ICT Project Self-Evaluation

PROJECT	Automation of Telecommunication Measurements
TEAM	10A: Eero Kaarnalehto, Tuomo Aaltonen, Perttu Hakala, Juha Järvinen

A. Solution Design (25%)

Select the criteria that best describe the design of the solution

Rating	Criteria
25	Solution is well thought out for the project idea
19	Solution mostly planned out for the project idea
12	Solution partially planned out for the project idea
05	Ad hoc solution: program "designed at the keyboard"

_

B. System Execution (25%)

Select the criteria that best describe the execution of the solution

Rating	Criteria
25	Software solution runs correctly and usable
19	Software solution runs correctly runs correctly and is usable most of the time
12	Software solution runs correctly runs correctly and is usable some of the time
04	Software solution runs, but mostly unusable
0	Software solution runs does not run at all

Comments	
19, Software solution runs correctly runs correctly and is usable most of the time.	

C. Requirements Satisfaction (25%)

Select the criteria that best describe the requirements that are implemented

Rating	Criteria
25	Solution implementation satisfies customer requirements completely and correctly
13	Many parts of the solution requirements are not implemented
05	Solution implementation mostly does not satisfy requirements

Comments	
15, Our criteria were very open ended, so it's hard to say our solution is thorough or very satisfying.	

D. Documentation (15%)

Select the criteria that best describe the documentation

Rating	Criteria
15	Concise, meaningful, project and solution documentation
10	Partial, poorly written, or poor project and solution documentation
05	Wordy, unnecessary, incorrect, or bad project and solution documentation
0	No project and solution documentation

Comments		
12 our documentation is decent.		

E. Code/Design Style (10%)

Select the criteria that best describe the code/design style

Rating	Criteria
10	Well-formatted, understandable code/design; appropriate use of language capabilities; commented
06	Code/design hard to follow in one reading; poor use of language capabilities; poorly commented
02	Incomprehensive code/design, appropriate language capabilities not used; not commented

Comments	
6, Our code is not commented that well, but it should be quite logical.	

F. Bonus Credits (25%)

For each criterion that is met, the rating can be added to the final score

Rating	Criteria
05	System has good usability
05	System design is exceptionally good
05	System code/design is particularly well written
05	Customer feedback is good
05	Final presentation kept

Comments		
5, Final presentation kept.		

Α	В	С	D	E	F	Total
25	19	20	12	6	5	89

Scale

0% - 49%	0
50% - 59%	1
60% - 69%	2
70% - 79%	3
80% - 89%	4
90% - 100%	5

Final grade: 4 / 5