# ICT Project Self-Evaluation

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PROJECT** | Automation of Telecommunication Measurements |
| **TEAM** | 10A: Eero Kaarnalehto, Tuomo Aaltonen​, Perttu Hakala​, Juha Järvinen |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## A. Solution Design (25%)

Select the criteria that best describe the design of the solution

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Rating | Criteria |
| 25 | Solution is well thought out for the project idea |
| 19 | Solution mostly planned out for the project idea |
| 12 | Solution partially planned out for the project idea |
| 05 | Ad hoc solution: program "designed at the keyboard" |

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments** |
| 25, Solution is well thought out for the project idea. |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

## B. System Execution (25%)

Select the criteria that best describe the execution of the solution

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Rating | Criteria |
| 25 | Software solution runs correctly and usable |
| 19 | Software solution runs correctly runs correctly and is usable most of the time |
| 12 | Software solution runs correctly runs correctly and is usable some of the time |
| 04 | Software solution runs, but mostly unusable |
| 0 | Software solution runs does not run at all |

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments** |
| 19, Software solution runs correctly runs correctly and is usable most of the time. |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

## C. Requirements Satisfaction (25%)

Select the criteria that best describe the requirements that are implemented

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Rating | Criteria |
| 25 | Solution implementation satisfies customer requirements completely and correctly |
| 13 | Many parts of the solution requirements are not implemented |
| 05 | Solution implementation mostly does not satisfy requirements |

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments** |
| 15, Our criteria were very open ended, so it’s hard to say our solution is thorough or very satisfying. |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

## D. Documentation (15%)

Select the criteria that best describe the documentation

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Rating | Criteria |
| 15 | Concise, meaningful, project and solution documentation |
| 10 | Partial, poorly written, or poor project and solution documentation |
| 05 | Wordy, unnecessary, incorrect, or bad project and solution documentation |
| 0 | No project and solution documentation |

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments** |
| 12 our documentation is decent. |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

## E. Code/Design Style (10%)

Select the criteria that best describe the code/design style

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Rating | Criteria |
| 10 | Well-formatted, understandable code/design; appropriate use of language capabilities; commented |
| 06 | Code/design hard to follow in one reading; poor use of language capabilities; poorly commented |
| 02 | Incomprehensive code/design, appropriate language capabilities not used; not commented |

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments** |
| 6, Our code is not commented that well, but it should be quite logical. |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

## F. Bonus Credits (25%)

For each criterion that is met, the rating can be added to the final score

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Rating | Criteria |
| 05 | System has good usability |
| 05 | System design is exceptionally good |
| 05 | System code/design is particularly well written |
| 05 | Customer feedback is good |
| 05 | Final presentation kept |

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments** |
| 5, Final presentation kept. |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| A | B | C | D | E | F | Total |
| 25 | 19 | 20 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 89 |

**Scale**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 0% - 49% | 0 |
| 50% - 59% | 1 |
| 60% - 69% | 2 |
| 70% - 79% | 3 |
| 80% - 89% | 4 |
| 90% - 100% | 5 |

# Final grade: 4 / 5