## Vena Solutions Sentiment Lexicon

Alex Cookson (alexander.cookson@gmail.com)

2020-08-13

First, we set up our R environment with the necessary package and set a default theme for graphs.

```
library(tidyverse) # Data manipulation
library(rvest) # Scraping
library(tidytext) # Text analysis
library(textstem) # Lemmatization
library(glmnet) # LASSO model
library(extrafont) # Additional fonts for graphs

# set default theme for graphs
theme_set(theme_minimal())
```

Next, we write a function to scrape the reviews from TrustRadius.com. This function scrapes only the "Pros and Cons" list, leaving other parts of the webpage alone.

```
scrape_pros_cons <- function(url) {</pre>
  # Read website
  webpage <- read_html(url)</pre>
  # Plus/Minus
  icons <- webpage %>%
    html_nodes(".ugc .sprite") %>%
    html_attrs() %>%
    unlist() %>%
    as tibble col(column name = "pro con") %>%
    mutate(pro_con = str_remove(pro_con, "sprite sprite-proCon"))
  # Text
  text <- webpage %>%
    html_nodes(".ugc div:nth-child(2)") %>%
    html_text() %>%
    as_tibble_col(column_name = "text")
  # Merge
  return(bind_cols(icons, text))
```

Now, we execute the function and clean the output so that we have something tidy to work with. We also convert "Pro" or "Con" to a score (1 for "Pro", 0 for "Con"). Having a numerical score lets us apply LASSO regression, an approach we use here to create a "sentiment lexicon" of positive and negative terms associated with Vena Solutions' product.

We take the raw text of each pro/con bullet point and extract "bigrams" (two-word terms) from the text. We also get rid of "stop words" (e.g., "a", "the", "and"), which don't give us a lot of information because they are used so frequently.

Now we get our data in the proper format for running LASSO regression. We create a sparse document-term matrix of bigrams and extract the pro/con score (1 or 0).

```
bigrams_clean <- bigrams %>%
  mutate(bigram_id = row_number()) %>%
  select(bigram_id, bigram, score)

bigram_matrix <- bigrams_clean %>%
  select(bigram_id, bigram) %>%
  cast_sparse(bigram_id, bigram)

ids <- as.integer(rownames(bigram_matrix))

ratings <- bigrams_clean$score[ids]</pre>
```

We fit a cross-validated LASSO model with 100 folds to create a list of terms (bigrams) and their estimated effect on the score.

With a fitted LASSO model, we can take the top positive and negative terms and visualize their sentiment score. We have, in essence, created a "sentiment lexicon" that tells us which terms tend to be associated with positive or negative feelings about Vena Solutions. Furthermore, we have also *quantified* the degree of positive or negative sentiment.

```
sentiment_lexicon <- cv_lasso_tidy %>%
  mutate(direction = ifelse(estimate > 0, "Positive", "Negative"),
         direction = fct_relevel(direction, "Positive")) %>%
  filter(term != "(Intercept)") %>%
  select(term, estimate, direction)
sentiment_lexicon %>%
  group_by(direction) %>%
  top_n(12, wt = abs(estimate)) %>%
  ungroup() %>%
  mutate(term = fct_reorder(term, estimate)) %>%
  ggplot(aes(estimate, term, fill = direction)) +
  geom col() +
  geom_vline(xintercept = 0, size = 1) +
  scale fill manual(values = c("#05668D", "#FF4365")) +
  labs(title = "Vena Solutions Sentiment Lexicon",
      subtitle = "Top 12 positive and negative terms from TrustRadius reviews",
      fill = "Sentiment",
      x = "Sentiment Score",
      y = "Term",
      caption = "Source: TrustRadius Reviews") +
  theme(text = element_text(family = "Bahnschrift"),
       axis.text = element_text(size = 12))
```

## VenaSolutionsSentimentLexicon

Top 12 positive and negative terms from Trust Radius reviews

