

Facebook and Fake News

Consider each of the below proposals, and fill in each of the below TODOs.

Proposals

Proposal 1

Facebook relies on an algorithm as well as individual users' reports to identify content that is potentially "fake news." Once the content has been identified, it is sent to third party fact-checkers for verification. If the content is verified as fake news, it is publicly flagged with a warning that the content is disputed by fact-checkers.



Proposal 2

However, a designer at Facebook believes that there needs to be a different approach to content regulation. Their proposal is that content deemed problematic by third-party fact-checkers should be prevented from being shared on the platform altogether.

Questions

1. Which form of content regulation, Proposal 1 or Proposal 2, do you feel is better? Why?
 - i. Proposal 1 ,Because when the third party flagged it as a fake news it will become easy to identify the real and fake news
2. Which form of content regulation, Proposal 1 or Proposal 2, do you think best preserves or promotes the [five rights and opportunities necessary for a democratic public sphere](#)?
 - a. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Rights? Why?
 - i. Proposal 2 ,because it's way more authentic that news won't be share anymore and it give you a message to be careful
 - b. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Opportunity for Expression? Why?
 - i. Proposal 1, Because it's your choice if you are believing it and sharing it
 - c. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Access? Why?
 - i. Proposal 2, because you can select whatever is your choice to post that news
 - d. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Diversity? Why?
 - i. Proposal 1, it made you think either the third party is authentic or not
 - e. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Communicative Power? Why?
 - i. Proposal 1, it gives you right to share it and let you talk about it to others