## wu1

## October 1, 2023

```
[1]: from numpy import *
    from pylab import *
    import dumbClassifiers, datasets, runClassifier
[]:
[2]: h = dumbClassifiers.AlwaysPredictOne({})
    h.train(datasets.TennisData.X, datasets.TennisData.Y)
    h.predictAll(datasets.TennisData.X)
[3]: mean((datasets.TennisData.Y > 0) == (h.predictAll(datasets.TennisData.X) > 0))
[3]: 0.6428571428571429
    1: why is this computation equivalent to computing classification accuracy?
    Ans: - we have (datasets.TennisData.Y > 0) as y, aka ground truth. - we have
    (h.predictAll(datasets.TennisData.X) > 0) as y_hat, aka predictions.
    using ==, we get a boolean array where array[i] is true iff y[i] == y_hat[i], basically if correct
    prediction.
    mean() sums up the input then divides by its length. In python, True is 1 and False is 0.
    this gives us accuracy because Accuracy = (True positives + True Negatives)/ (True
    positives + True negatives + False positives + False negatives)
[4]: runClassifier.trainTestSet(h, datasets.TennisData)
    Training accuracy 0.642857, test accuracy 0.5
[]:
[5]: h = dumbClassifiers.AlwaysPredictMostFrequent({})
    runClassifier.trainTestSet(h, datasets.TennisData)
```

Training accuracy 0.642857, test accuracy 0.5

```
[6]: runClassifier.trainTestSet(dumbClassifiers.AlwaysPredictOne({}), datasets.
       →SentimentData)
      runClassifier.trainTestSet(dumbClassifiers.AlwaysPredictMostFrequent({})), ___
       ⇔datasets.SentimentData)
     Training accuracy 0.504167, test accuracy 0.5025
     Training accuracy 0.504167, test accuracy 0.5025
[11]: runClassifier.trainTestSet(dumbClassifiers.FirstFeatureClassifier({}), datasets.
       →TennisData)
      runClassifier.trainTestSet(dumbClassifiers.FirstFeatureClassifier({}), datasets.
       →SentimentData)
     [3, 2] [2, 7]
     Training accuracy 0.714286, test accuracy 0.666667
     [1, 3] [594, 602]
     Training accuracy 0.504167, test accuracy 0.5025
 []:
 []:
```