data
  - DPG = DDoS Packets Generated
  - DPT = DDoS Packet Transmitted
- Network Scenario:
  - NONE = Unprotected Network
  - MUD = MUD protection
  - INXU = INXU protection
- Initial Infection Scenario:
  - All = All IoT hosts Infected
  - EI = Edge node Infected
  - ONCI = One not scannable IoT host infected
  - OSI = One scannable IoT host infected

# In-vitro tests with a Mirai variant 2/3



## Legend:

- Data
  - CB = Controllable bots
  - NI = New Infections
  - SN = Scanned nodes
- Network Scenario:
  - NONE = Unprotected Network
  - MUD = MUD protection
  - INXU = INXU protection
- Initial Infection Scenario:
  - All = All IoT hosts Infected
  - EI = Edge node Infected
  - ONCI = One not scannable IoT host infected
  - OSI = One scannable IoT host infected

## *In-vitro* tests with a Mirai variant 3/3

INXU relative gain over MUD

Seed	CB	NI	SN	DPG	DPT
AII	35.75%	7.69%	7.11%	47.40%	0.29%
EI	60.47%	60.47%	44.62%	65.42%	0.91%
ONCI	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
ONI	25.00%	25.81%	16.00%	23.29%	0.00%
OSI	64.86%	63.33%	66.67%	30.93%	0.00%

# Next Steps

- INXU as an optimization of anomaly detection:
  - Use INXU output as an input filter of anomaly detection algorithms
  - Test different approaches for profiling device's traffic
- Improving INXU
  - Reinforce protection of DNS systems
  - Deploy in *real world* for measuring impacts on usability
- Ongoing undergraduate thesis on collective malware profiling
  - Keeping end-user privacy
  - Automatic generation of MTD files

# The Starting

Of a long journey of questions,  
comments, and improvements

INXU I-D:

<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-morais-iotops-inxu>

Contact:

[savyovm@gmail.com](mailto:savyovm@gmail.com)

