

Mitigation and Defense Against Length Extension Attacks

Introduction

The length extension attack exploits vulnerabilities in hash functions like MD5 when used in naive message authentication code (MAC) constructions, such as `hash(secret_key + message)`. The vulnerable `server.py` uses this construction, allowing attackers to append data (e.g., `&admin=true`) and compute a valid MAC without the secret key. To mitigate this, we implement HMAC in `server_hmac.py`, a secure MAC construction. This document details the modification, demonstrates the attack's failure, and explains HMAC's effectiveness.

Modifying the System to Use HMAC

The vulnerable `server.py` uses `hashlib.md5(SECRET_KEY + message)`, exposing MD5's internal state. We replace this with HMAC in `server_hmac.py`, defined as:

$$\text{HMAC}(K, m) = H((K \oplus \text{opad}) \parallel H((K \oplus \text{ipad}) \parallel m))$$

where H is the hash function (MD5), K is the secret key, and `opad`, `ipad` are constants. The modified code is:

```
1 import hmac
2 import hashlib
3
4 SECRET_KEY = b'supersecretkey'
5
6 def generate_mac(message: bytes) -> str:
7     return hmac.new(SECRET_KEY, message, hashlib.md5).hexdigest()
8
9 def verify(message: bytes, mac: str) -> bool:
10    return mac == generate_mac(message)
```

Demonstrating Attack Failure

The `client.py` script tests the attack against both servers. It intercepts a message (`amount=100&to=alice`), uses `hashpumpy` to forge a new message with

&admin=true, and verifies the MAC. Against `server.py`, the attack succeeds, producing a valid MAC. Against `server_hmac.py`, it fails, as the forged MAC does not match the HMAC for the forged message. Output for `server_hmac.py` shows:

```
=== Attack on Secure HMAC Server ===
Original message: amount=100&to=alice
Original MAC: <hmac_mac_value>
Forged message (bytes): b'amount=100&to=alice\x80\x00...&admin=true'
Forged MAC: <new_mac_value>
--- Verifying forged message (HMAC server) ---
MAC verification failed (attack failed).
```

Why HMAC Mitigates Length Extension Attacks

Length extension attacks exploit the Merkle-Damgård construction of MD5, where the hash output is the final state, allowing attackers to append data and continue hashing. HMAC prevents this through:

- **Key Protection:** The key is XORed with `ipad` and `opad`, preventing direct concatenation.
- **Nested Hashing:** The outer hash obscures the inner hash's state.
- **Fixed-Length Input:** The inner hash's output is fixed-length, breaking extensibility.
- **Padding Isolation:** `ipad` and `opad` isolate the message's influence.

In `server_hmac.py`, `hmac.new` ensures the MAC cannot be extended, as `hashpumpy` cannot account for the key or HMAC's structure.

Conclusion

HMAC in `server_hmac.py` eliminates the length extension vulnerability. The `client.py` script confirms the attack's failure, as the forged MAC is rejected. HMAC's key protection, nested hashing, and isolation ensure attackers cannot extend the hash state, providing robust defense. While SHA-256 is preferred for modern systems, HMAC-MD5 effectively demonstrates mitigation.