654 Advanced Computing Concepts

Assignment1 Report
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**Q1. Within a Java class, write a method that creates n random strings and inserts them in a hash table. The method should compute the average time for each insertion.**

**Q2. Write another method that finds n random strings in the hash table. The method should delete the string if found. It should also compute the average time of each search.**

**Q3. Repeat #1 and #2 with n = 2i, i = 1, …, 20. Place the numbers in a table and compare the results for Cuckoo, QuadraticProbing and SeparateChaining. Comment on the times obtained and compare them with the complexities as discussed in class.**

**Q4. Use the Java classes BinarySearchTree, AVLTree, RedBlackBST, SplayTree given in class. For each tree:**

**a. Insert 100,000 integer keys, from 1 to 100,000 (in that order). Find the average time for each insertion.**

**b. Do 100,000 searches of random integer keys between 1 and 100,000. Find the average time of each search.**

**c. Delete all the keys in the trees, starting from 100,000 down to 1 (in that order). Find the average time of each deletion.**

**Q5. For each tree:**

**a. Insert 100,000 keys between 1 and 100,000. Find the average time of each search.**

**b. Repeat #4.b.**

**c. Repeat #4.c but with random keys between 1 and 100,000. Note that not all the keys may be found in the tree.**

**Q6. Draw a table that contains all the average times found in #4 and #5. Comment on the results obtained and compare them with the worst-case and average-case running times of each operation for each tree. Which tree will you use in your implementations for real problems? Note: you decide on the format of the table (use your creativity to present the results in the best possible way).**

For these tasks, I Insert 2,000,000 random numbers into 3 different hash table.

The result is the average in 20 times without the maximum and minimum for each time.

All results are record in String format, and transfer to an Excel file.

The length for the random String is 10 which include all English letters.

Figure 1. Compare Average Time Spend for Each Insertion

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Number | **Cuckoo(ms)** | **QuadraticProbing(ms)** | **SeparateChaining(ms)** |
| 1 | 2304.94 | 2119.72 | 2109.78 |
| 2 | 2600.06 | 2495.94 | 2094.28 |
| 3 | 2339.61 | 2094.72 | 1915.61 |
| 4 | 2586.89 | 2488.83 | 1860.56 |
| 5 | 2491.06 | 2049.61 | 2024 |
| 6 | 2545.89 | 2645.94 | 1975 |
| 7 | 2355.39 | 2242 | 1883.11 |
| 8 | 2519.5 | 2789.33 | 1956.89 |
| 9 | 2341.17 | 2207.89 | 1919.44 |
| 10 | 2524.5 | 2503.56 | 1818.56 |
| 11 | 2389.11 | 1992.06 | 2107.67 |
| 12 | 2495.89 | 2694.94 | 1893.83 |
| 13 | 2486.22 | 2062 | 1901.78 |
| 14 | 2654.33 | 2421.11 | 1955.56 |
| 15 | 2345.72 | 2269.5 | 1945.33 |
| 16 | 2641.89 | 2730.5 | 1844.33 |
| 17 | 2298.11 | 2068.28 | 1884.44 |
| 18 | 2615.11 | 2752.17 | 2058.06 |
| 19 | 2276.39 | 2320.44 | 1931.06 |
| 20 | 2324.94 | 2639.39 | 1933.89 |
| Average | 2456.836 | 2379.3965 | 1950.659 |
| Each Insertion | **0.001228418** | **0.001189698** | **0.00097533** |

Table 1. Compare Average Time Spend for Each Insertion

Cuckoo spend 0.001228418ms for each time in average;

QuadraticProbing spend 0.001189698ms for each time in average;

SeparateChaining spend 0.00097533 for each time in average.

**As a result, SeparateChaining is faster than QuadraticProbing than Cuckoo.**

Figure 2. Compare Average Time Spend for Random Numbers in Each Searching

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| i | Cuckoo | QuadraticProbing | SeparateChaining |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0.06 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0 |
| 7 | 0.17 | 0 | 0.06 |
| 8 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.06 |
| 9 | 0.44 | 0.28 | 0.11 |
| 10 | 0.94 | 0.67 | 0.44 |
| 11 | 1.39 | 1.17 | 0.94 |
| 12 | 3.06 | 2.22 | 1.72 |
| 13 | 5.39 | 4.39 | 3.17 |
| 14 | 10.33 | 8.67 | 6.28 |
| 15 | 22.94 | 18.94 | 14.67 |
| 16 | 128.56 | 34.33 | 23.44 |
| 17 | 166.06 | 78.17 | 48.61 |
| 18 | 180.28 | 230 | 94.33 |
| 19 | 517.89 | 284.44 | 249.06 |
| 20 | 853.11 | 712.67 | 424.78 |

Table 2. Compare Average Time Spend for Each Random Searching

**For large searches, SeparateChaining is faster than QuadraticProbing than Cuckoo.**

**2, Task 4 - 6 for Lab3.**