

1 Anelastic approximation

IGNORE THAT EQUATIONS DO NOT HAVE LINKS OR PARENTHESES AROUND. BAD TEMPLATE.

The anelastic approximation is motivated by Mixing-Length Theory (MLT), which is a model where we look at how energy is transported over a characteristic mixing length before being dissipated. This model gives us the dominant balance for the lowest order equations and the relative sizes of perturbations to the first order (?). The MLT model has been verified against fully-compressible simulations which appear to support its validity (?), but needs modification around the bottom and top of a convective layer (?). This is where the anelastic approximation comes in, which is an extension of MLT which includes stratification.

In the anelastic approximation we separate the thermodynamical quantities upon a background reference state and an overlaying perturbation. The background state can then be set up with a non-trivial depth stratification without full compressibility, filtering out fast-moving sound waves (?). This has the effect of lowering the required time-resolution due to not having to consider the acoustic time scale. Instead we only have to consider the much lower dynamic time scale, which is determined by the flow velocity and Alfvén speed.

The background state can be time dependent (?) or time independent. The problem with a time dependent background state is that the entropy gradient can be strongly superadiabatic in an upper thermal boundary layer, resulting a high Mach flow number, making this layer supersonically unstable (?). It is therefore preferred that the entropy background is constant in time.

(HERE: ADIABATIC PARAMETERS. PLASMA BETA. WHERE DOES THE ANELASTIC APPROXIMATION HOLD. EQUATION OF STATE (IDEAL GAS LAW WITH FIRST ORDER PERTURBATION?))

We will now show a simple example of how to use perturbation theory on the hydrodynamical equations. Assume that we are in one dimension with a constant flow and that the continuity equation has no sinks or sources. This gives us that the flux of the mass density ρ is ρu , where u is the fluid velocity, and we get that the continuity equation becomes

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -u \partial_x \rho.$$

By applying a first order perturbation in the density, i.e. $\rho(x, t) = \rho_0 + \epsilon \rho_1(x, t)$, where ρ_0 is the unperturbed (background) density, ϵ is a small perturbation parameter and $\epsilon \rho_1$ is a small perturbation, we get the continuity equation on the form

$$\frac{\partial(\rho_0 + \epsilon \rho_1)}{\partial t} = -u \partial_x (\rho_0 + \epsilon \rho_1).$$

We set the background parameters as constant in time and therefore get that the zero-order term becomes

$$-u \partial_x \rho_0 = 0,$$

which, with a non-zero velocity this implies that

$$\partial_x \rho_0 = 0.$$

And for the first-order term we get

$$\frac{\partial(\epsilon \rho_1)}{\partial t} = -u \partial_x (\epsilon \rho_1).$$

The essence of perturbation theory is to analyse the first-order equation to see how a small perturbation in density will impact the system. The derivation of the full set of anelastic MHD equations can be found in (?) and a simpler form of the equations in (?), which we will follow. Here we call the perturbed thermodynamic quantities for temperature T_1 , density ρ_1 , entropy s_1 and pressure p_1 . And the background state temperature $T_0(z)$, density $\rho_0(z)$, entropy $s_0(z)$ and pressure $p_0(z)$, where z is in the positive upward direction. We call the perturbed fluid velocity \mathbf{v} and have no velocity in the background state. Then by assuming no viscous stress and no magnetic field we get that the full set of equations are

Continuity equation

$$\nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \mathbf{v}) = 0. \tag{1}$$

Momentum equation

$$\rho_0 \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} \right] = -\nabla p_1 + \rho_1 \mathbf{g}. \quad (2)$$

Entropy equation

$$\rho_0 T_0 \left[\frac{\partial s_1}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)(s_0 + s_1) \right] = \nabla \cdot (K \rho_0 T_0 \nabla s_1), \quad (3)$$

where K is the thermal diffusivity.

(REMEMBER: EXPLAIN WHY WE HAVE THIS EQUATION OF STATE)

Equation of state

$$\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_0} = \frac{p_1}{p_0} - \frac{T_1}{T_0}. \quad (4)$$

First law of thermodynamics

$$\frac{s_1}{c_p} = \frac{T_1}{T_0} - \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \frac{p_1}{p_0}, \quad (5)$$

where c_p is the specific heat under constant pressure and γ is the adiabatic index. We will start by solving the equations with respect to the time derivatives. This gives us that the *momentum equation 2* becomes

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla p_1 - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} + \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_0} \mathbf{g}, \quad (6)$$

where $\mathbf{g}(z)$ is the gravitational acceleration.

And the *entropy equation 3* becomes

$$\frac{\partial s_1}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\rho_0 T_0} \nabla \cdot (K \rho_0 T_0 \nabla s_1) - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)(s_0 + s_1) \quad (7)$$

We can now split these equations into different directions of two dimension (xz), where the x-direction is horizontal and z-direction is positive upward, and we let gravity act in the negative z-direction. We call $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$ the unit vector in the x-direction and $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ the unit vector in the z-direction. Then the *momentum equation 6* gives us

$$\hat{\mathbf{i}}: \partial_t v_x = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \partial_x p_1 - (v_x \partial_x + v_z \partial_z) v_x, \quad (8)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{k}}: \partial_t v_z = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \partial_z p_1 - (v_x \partial_x + v_z \partial_z) v_z - \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_0} g(z). \quad (9)$$

Doing the same with the *entropy equation 7* gives us

$$\partial_t s_1 = \frac{1}{\rho_0 T_0} [\partial_x (K \rho_0 T_0 \partial_x s_1) + \partial_z (K \rho_0 T_0 \partial_z s_1)] - (v_x \partial_x + v_z \partial_z)(s_0 + s_1).$$

$$\partial_t s_1 = -(v_x \partial_x + v_z \partial_z)(s_0 + s_1).$$

Firstly we use that $K \rho_0 = 1.866 \times 10^6$, which is an approximation due to the fact that $c_p \nabla T_1$ and $T_0 \nabla s_1$ are fairly close as is used in (?). Secondly we have that s_0 and T_0 are variables of z only and can therefore re-write the equation as

$$\partial_t s_1 = \frac{1.866 \times 10^6}{\rho_0 T_0} [T_0 \partial_x^2 s_1 + T_0 \partial_z^2 s_1 + \partial_z s_1 \partial_z T_0] - (v_x \partial_x + v_z \partial_z) s_1 - v_z \partial_z s_0. \quad (10)$$

$$\partial_t s_1 = -(v_x \partial_x + v_z \partial_z) s_1 - v_z \partial_z s_0. \quad (11)$$

2 Discretization

We start by discretizing space and time as

$$z_i = z_0 + i\Delta z, \quad i \in [0, N_z], \quad (12)$$

$$x_j = x_0 + j\Delta x, \quad j \in [0, N_x], \quad (13)$$

$$t_n = t_0 + n\Delta t, \quad n \in [0, N_t], \quad (14)$$

where N_z is the number of grid points in the z-direction, N_x is the number of grid points in the x-direction and N_t is the number of time instants. For discretizing the background and perturbation variables we call f a permutated variable and f_0 a background variable. The background variables will be constant in time and in the x-direction, and so will be discretized as

$$f_{0,i} \equiv f_0(z_i). \quad (15)$$

The permutations will be discretized as

$$f_{i,j}^n \equiv f(z_i, x_j, t_n). \quad (16)$$

For the derivatives we will use a forward-time (FT) scheme, meaning that

$$\partial_t f(z, x, t) \rightarrow \frac{f_{i,j}^{n+1} - f_{i,j}^n}{\Delta t}. \quad (17)$$

We will show the method of discretizing the x-direction derivatives here, but this will be identical for the z-direction. For the spacial derivatives we will mainly use the second order centered space scheme (CS)

$$\partial_x f(z, x, t) \rightarrow \frac{f_{i+1,j}^n - f_{i-1,j}^n}{2\Delta x}. \quad (18)$$

The exception to this is for equations on the form

$$\partial_t f - u\partial_x f = 0, \quad (19)$$

where $u(z, x, t)$ is some flow and $f(z, x, t)$ is some function, as these require a forward/backward space scheme (FS/BS) for numerical stability. This will be handled using a forward/backward space scheme (FS/BS), in our case the second order downwind/upwind. Where we use downwind for $v_x \geq 0$ and upwind for $v_x < 0$. The scheme is as follows

$$\partial_x f(z, x, t) \rightarrow \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right]_{i,j}^n = \begin{cases} \frac{3f_{i,j}^n - 4f_{i,j-1}^n + f_{i,j-2}^n}{2\Delta x} & \text{if } v_x \geq 0 \\ \frac{-3f_{i,j}^n + 4f_{i,j+1}^n - f_{i,j+2}^n}{2\Delta x} & \text{if } v_x < 0 \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

We can then re-write our equations using these schemes. Firstly we re-write the momentum equations 8, 9 as

$$\hat{\mathbf{i}} : \frac{v_{x,(i,j)}^{n+1} - v_{x,(i,j)}^n}{\Delta t} = -\frac{1}{\rho_{0,(i)}} \frac{p_{1,(i,j+1)}^n - p_{1,(i,j-1)}^n}{2\Delta x} - v_{x,(i,j)}^n \left[\frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x} \right]_{i,j}^n - v_{z,(i,j)}^n \left[\frac{\partial v_x}{\partial z} \right]_{i,j}^n, \quad (21)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{k}} : \frac{v_{z,(i,j)}^{n+1} - v_{z,(i,j)}^n}{\Delta t} = -\frac{1}{\rho_{0,(i)}} \frac{p_{1,(i,j+1)}^n - p_{1,(i,j-1)}^n}{2\Delta z} - v_{x,(i,j)}^n \left[\frac{\partial v_z}{\partial x} \right]_{i,j}^n - v_{z,(i,j)}^n \left[\frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} \right]_{i,j}^n - \frac{\rho_{1,(i,j)}^n}{\rho_{0,(i)}} g(z_i). \quad (22)$$

..... entropy.....

Von Neumann stability analysis Downwind is the $i + 1, i + 2$ thing. Upwind is the $i - 1$ thing.

Burgers equation with FTCS (second order space):

Burgers equation with FTFS (second order space):

$$\frac{u_{i,j}^{n+1} - u_{i,j}^n}{\Delta t} = -a \quad (23)$$

3 Numerical solver