CSCI 2021: ELF Files, Linking, and Loading

Chris Kauffman

Last Updated: Mon Dec 14 02:35:28 PM CST 2020

Logistics

Reading Bryant/O'Hallaron

- Ch 9: Virtual Memory (completed)
- Ch 7: ELF / Linking (now)

Goals

- ► Final Exam Logistics
- Evaluations
- ► ELF Files
- Linking/Loading

P5: Questions?

Date	Event
12/07 Mon	Virtual Mem 1/2
12/09 Wed	Virtual Mem 2/2
	Lab 14 VirtMem
12/11 Fri	ELF Files/Linking 1/2
12/14 Mon	Obj Code/Linking 2/2
12/16 Wed	Lecture: Practice Exam
	Lab 15 Review
	P5 Due
12/18 Fri	Canvas Exit Survey
	Due 11:59pm
12/19 Sat	8:00am Final Exam Opens
12/21 Mon	12:30pm Final Exam Closes

Final Exam Logistics

- ► Final Exam on Gradescope
 - ► ~1.5 pages F/B Virtual Memory / Linking / Object Files / P5
- 2 hours to take Final Exam
- Using Timed Online Assignment on Gradescope
- ► Take Exam any time from Opening to Closing

Date	Event
12/19 Sat	8:00am Final Exam Opens for ALL students
	8:00-10:00am Kauffman on Discord to answer Final Exam Questions
	(Normal time for Sec 001 1:25pm to take exam)
12/20 Sun	Can take Final Exam any time, no one on duty for Questsion
12/21 Mon	10:30-12:30pm Kauffman on Discord to answer Final Exam Questions
	(Normal time for Sec 010 3:35pm to take exam)
	12:30pm Final Exam Closes

Two Course Evaluations

Official Student Rating of Teaching (SRTs)

- Official UMN Evals are done online this semester
- Available here: https://srt.umn.edu/blue
- ► EVALUATE ONLY YOUR LECTURE SECTION Lec 001 or Lec 010
- Comment on labs in lecture eval, don't bother with lab section eval
- **▶ Due** Wed 12/16/2020, last day of class

Course Specific Survey

- Will open a course-specific survey next week
- Open on Canvas Mon 12/14 to Fri 12/18
- ► Worth 1 Engagement Point to Complete it

Overview

- Review building programs
- Executable and Linkable Format (ELF) Files
- Linker: Merging ELF files
- Loading: Creating running Problems
- Relocation
- Static vs Dynamic Linking
- Static/Dynamic Libraries

NOTE: May not have time to cover all these topics and whatever we don't get to won't appear on any exams.

Exercise: Separate Compilation

```
# COMPILATION 1
> gcc -c func_01.c
> gcc -c main_func.c
> gcc -o main_func main_func.o func_01.o
# COMPILATION 2
> gcc -o main_func main_func.c func_01.c
```

- Describe differences between compilations above
- What is the result in each case?
- ► How are they different: any *artifacts* created in one but not the other?
- Any advantages/disadvantages to them?

Answers: Separate Compilation

```
# COMPILATION 1
> gcc -c func_01.c
> gcc -c main_func.c
> gcc -o main_func main_func.o func_01.o
# COMPILATION 2
> gcc -o main_func main_func.c func_01.c
```

Compilation 1: Separate Compilation

- Separately compile func_01.c and main_func.c to binary
- Results in 2 .o object files
- Final step is to link two objects together to create an executable

Compilation 2: "Together" Compilation

- Compile all the C files at once to produce an executable
- Still likely to internally do separate compilation BUT no .o files will be produced, only executable

Advantages of Separate Compilation to follow

Exercise: Separate Compilation Time

- Mack is building a large application
- ► Has a main_func.c and func_01.c, func_02.c ... that define application, up to func_20.c
- During build process notices that it takes about 10s for to compile each C file and 20s to link the C files
- ► After editing files to add features, Mack usually compiles to project like this
 - > gcc -o main_func *.c
- **Estimate** his typical build time in seconds
- ► Suggest a way that he might reduce his build time if he has edited only a small number of files

Answers: Separate Compilation Time

Total Build Time gcc -o main_func *.c

Example	Build	Tot
func_01.c	20 x 10s	200s
main_func.c	$1 \times 10s$	10s
all .o files	$1 \times 20s$	20s
~ 4min	22 steps	230s
	<pre>func_01.c main_func.c all .o files</pre>	$\begin{array}{ll} \text{func_01.c} & 20 \times 10s \\ \text{main_func.c} & 1 \times 10s \\ \text{all o files} & 1 \times 20s \end{array}$

- Explicitly recompiling all C files to object code despite many not changing
- Spends valuable human time waiting to redo the same task as has been done many before

Answers: Separate Compilation Time

Exploit Separate Compilation

- Assume already compiled all files, have func_01.o, func_02.o
- Edit func_08.c to add a new feature
- ▶ **Don't** recompile C files that haven't changed
- Compile like this
 - > gcc -c func_08.c
 - > gcc -o main_func *.o

Item	Example	Build	Time
Library .o files	func_01.o	19 x 0s	0s
Main .o file	main_func.o	$1 \times 0s$	0s
Changed .c files	func_08.c	$1 \times 10s$	10s
Linking	all .o files	$1 \times 20s$	20s
Total Time	$\sim 30 \text{ seconds}$	2 steps	30s

Build Systems Exploit Separate Compilation

- Build Systems like make / Makefile exploit separate compilation
- Build system establishes a dependency structure
- ► Targets are usually files to create

gcc -c funcs 01.c

- ▶ **Dependencies** are other files/targets that must be up to date to create a given target
- Only rebuild a target if a dependency changes
 # Typical Makefile gives targets, dependencies,

commands to create target using dependencies

Example Builds from big-compile/

```
> make clean
rm -f *.o main func
# first compiles, no object files built, build everything
> make main_func
gcc -c main_func.c
gcc -c func_01.c
gcc -c func_02.c
gcc -c func_20.c
gcc -o main_func main_func.o func_01.o func_02.o...
# edit func_08.c
# 1 file changed, recompile it and re-link
> make main func
gcc -c func_08.c # ONLY NEED TO RECOMPILE THIS
gcc -o main_func main_func.o func_01.o func_02.o...
# no edits. no need to rebuild
> make main_func
make: Nothing to be done for 'main_func'.
```

The Immense Journey (apologies to Loren Eisley)

From C source file to running process involves a variety of tools, formats, software and hardware, summarized for Linux below

- 1. Compilation: gcc preprocesses prog.c file, converts to internal representation, optimizes, produces assembly code (stop at this stage with -S)
- 2. Assembly: gas invoked by gcc to turn a prog.s file to a prog.o ELF file, may be other .o files involved for multiple .c files
- 3. *Linking:* 1d invoked by gcc to link multiple .o files to single executable or library, copy in any statically linked library code, indicates if executable has dynamic library dependencies
- 4. Stored Program: Now have an executable program in ELF format stored on disk waiting to be run; call it prog.out
- 5. Loading: ld-linux.so invoked by shell to load prog.out into memory, sets up virtual memory map for .data / .text / heap / stack, initializes .bss sections to 0, resolves any dynamic library links required at load time, sets %rip to first program instruction
- 6. *Running:* OS handles remaining behavior of running program (**process**), running, sleeping, exiting, killing on segfaults

Object Files and ELF

- Binary files can't be random so will usually adhere to some standard
- Executable and Linkable Format (ELF) is standard for the results of compilation on Unix systems
- Stores program data in a variety of sections in binary
- Explicitly designed to allow binary objects to be
 - Executed (programs)
 - Merged with other objects (linked)

Historically, ELF was preceded by a dated format called a.out: still default name of qcc output programs

ELF header
Segment header table (required for executables)
. text section
. rodata section
. data section
. bss section
.symtab section
.rel.txt section
.rel.data section
.debug section
Section header table


Brief Tour of ELF Sections

- ▶ ELF defines sections that are used in specific circumstances
 - ► Always ELF Header at the beginning
 - ► Always Program (Segment) Header Table for executable
 - ► Always Section Header Table for linkable objects
- ➤ Some sections like .debug are common but don't appear in ELF specification (have their own DWARF spec)

•	•
Section	Brief Description
ELF Header	Global Info (32- or 64-bit, Execuable?, Byte ordering, etc.)
Program Header Table	For executable programs, virtual address space info
Section Header Table	Descriptions of sections and positions in file
.text	Opcodes (binary assembly) that can be executed
.rodata	Read Only data like string constants
.data	Initialized global variables, space for values
.bss	Un-initialized global variables, no space for values
.symtab	Table of publicly available symbols for funcs/vars
.strtab	Null-terminated strings, often names of things in .symtab
.shstrab	Null-terminated strings, often names section headers
.debug	Debug info from gcc -g in DWARF format
.rel.text	Relocation information for .text section
.rel.data	Relocation information for .data section

ELF is a Binary Format

- ► ELF is a binary format so it is NOT easy on the eyes
- Make use of utilities like readelf to examine sections
- Can view bytes yourself but it is not usually intelligible



Linking: Merging Binary Files to One

Linking: merge multiple .o into one .o OR executable file

- Merge .text section with instructions
- Merge .data section with global variables
- Merge .symtab modifying positions of where things exist, etc.

Symbol Resolution

- Multiple object files define a symbol, must resolve which definition to use
- Some tricky bugs can arise in resolution

Relocation

- Adjust offsets of things in symbol table
- Change any instructions which use locations that have changed

Linkers must deal with a lot of details; we will only touch on a few important principles and how they relate C/Assembly programs

Linker: Multiple .o to Single/Executable

- A linker converts multiple .o files to...
 - ► An executable (default)
 - ► Single .o file (-r option)
- gcc automatically invokes the linker when creating executables
- Can also manually play with linker: command 'ld'
 - ► SO: Why is the Unix linker called 'ld'?
- Rarely use 1d by hand: difficult to generate executables properly
- gcc invokes 1d with many additional options / libraries to create executables

```
# Demo merging two .o files with ld
> nm func 01.0
                 # names in .o file
0000000000000000 T func 01
                 U puts
> nm func 02.o
                 # names in .o file
0000000000000000 T func 02
                 U puts
# manually link to create combined .o
> 1d -r func 01.o func 02.o \
    -o funcs 12.o
> nm funcs 12.0 # names in .o file
0000000000000000 T func 01
0000000000000013 T func 02
                 U puts
# can't create executable with
# undefined symbols and no main()
> 1d func 01.o func 02.o \
    -o executable.o
ld: warning: cannot find
 entry symbol _start;
defaulting to 0000000004000e8
func_01.o: In function `func_01':
func 01.c:(.text+0xc): `puts' undefined
func 02.o: In function `func 02':
func_02.c:(.text+0xc): `puts' undefined
```

Symbol Resolution by the Linker

- Linker must resolve symbols when merging relocatable objects (.o files)
- Only global stuff qualify as symbols: functions, global variables. These can be seen / used from outside a C file
- Local variables inside functions will NOT have symbols associated
- A few rules apply during symbol resolution
 - o files can have undefined symbols but executables (mostly) cannot
 - 2. Symbols are classified as **strong and weak**; can only have one **strong** definition but many weak definitions
 - Strong definitions are mostly named functions and global variables
 - Weak definitions are mostly uninitialized global variables and extern declarations for global variables, function prototypes

Exercise: Linking Trouble

Consider these two C files

Predictions

Compile and run: **predict output**

```
> gcc x_int.c x_long.c
/usr/bin/ld: Warning: ...
> ./a.out
x: ??
```

y: ??

Answers: Linking Trouble

► Two files define the sizes of global variable x differently

- Linker warns of this during compilation (see below)
- Variable y in x_int.c, adjacent to 4-byte x in memory
- Function void x_to_neg8() is in x_long.c
- Writes 8 bytes to location x clobbering y

```
> gcc x_int.c x_long.c
/usr/bin/ld: Warning: alignment 4 of symbol `x'
in /tmp/ccs1zLtj.o is smaller than 8 in /tmp/ccc7ZX9Q.o
```

```
> ./a.out
x: -8
y: -1
```

 Message: Global variables are dangerous in linking (and for code design in general) [but you knew that already]

The Value of Headers and extern declarations

- Headers (.h) declare global symbols for all C files that will use them
- May declare external variables which are defined in another file

```
// FILE: x_to_neg8.h
extern long x;
void x_to_neg8();
// FILE: x_to_neg8.c
#include "x_to_neg8.h"
long x; // actual global var
void x_to_neg8(){
  x = -8:
// FILE: x main.c
#include "x to neg8.h"
// there will be an x var
// and x_to_neg8() func
. . .
```


 Proper use of headers allow compiler to warn of conflicting definitions

```
// FILE: x_main.c
#include "x_to_neg8.h"
int x = 0; // !!!
...
> gcc -c x_main_bad.c
x_main_bad.c:4:5: error:
conflicting types for 'x'
int x = 0; // !!!
x_to_neg8.h:7:13: note:
previous declaration of
'x' was here
extern long x;
```

► Without using .h header files, compiler can't help as much

Loading ELF: Stored Program becomes Running Process

- Loader maps ELF file Text/Globals into virtual memory
- Loader maps Stack/Heap into virtual memory



Memory

Virtual Memory of Process

Exercise: Initialized vs Uninitialized Data Matters

```
// FILE: big_data.c
                                    // FILE: big_bss.c
long arr[20000] = \{1,2,3\};
                                    long arr[20000] = {};
                                    int main(){
int main(){
 for(int i=0; i<1024; i++){
                                      for(int i=0; i<1024; i++){
    arr[i] = i;
                                        arr[i] = i;
 return 0;
                                      return 0;
> gcc -c big_data.c # compile to object
> du -b big data.o
                     # print number of bytes
161384 big data.o
> gcc -c big bss.c # compile to object
> du -b big bss.o
                     # print number of bytes
      big bss.o
1384
```

- ▶ What is the difference between the two files above?
- Why is there such a size difference in the object files

Answers: Initialized vs Uninitialized Data Matters

- ► ELF .data section tracks global variables that is initialized with non-zero values
- Must record every value in global variable so it can be properly set when loaded to run
- big_data.o will have a large .data section as the line long arr[20000] = {1,2,3};

initializes the first few array values, rest will be 0

```
> readelf -S big_data.o
```

. . .

There are 12 section headers, starting at offset 0x27368: Section Headers:

```
[Nr] Name
                       Type
                                        Address
                                                          Offset
    Size
                       EntSize
                                        Flags Link Info Align
[3] .data
                       PROGBITS
                                        0000000000000000
                                                          00000080 <--
    0000000000027100
                       00000000000000000
                                                        0
                                                              32
[4].bss
                       NOBITS
                                        00000000000000000
                                                          00027180 <--
    00000000000000000
                      AW 00000000000000 WA
                                                        0
```

▶ 0x27100 = 160000 bytes: entire arr array stored in file

Answers: Initialized vs Uninitialized Data Matters

- ► ELF .bss section tracks global variables that are not initialized or initialized to all 0's
- ► No specific values need be recorded, just instructions on how much space to allocate on starting the program
- big_bss.o will have a miniscule .data section as the line long arr[20000] = {};

initializes to all 0's so .bss section

> readelf -S big_bss.o

There are 12 section headers, starting at offset 0x268:

Section Headers:

```
[Nr] Name
                                          Address
                                                            Offset
                         Type
      Size
                         EntSize
                                          Flags Link Info Align
 [3] .data
                         PROGBITS
                                          0000000000000000
                                                            0000007f
      0000000000000000
                         0000000000000000
 [4].bss
                                          0000000000000000
                                                            00000080 <--
                         NOBITS
      0000000000027100
                         0000000000000000
                                                                32
 [5] .comment
                         PROGBITS
                                          0000000000000000
                                                            00000080 <--
      0000000000000012
                         00000000000000001
                                           MS
                                                          0
. . .
```

arr array NOT stored in file, significantly smaller .o file

Recall: Globals in Assembly

- Long ago, advised to write following code for global variabls movl SOME_GLOBAL_VAR(%rip), %edi
- Load is based on an offset from the Instruction Pointer rip
- ► This kind of code is generated by gcc in most cases for globals
- Similarly, will often see in decompiled code the following > objdump -d thermo_update.o 2f2: e8 00 00 00 00 callq 2f7 <thermo_update+0x33> ... 31c: e8 00 00 00 00 callq 321 <thermo_update+0x5d> which looks a little strange
- ▶ Why are both call instructions e8 00 00 ...?
- Both these deserve some explanation

Relocation and PC-Relative Address

- Linker merges global symbols from multiple .o files into single output sections
 - Functions into single .text
 - ► Global vars into .data / .bss sections
- ► Historically, linker would just assign a virtual memory address to each symbol (simple, easy to implement)
- Problem: forces program to be loaded at a fixed virtual memory address, decreases options available to loader/dynamic linker
- gcc now generates relocatable code by default: all instructions must be independent of exact memory position where program is loaded (trickier but flexible/safer)
- ▶ Loader guarantees: distance between sections is constant
 - .text might be loaded at 0x9000 or at 0x9100 by OS
 - .text and .data always 0x1000 bytes apart
 - .text loaded contiguously at some start address
- ► Addressing relative to PC allows flexibility in code placement, requires extra linker work

Relocation Entries

- ► ELF files contain **relocation entries**, spots with unknown address that must be "filled in" at link time
- Relocation entries are created for function calls and global variable use
- Compiler inserts notes about byte locations that require fixes at link time
 - Position where the fix is needed ("fill this in")
 - What symbol is needed
 - Extra arithmetic stuff
- Interested in two types of relocation entries
 - R_X86_64_PC32: insert address of something relative to rip; used for global vars, functions in same C file
 - R_X86_64_PLT32: insert address of a procedure linkage table entry; used for functions not in same C file
- Linker inserts addresses at positions indicated by relocation entries

Example of Relocation Entries

```
ORIGINAL SOURCE CODE
                                          RELOCATION ENTRIES
// file: glob.c
                                          > readelf -r glob.o
int glob_arr[128];
                                                              Svm + Addend
                                          Off Type
void glob_func1(int scale){ ... }
                                          66 R_X86_64_PC32
                                                              glob_func1 - 4
                                          83 R X86 64 PC32
                                                              glob_arr - 4
void glob_func2(int scale, inty[])
                                          eO R X86 64 PLT32
                                                              printf - 4
 glob_func1(scale);
                               // 66
                                          Above byte positions must have
 for(int i=0; i<128; i++){
                                          addresses inserted by the linker
   glob_arr[i] += y[i];
                                          at link time. Currently those
                               // 83
    printf("%d\n",glob_arr[i]); // e0
                                          position have 00's as placeholders
                                          until the linker fills them in.
RELEVANT DISASSEMBLED CODE
> objdump -dx glob.o
0000000000000051 <glob_func2>:
 65:
       e8 00 00 00 00
                               callq 6a
                                                      # call function
                                     glob_func1-0x4
           ^^ 66: R_X86_64_PC32
                                                      # in same file
 80:
       48 8d 05 00 00 00 00 lea
                                      0x0(%rip),%rax
                                                      # use global var
                ^^ 83: R X86 64 PC32
                                      glob arr-0x4
                                                      # in same file
 df:
       e8 00 00 00 00
                                                      # call function
                               callq e4
           ^^ e0: R X86 64 PLT32 printf-0x4
                                                      # in another file
```

End Result: Relocatable Code

- Most ELF programs have no load time constant addresses
- ► All functions and variables (locals/globals) are referenced relative to the rip (program counter)
- ► ELF image can be loaded at an starting Virtual Memory Address and run successfully
- Will notice memory address of functions/variables change from run to run but the difference between locations is constant

```
> gcc -o glob_main glob_main.c glob.c

> ./glob_main

ADDRESSES

0x5637e3bc6060: glob_arr variable

0x5637e3bc3159: main func

0x5637e3bc32aa: glob_func1

0x5637e3bc32fa: glob_func2
```

ADDRESS DIFFERENCES

2f07: glob_arr - main 2db6: glob_arr - glob_func1 151: glob_func1 - main 50: glob func2 - glob func1 > ./glob_main
ADDRESSES
0x5642d3feb060: glob_arr variable
0x5642d3fe8159: main func
0x5642d3fe82aa: glob_func1
0x5642d3fe82fa: glob_func2

ADDRESS DIFFERENCES

2f07: glob_arr - main 2db6: glob_arr - glob_func1 151: glob_func1 - main 50: glob func2 - glob func1

— END FALL 2020 CONTENT —

The remaining slides are informative but optional. Their content will not be part of the FALL 2020 final exam.

Wait, what about that PLT thing?

- Minor performance hit for dynamically linked libraries, use of program linkage table (PLT) and global offset table (GOT)
- First call to printf() is expensive when it is dynamically linked
- Dynamic linker delays determining address of printf() until it is called
- Pseudo-code representing gcc / Linux approach to the right: clever use of 1 level of indirection and GOT table of function pointers

```
void main(){
 printf(...); // compiled to call_printf()
void *GOT[]:
            // has addresses of funcs
void call_printf(...){
 int (*func_ptr) = GOT[3]; // get func ptr
 func ptr(...);
                           // call func
void link_printf(...){
                        // 1st call only
 void *printf_addr =
                        // use linker to
    dlsym("printf");
                        // find printf
 GOT[3] = printf_addr;
                        // save ptr later
 printf_addr(...);
                        // call printf
void *GOT[] = {
                 // global table
  . . .
 &link_printf, // for first printf call
```

Linker and Loader

Traditional: Static Linking

- Linker merges .o files to create executable
- All global symbols must be resolved: copy text for functions into the executable from libraries
- Loader copies executable into memory, set %rip to first instruction address, notifies OS to schedule it for execution
- All code/data for running program is in its own memory image

Modern: Dynamic Linking

- Linker merges .o files to create executable
- Global symbols from Dynamic Libraries are left Undefined (U)
- Loader copies executable into memory, sets %rip but..
- Creates a virtual memory map to definitions for library functions dynamically linking to definitions
- Code for running program is spread across its memory image and shared libraries

gcc: Statically vs Dynamically Linked Executables

- ▶ By default gcc produces 'mixed' executables
 - ▶ Use as many dynamic libraries (.so) as possible
 - Use a static version (.a) of library ONLY if no dynamic version is available
- With the -static option, use all static libraries
- ▶ Note the differences reported by the file command below

```
> cat hello.c
#include <stdio.h>
int main(int argc, char *argv[]){
 printf("Hello world! I'm a program\n");
 return 0:
# compile static dynamically linked vs statically linked
> gcc -o hello_dynamic hello.c
> gcc -o hello_static hello.c -static
# examine file types
> file hello static
hello_static: ELF 64-bit LSB executable, x86-64, statically linked
> file hello dynamic
hello dynamic: ELF 64-bit LSB shared object, x86-64, dynamically linked,
interpreter /lib64/ld-linux-x86-64.so.2
```

Exercise: Static/Dynamic Program Sizes

- Examine file sizes of two programs below reported by du
- Which program is bigger on disk in number of bytes?
- Why is there a size difference?

```
# compile static dynamically linked vs statically linked
> cat hello.c
#include <stdio.h>
int main(int argc, char *argv[]){
 printf("Hello world! I'm a program\n");
 return 0;
> gcc -o hello_dynamic hello.c
> gcc -o hello_static hello.c -static
# examine size of executables in bytes
> du -b hello *
 9664 hello_dynamic
721424 hello_static
```

Answers: Static/Dynamic Program Sizes

```
# examine size of executables in bytes
> du -b hello_*
  9664 hello_dynamic # 9,664 bytes
721424 hello_static # 721,424 bytes
```

- All libc.a functions needed (printf/puts/malloc/etc.) copied into statically linked version
- Dynamically linked version has undefined references to functions like puts() which will be resolved at load/run time

```
# examine symbols/functions
# in static/dynamic executables
> nm hello static
000000000004009dd T main
# T: defined "strong" symbol
0000000000408460 W puts
 W: defined "weak" symbol
> nm hello_dynamic
000000000000064a T main
# T: defined "strong" symbol
                 U puts@@GLIBC 2.2.5
# U: undefined
# your funciont is in
# a different castle
```

Libraries Required at Load/Runtime

> gcc -o hello_dynamic hello.c

- Most executables know ahead of time which dynamic libraries will be needed at run time
- Can examine this with the 1dd command: print shared object dependencies

Linking Against Standard Libraries

- At link time, linker must know about library dependencies
- ▶ gcc option -1 will link against a library

- Default Convention: -lmystuff tries linking files
 - libmystuff.so (dynamic lib) THEN
 - ▶ libmystuff.a (static lib)
- Force use of ONLY static libraries with -static option
- ► GCC always links libc (unless using -nostdlib)
- Compiler/Linker searches known directories for headers and libraries

```
> gcc -v do_math.c -lm # -v: verbose output
...
#include <...> search starts here:
/usr/lib/gcc/x86_64-pc-linux-gnu/7.2.1/include
/usr/local/include
/usr/lib/gcc/x86_64-pc-linux-gnu/7.2.1/include-fixed
/usr/include
...
LIBRARY_PATH=/lib/:/usr/lib/:...
```

Creating/Linking Statically Linked Libraries

- Statically Linked Libraries are archives with .a extension
- Traditional form of program libraries, comprised of a bunch of .o files
- Utility ar allows creation, modification, inspection of .a files
- Most systems include /lib/libc.a to allow creation statically linked programs
- System .a archives are identical in structure to user-created libraries

```
> gcc -g -Wall -c tree.c
> gcc -g -Wall -c array.c
> gcc -g -Wall -c list.c
> gcc -g -Wall -c util.c
```

- # create archive with ar
 > ar rcs libds_search.a \
 tree.o array.o list.o util.o
- > file libds_search.a
 libds_search.a: current ar archive
- # show .o files in archive
 > ar t libds_search.a
 tree.o array.o list.o util.o
- > ar t /lib/libc.a | grep printf.o
 vfprintf.o vprintf.o reg-printf.o
 fprintf.o printf.o snprintf.o
 ...

Linking Against User Libraries

► Final Exam review exercises will discuss linking against user-libraries NOT in standard library directories

```
> ls ds_search_static/
libds_search.a
ds_search.h
# PROBLEM 1
> gcc do_search.c -lds_search
do_search.c:8:10: fatal error:
 ds_search.h: No such file or directory
   #include "ds_search.h"
            ^~~~~~~~~~~~
compilation terminated.
# PROBLEM 2
> gcc do_search.c -lds_search ...
/usr/bin/ld: cannot find -lds search
collect2: error: 1d returned 1 exit status
```

Compilers have options to resolve these two problems

Directing Compiler to non-standard Locations

```
> ls ds_search_static/
libds_search.a
ds search.h
# PROBLEM 1
# Use -I to give "includes" directory with header
> gcc do_search.c -lds_search \
     -I ds_search_static/ # header directory for ds_search.h
/usr/bin/ld: cannot find -lds_search
collect2: error: ld returned 1 exit status
# PROBLEM 2
# Use -L to add a directory to search for libraries
> gcc do_search.c -lds_search \
     -I ds_search_static/ # header directory for ds_search.h
     -L ds_search_static/ # library directory with libds_search.a
> file a.out
a.out: ELF 64-bit LSB shared object, x86-64
```

Creating Dynamic Libaries

- Dynamically Libraries are shared objects with .so extension (or .dll if you are a Windows user)
- Created by invoking compiler linker with appropriate options
 - Compile option fPIC for position independent code
 - Link option -shared for a shared object
- Dynamic libraries may depend on other dynamic libraries

```
> gcc -g -Wall -fpic -c tree.c
> gcc -g -Wall -fpic -c array.c
> gcc -g -Wall -fpic -c list.c
> gcc -g -Wall -fpic -c util.c
```

- # create shared object with gcc
 > gcc -shared -o libds_search.so
 \ tree.o array.o list.o util.o
- > file libds_search.so
 libds_search.so: ELF 64-bit LSB
 shared object, x86-64, ...
- # show dependencies
- > ldd libds_search.so
 linux-vdso.so.1 (0x00007ffce291e000)
 libc.so.6 => /usr/lib/libc.so.6 (0x00
 /usr/lib64/ld-linux-x86-64.so.2 (0x00

Exercise: A Dynamic Hitch

Consider the below hitch in the wonder of dynamic libraries

```
> gcc do search.c -lds search \
      -I ds search dynamic/ \
      -L ds_search_dynamic/
> a.out
a.out: error while loading shared libraries:
 libds_search.so: cannot open shared object file:
No such file or directory
> 1dd a.out
  linux-vdso.so.1
  libds search.so => not found !!!!
  libc.so.6 => /usr/lib/libc.so.6
  /1ib64/1d-1inux-x86-64.so.2 \Rightarrow /usr/1ib64/1d-1inux-x86-64.so.2
```

- What went wrong?
- Thoughts on how to resolve?
- Why didn't this happen in the statically linked case?

Answers: A Dynamic Hitch

- Compiler informed that libds_search.so was in a non-standard directory
- Loader NOT informed of this
- ► Loader searched /lib/ and other places, didn't find libds_search.so gave up on loading the program
- Must inform loader of non-standard directories for libraries with LD_LIBRARY_PATH
- ► An **environment variable** honored by loader, directories to search aside from standard locations
- Environment variables can be set in most shells and are looked for by programs to modify their behaviour
- Default command shell on many Unixes is bash with env't var syntax export VAR=some_value
- Often set vars in initialization files like .bashrc or
 - .bash_init
 export PAGER=less # a better 'more'
 export EDITOR=emacs # major improvement
 export BROWSER=chromium # open source baby

Answers: A Dynamic Hitch

Below is a complete session which fixes the loading problem

```
> a.out.
a.out: error while loading shared libraries:
libds_search.so: cannot open shared object file:
No such file or directory
> export LD LIBRARY PATH="ds search dynamic"
> 1dd a.out
linux-vdso.so.1
libds_search.so => ds_search_dynamic/libds_search.so :-)
libc.so.6 => /usr/lib/libc.so.6
/lib64/ld-linux-x86-64.so.2 => /usr/lib64/ld-linux-x86-64.so.2
> a.out
Searching 2048 elem array, 10 repeats: 1.6470e-01 seconds
```

If distributing a .so, either

- Install it in a standard location like /usr/lib/ (admin access)
- Notify users of library to adjust LD_LIBRARY_PATH

Exercise: Dynamic Loading Tricks

Consider the following strange sesssion

```
> gcc hello.c
> a.out.
Hello World!
My favorite int is 32 and float is 1.234000
> gcc -shared -fPIC -Wl,-soname -Wl,libsamy printf.so \
      -o libsamy_printf.so samy_printf.c -ldl
> export LD_PRELOAD=$PWD/libsamy_printf.so
> a.out
Hello World!
... but most of all, Samy is my hero.
My favorite int is 32 and float is 1.234000
... but most of all, Samy is my hero.
```

Why would compiling another piece of code change the behavior of an **already compiled program**?

Answers: Dynamic Loading Tricks

> gcc hello.c

- One can interpose library calls: ask dynamic loader to link a function to a different definition
- Only possible with dynamic linking but a powerful technique
- ▶ In this case, re-define printf(), similar tricks by valgrind for malloc() / free()

```
> a.out
> ldd a.out
    linux-vdso.so.1
    libc.so.6 => /usr/lib/libc.so.6
    /lib64/ld-linux-x86-64.so.2 => /usr/lib64/ld-linux-x86-64.so.2
> export LD_PRELOAD=$PWD/libsamy_printf.so
> ldd a.out
    linux-vdso.so.1 (0x00007fff591d6000)
    /home/kauffman/2021-S2018/.../libsamy_printf.so !!!!
    libc.so.6 => /usr/lib/libc.so.6
    libdl.so.2 => /usr/lib/libdl.so.2
    /lib64/ld-linux-x86-64.so.2 => /usr/lib64/ld-linux-x86-64.so.2
```

Valgrind and Your own Malloc

- ► Valgrind replaces normal malloc() / free() with its own version which is slower but allows error checking
- Uses dynamic loading tricks for this so you don't need to recompile your program
- If you complete el_malloc.c, you could extend it to a full allocator (would need realloc(), use of sbrk() for heap management, define malloc() / free())
- Use library interposition with LD_PRELOAD dynamically link in your own programs
- Brief Instructions in the GNU libc manual on how to do this