

654 Advanced Computing Concepts

Assignment1 Report


I confirm that I will keep the content of this assignment confidential. I confirm that I have not received any unauthorized assistance in preparing for or writing this assignment. I acknowledge that a mark of 0 may be assigned for copied work. **Tengxiaoyao (Tab) Tu, #104518447**

Q1. Within a Java class, write a method that creates n random strings and inserts them in a hash table. The method should compute the average time for each insertion.

Q2. Write another method that finds n random strings in the hash table. The method should delete the string if found. It should also compute the average time of each search.

In this case, the length for all random String is 10.

ANSWER FOR TASK 1-2:



```
A1_3
C:\Library\Java\JavaVirtualMachines\jdk1.8.0_91.jdk\Contents\Home\bin>java ...
objc[1579]: Class JavaLaunchHelper is implemented in both /Library/Java/JavaV...
The total insert time for 10000000 insertion in CuckooHashTable is : 25685ms.
The total random delete time is : 8608ms.
```

It cost 256685ms to insert 10000000 numbers into CucokkHashTable, and cost 8608ms to do 10000000 times deletion.

Q3. Repeat #1 and #2 with $n = 2i$, $i = 1, \dots, 20$. Place the numbers in a table and compare the results for Cuckoo, QuadraticProbing and SeparateChaining. Comment on the times obtained and compare them with the complexities as discussed in class.

ANSWER FOR TASK 3:

For these tasks, I Insert 2,000,000 random numbers into 3 different hash table.

The result is the average in 20 times without the maximum and minimum for each time.

All results are record in String format, and transfer to an Excel file.

The length for the random String is 10 which include all English letters.




Figure 1. Compare Average Time Spend for Each Insertion

i	Cuckoo(ms)	QuadraticProbing(ms)	SeparateChaining(ms)
1	2304.94	2119.72	2109.78
2	2600.06	2495.94	2094.28
3	2339.61	2094.72	1915.61
4	2586.89	2488.83	1860.56
5	2491.06	2049.61	2024
6	2545.89	2645.94	1975
7	2355.39	2242	1883.11
8	2519.5	2789.33	1956.89
9	2341.17	2207.89	1919.44
10	2524.5	2503.56	1818.56
11	2389.11	1992.06	2107.67
12	2495.89	2694.94	1893.83
13	2486.22	2062	1901.78
14	2654.33	2421.11	1955.56
15	2345.72	2269.5	1945.33
16	2641.89	2730.5	1844.33
17	2298.11	2068.28	1884.44
18	2615.11	2752.17	2058.06
19	2276.39	2320.44	1931.06
20	2324.94	2639.39	1933.89
Average	2456.836	2379.3965	1950.659

Each Insertion	0.001228418	0.001189698	0.00097533
----------------	--------------------	--------------------	-------------------

Table 1. Compare Average Time Spend for Each Insertion

Cuckoo spend 0.001228418ms for each time in average;

QuadraticProbing spend 0.001189698ms for each time in average;

SeparateChaining spend 0.00097533ms for each time in average.

As a result, SeparateChaining is faster than QuadraticProbing than Cuckoo.




Figure 2. Compare Average Time Spend for Random Numbers in Each Searching

i	Cuckoo	QuadraticProbing	SeparateChaining
1	0	0	0
2	0	0	0
3	0	0.06	0
4	0	0	0
5	0	0	0
6	0.11	0.06	0
7	0.17	0	0.06
8	0.11	0.17	0.06
9	0.44	0.28	0.11
10	0.94	0.67	0.44
11	1.39	1.17	0.94
12	3.06	2.22	1.72
13	5.39	4.39	3.17

14	10.33	8.67	6.28
15	22.94	18.94	14.67
16	128.56	34.33	23.44
17	166.06	78.17	48.61
18	180.28	230	94.33
19	517.89	284.44	249.06
20	853.11	712.67	424.78

Table 2. Compare Average Time Spend for Each Random Searching

For large searches, SeparateChaining is faster than QuadraticProbing than Cuckoo.

Q4. Use the Java classes BinarySearchTree, AVLTree, RedBlackBST, SplayTree given in class. For each tree:

- Insert 100,000 integer keys, from 1 to 100,000 (in that order). Find the average time for each insertion.
- Do 100,000 searches of random integer keys between 1 and 100,000. Find the average time of each search.
- Delete all the keys in the trees, starting from 100,000 down to 1 (in that order). Find the average time of each deletion.

Q5. For each tree:

- Insert 100,000 keys between 1 and 100,000. Find the average time of each search.
- Repeat #4.b.
- Repeat #4.c but with random keys between 1 and 100,000. Note that not all the keys may be found in the tree.

Q6. Draw a table that contains all the average times found in #4 and #5. Comment on the results obtained and compare them with the worst-case and average-case running times of each operation for each tree. Which tree will you use in your implementations for real problems? Note: you decide on the format of the table (use your creativity to present the results in the best possible way).

ANSWER FOR TASK 4-6:

Label “_R” stand for Random;

The result is the average in 10 times without the maximum and minimum for each result.




Figure 3. Compare 100000 Operation Time Spend in Average for BinarySearchTree, AVLTree, RedBlackBST, SplayTree




Figure 4. Compare 100000 Operation Time Spend in Average for Random Numbers in Each Tree Searching

ALGORITHM	INSERTION	SEARCHING	DELETION
bst	88714.375	41464.75	79145.375
avlt	48951.125	48.875	29.375

rbst	46.5	17.125	71.375
st	13.625	63.375	20.5
bst_R	42.75	40.25	47.75
avlt_R	55.75	40.375	79.25
rbst_R	71.375	50.125	596.75
st_R	0	12	14

Table 3. Compare 100000 Times Spend in Average for Each Tree Operation

In Task 4-6, each insertion spent time is log in the table follow.

	BinarySearchTree	AVLTree	RedBlackBST	SplayTree
INSERTION BY ORDER	0.88714375	0.48951125	0.000465	0.00013625
INSERTION IN RANDOM	0.0004275	0.0005575	0.00071375	0
SEARCHING BY ORDER	0.4146475	0.00048875	0.00017125	0.00063375
SEARCH IN RANDOM	0.0004025	0.00040375	0.00050125	0.00012
DELETION BY ORDER	0.79145375	0.00029375	0.00071375	0.000205
DELETION IN RANDOM	0.0004775	0.0007925	0.0059675	0.00014

Table 4. Compare Time Spend in Each Algorithm

As a result, in order insertion, SplayTree is faster than RedBlackBST, than AVLTree, than BinarySearchTree. In addition, BinarySearchTree and AVLTree spend a lot of time on insertion by order. Splay Tree is the fastest algorithm in random insertion, searching and removing, in these 4 tree algorithms.